

Planning Committee Update – 1st February 2023

Agenda Item	Application Reference	Additional Info Received/Updates to Committee
6	21/01255/RMA	<p>Comments from the Conservation Officer “The drawings dated 29/11/22 address the minor revisions I recommended on 10/11/22; I have no further design comments. Recommendation: no objection”</p> <p>Comments from the Parish Council</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Not consulting with the two new builds that are adjacent to the plot (1a and b Scotland End), Reconfiguration of the scheme to allow for vehicular turning which then creates overlooking issues for existing residents. The previous new builds (1a and b Scotland End) were designed to not overlook the adjacent property as directed by the planning officer, so it is a little inconsistent for a development on the other side to them be able to overlook – not to mention the lack of privacy this creates for the existing residents. • The Conservation Officer was not re-consulted, despite having concerns about the development. The parish council had to contact them directly to get their views on the development. • Waste – the matter of the storage and collection of waste is still very woolly. As mentioned to you on the phone, the new access will be very close to where the High Street, Isleham Road and Chippenham Road converge (the reason why ECDC refused this application in the first place), so it is vitally important that measures (agreed with ECDC Streetscene) are put in place to allow for the waste to be collected without creating visibility issues for this busy junction. • Highways – within Toni's report she states " southwest of the likely proposed site entrance". What does 'likely proposed' actually mean? As mentioned before, the Highways element of this scheme is of grave concern to Chippenham PC and ECDC were concerned enough to turn down the application so now to use such a woolly term as this, gives the impression that the Highways access is not yet decided and could be changed at a later date. • In conclusion, the parish council do not feel that this application is ready to be taken to Committee until the above issues have been addressed.” <p>Officers recommendation to Members within the report is revised to the following: 1.1 Members are recommended to Approve the application subject to the recommended summary of conditions below and to no new issues being raised within the consultation period that Members had not already considered. The conditions can be read in full in the attached appendix 1.</p>

Planning Committee Update – 1st February 2023

7	22/00472/RMM	<p>1) Late consultation response received from the Defence Infrastructure Organisation raising no objection</p> <p>2) amended wording for Condition 4 : <i>“prior to the occupation of 200th dwelling, the applicant in consultation with Anglian Water, shall submit [in writing to the LPA] a scheme for waste water provision to address additional flows into the catchment of Tuddenham Water Recycling Centre. The strategy shall be implemented in full unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.</i></p> <p><i>Reason: to prevent the increased risk of flooding and to improve and protect water quality, in accordance with policies ENV2 and ENV8 of the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2015.”</i></p> <p>3) Late response from Local Highways Authority that agreement has been reached in principle to the road layout so that it will be adoptable, (except for the road serving the apartments at the village centre which is waiting for further information).</p>
9	22/01077/ESO	<p>Officers recommendation to Members within the report is revised to the following:</p> <p>“1.1 Members are recommended to APPROVE the modification of planning obligation 11/01077/ESO as detailed in 1.2 below;</p> <p>1.2 The modification of the s106 legal agreement dated 20th June 2016 attached to the planning permission 11/01077/ESO to allow for the modification to transfer the Country Park by 250 occupations, update Schedule 1 affordable housing to reflect the review provisions, updated Schedule 2 to give a definitive contribution amount of £3,635,680.05 and the definition of mortgagee to be changed to the standard definition; and</p> <p>1.3 Members are further recommended to instruct the Director Legal Services to negotiate and complete the necessary legal agreement to secure the above.</p>