

Address 1	Address 2	Support/ Object	Comments
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Size will dominate the flat topography of the fenland landscape. Detract from Ely Cathedral. Inefficient. Impact on wildlife. Too close to residential property and the A10. Greed of landowners cannot be of greater importance that preserving productivity of grade1 agricultural land. Reduction in property prices. MOD has reservations. Visual impact from Wicken fen should be considered.
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Too close to residential properties and the A10. Inefficient. Local residents face disruption during construction phase. Insufficient infrastructure. Vast amounts of concrete in the ground for years to come. Impact on wildlife. Impact on visual amenity of residents, upon Ely Cathedral must be considered alongside impact from Wicken Fen. Sufficient renewable energy produced in ECDC area. Renewable targets met.
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Out of character with the landscape and will do very little to benefit the environment in such a low wind speed area.
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Too close to village of Stretham and will dominate area surrounding them. Seen from huge distance including Ely Cathedral. Noise and shadow flicker a significant disturbance. Companies erecting them and landowners gain massive payments at expense of everyone else as price of electricity increase to subsidise it.
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Too close to nearby villages and too tall. Would degrade aesthetic qualities of landscape as well as affecting bird life and amenity value of the area. Other emptier open spaces to site this means of developing alternative energy. Cumulative effect.
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Comments stand despite revised Environmental Statement.
The Borough	Aldreth	Object	Despite recent submissions I still object.
High Street	Aldreth	Object	Impact on landscape. Fens are renowned for 'big skies' and turbines will distract views. Main point of reference on landscape is Ely Cathedral – replacing with wind turbines is vandalism. Noise and flicker effects and inefficient.
High Street	Aldreth	Object	Turbines do not comply with policies EN1, EN2 and EN6 and would destroy the landscape and settlement character, affect residential amenity and protected species.
High Street	Aldreth	Object	Public benefits fail to outweigh the tremendous harm it will cause.

			Turbines do not comply with policies EN1, EN2 and EN6. Cannot minimise environmental and amenity impacts. Value of landscape demonstrated in Mereham Inquiry. May support land owner financially but will erode agricultural enterprise as a whole in the area. Scale is not inkeeping. Also contrary to Local Plan. Affect on social mobility of residents and reduction in house prices. Renewable targets already met.
School Lane	Aldreth	Object	Aldreth already feeling burden from berry fen. These turbines still higher than Ely Cathedral and would have hugely detrimental impact on the landscape. Spoils area for those that live here an visit. East Cambs already providing enough renewable energy. Very strong local opposition.
School Lane	Aldreth	Object	Example of how these developments can multiply as there is also an application for 3 turbines nearby. Need to protect environment from greedy developers. Low wind speeds in area, obstructive views, noise and shadow flicker, distance from very busy A10. Impact on wildlife. Can affect people with sensory processing difficulties, especially people on the Autistic spectrum. Do not know how they will affect a person until it is too late.
School Lane	Aldreth	Object	Objections still stand. Clear from fight to stop turbines on Berry Fen that local people do not want this. Current government has said that wind farms should only go ahead with support of local residents.
High Street	Earith	Object	Will dominate landscape and rivers. Clearly visible from Cambridge and Ely. Impact on unique view of Ely Cathedral. Will set a precedent. Prefer solar panels.
John Anne Close	Ely	Object	Not efficient – minimal contribution to the National Grid. Blot on landscape which would impact on peoples quality of life. Serious impact on visitors first impression of Ely and its Cathedral.
Morley Drive	Ely	Object	Blight on local landscape and views of Ely Cathedral. Negative impact on tourism. If any applications are approved this will set a precedent. Turbines do not reduce as much CO2 as stated. Not needed to aid renewable targets. Detrimental impact on wildlife and birds.
St Marys Street	Ely	Object	Too close to dwellings - subjected to noise and television reception affected. Danger to bird life and their habitat. Shadow flicker on A10 could distract motorists. Height means they will dominate and change the unique landscape. Insufficient electricity would be generated to

			justify affects on environment.
Cowslip Drive	LittleThetford	Object	Size of the turbines is so large it will have a detrimental impact for many miles. Affect on house prices. Purpose of turbines is not clear. Noise.
Cranwells Way	Little Thetford	Object	Turbines should be placed a lot further away from villages/towns/cities. Mereham development turned down because of landscape value. Turbines are inefficient. Proximity to A10 – increase in traffic movements. Noise created and television signal affected. Insufficient public consultation. Negative impact on houses prices. No benefits to villages or surrounding areas.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	Turbines will dominate landscape. Impact on residential amenity through noise, shadow flicker and visual intrusion. Degrading of views of Windmill, Old Engine and Stretham Church. Affect on River Ouse Walk and biodiversity in area. Will set a precedent.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	Turbines will dominate landscape. Impact on residential amenity through noise, shadow flicker and visual intrusion. Degrading of views of Windmill, Old Engine and Stretham Church. Affect on River Ouse Walk and biodiversity in area. Will set a precedent.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	Turbines will dominate landscape. Impact on residential amenity through noise, shadow flicker and visual intrusion. Degrading of views of Windmill, Old Engine and Stretham Church. Wind speed insufficient and it is unlikely that any CO2 savings will be made.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	Major detrimental change to landscape, views and visual amenity. Contrary to policies EN1 and EN2. Major detrimental impact on cultural heritage. Major detrimental change to residential visual amenity. Possible disturbance to archaeological remains. Major detrimental impact on leisure amenities. Disturbance from noise. Harm to wildlife. Cumulative impact. Affects on house prices and social mobility. Turbines likely to be inefficient and the developer has not attempted to obtain accurate windspeed measurements. Renewable energy targets already met.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	Major detrimental change to landscape, views and visual amenity. Contrary to policies EN1 and EN2. Major detrimental impact on cultural heritage. Major detrimental change to residential visual amenity. Possible disturbance to archaeological remains. Major detrimental impact on leisure amenities. Disturbance from noise. Harm to wildlife. Cumulative impact. Affects on house prices and social mobility.

			Turbines likely to be inefficient and the developer has not attempted to obtain accurate windspeed measurements. Renewable energy targets already met.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	Having read the revised ES I cannot see any new information to reduce or mitigate the adverse impacts and irreversible harm. Contrary to ENV1 and ENV6. Cumulative impact. Noise impact, impact on protected species and heritage assets. Provision should be made for a bond for demolition and removal of turbines. Does not comply with ministerial statement.
Aldreth Road	Haddenham	Object	As above.
Bell Gardens	Haddenham	Object	Too close too many houses in Stretham. Intrusive to the closest houses. Visible for miles and would dominate and permanently spoil attractive fenland landscape and skylines. Out of keeping with rural environment. Amenity that droves and footpaths provide would be seriously degraded. The site is in the direction of the prevailing wind, noise audible at all times. Site is close to Old West River wildlife corridor – inevitable that birds will suffer fatal collisions. Precedent will be set. Windfarm forest effect already underway. No attempt to obtain accurate wind speed measurements. Must choose most beneficial type of renewable energy.
Great Mill View	Haddenham	Object	Turbines would industrialise rural landscape and impact cannot be mitigated. Mereham Inquiry stated visual value of the landscape. Residential and leisure amenity will be affected. Negative impact on views of Ely Cathedral. Safety issues – ice throws, noise and flicker. Government aware of issues. Hazard to drivers and health side effects. Close to Old West River. Applicant's own ecologists recommend further survey work. Any bird deaths are unacceptable. Mortality risk for bats even greater. Impact on social mobility and house prices. Cumulative impact. Wind farms are inefficient and there is no need/.
Great Mill View	Haddenham	Object	Technology is not energy or CO2 efficient. Assessing each application on its own overlooks cumulative effects. More efficient, safer and less intrusive wind technologies available. UK Govt policy is rapidly evolving and changing – unwise to commit at this time. Low speed area and applicant has carried out no measurement of wind patterns or strength. Access route will cause major disruption and due to proximity of right of way will be a potential hazard to pedestrians. Visual distraction to

			drivers. Adverse landscape and visual impact. Mereham objections. Developer has failed to properly consider visual impact. Impact on Madingley American Cemetery and heritage assets. High probability of significant buried artefacts on the site. No plans to clean up the site in the future. Potential wildlife corridor. Noise impact. Area already identified as being in a noise action first priority category. Impact of shadow flicker on homes and drivers. Major hazard to flight safety. Proposal fails the principles of sustainability on all counts.
Great Mill View	Haddenham	Object	Applicant has failed to demonstrate proposal is sustainable. Noise and disturbance, costs to community and NHS from ill health. Unacceptable impacts on wildlife and cultural heritage. Damage to fen edge landscape. No full carbon life-cycle analysis has been carried out. Evidence that actual life of machines approx. half of that claimed. Clear evidence that quantity of electricity generated will be far less than claimed by developer.
Hillrow	Haddenham	Object	Significant visual impact on landscape. Will stand out against flat, fenland landscape and dominate area affecting setting and views of historic buildings. Will set a precedent. Wind turbines one of the causes for the decline in bird population. Wind power is inefficient.
Station Road	Haddenham	Object	Adverse effects of noise on health of individuals. Government has disclosed that all wind farms currently in planning system are surplus to requirements. No meaningful benefits. Wind farm would continue to require subsidies and would still negatively impact upon health, the environment, wildlife and property prices.
Akeman Close	Stretham	Object	Will blight the health of Stretham village residents and the beautiful landscape.
Akeman Close	Stretham	Object	Noise impact will affect health. Slowing down turbines to reduce noise level negates their purposes. Lack of detail regarding grid connection shows that it is an ill-prepared proposal. Turbines proven to kill wildlife. Visual impact. Stated optimum capacity and actual output very different. What will happen when subsidies run out and who will finance decommissioning? Will set a precedent.
Berry Green	Stretham	Object	Will scar a beautiful and historic landscape. Noise impacts – low frequency noise and vibrations can cause illness. Shadow flicker can trigger epileptic attacks. Wind farms are inefficient. Stretham already contributing through solar farms. Subsidies only benefit landowner.

			Output capped to increase income. Renewable targets already met. ECDC committed to ensuring affected communities and residents involved in determination. Television reception will be impaired. Birds and bats will be killed.
Brook Lane	Stretham	Object	Do not understand necessity for erecting two gigantic wind turbines. Strong objection by majority of Stretham residents. Some with health problems could be affected and noise would no doubt affect everyone. Big impact on wildlife as well as traffic on A10 and A1123 breaking drivers' concentration which could easily cause accidents.
Cage Lane	Stretham	Object	It is reported that Government policy on renewable energy is being phased out – a belated acknowledgement that the scheme is unfair to consumers and useless as a means of meeting unrealistic targets. Site is about 1000m from the Great Ouse, a natural attraction for water fowl. Turbines would present a fatal hazard to any creatures whose flight path came within reach of the blades. Visual impact would be aesthetically objectionable and have a detrimental effect upon property values.
Cage Lane	Stretham	Object	Object to proposed installation of wind turbines at Stretham and surrounding area.
Cage Lane	Stretham	Object	Will dominate flat fenland landscape and distract car drivers. Noise and reflections from blades will cause disruption to residents and affect people's health. Do not comply with law that requires turbines to be at least 2km from residential houses. Present hazard to birds. Television and internet reception will be affected as well as local business and employment. Wind farms only 25% efficient. Subsidies only benefit big businesses and landowners. No need for inshore wind farms.
Cage Lane	Stretham	Object	CAA has advised that turbines should not be built within 3km of airstrip, these would be 600m. Noise limits suggest a minimum distance of 2km from residential housing. Low wind speeds in area. Gas stations produce much higher amount of energy. Not yet been approval of a cable to connect to the national Grid. No gain to local community. Turbines will ruin wonderful fenland views and wildlife. Value of properties decreasing.
Cage Lane	Stretham	Object	Object to wind turbines.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Lazy Otter development less than 1km from the site. Homes created for older people and is secure. Noise could affect pet dog. Impact on wildlife and my health. Once established it is easier to add turbines.

			Renewable targets already met.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Ruined landscape. Interference to televisions etc. Health hazard. Noisy. Shadow Flicker. Should be at least 2km from homes. Vibration issues? Impact on wildlife. Landowners financial gain. Reduction in house prices. Not as efficient as we are meant to believe.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Unsightly addition to a beautiful landscape. Noise 24 hours a day. Impact on wildlife. Television reception will be affected. Houses prices will fall, if saleable at all. Height of turbine nothing more than a disaster for this area. Model capable of producing marginally more power than will be produced due to a government loophole. Scheme brought about by greed and profiteering. Only people to gain are the farmer and developer.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Current home chosen as it was a peaceful area in a rural setting. Objected to bio-digester. Assured this would have little impact but traffic has increased dramatically which continues to midnight and causes congestion. Lazy Otter Meadows is a retirement environment less than 1 km from the site. Turbines would impact on over 100 people staying here. Landscape will be ruined, potential impact to health, noise will affect pets, devastating impact on wildlife, shadow flicker, noise and traffic disruption. Not convinced of benefits.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Agree with comments written by wife (above). Structures could be positioned where there is more remote land available. Renewable energy targets have been reached. Question the need to blight the local landscape in this way.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Objection has been re-registered as case officer has changed. This application fails as the area is not identified as suitable for wind energy and there has been no consultation with residents close to the planned site. Previous objections also remain
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Noise pollution and low frequency noise up to one and a half miles from the turbines. Visual impact. Traffic hazard during construction phase. Impact on wildlife. Danger of ice fling, fire, blade fling. Cumulative effect. Disruption of television signal. Distraction to A10 and A1123. Impact on open space and visual intrusion. Profit motive to the detriment of the environment. Will dominate beautiful and unspoilt landscape. Affect on house prices, some may become unsaleable. Already a solar farm close by and 2 more at the planning stage.

Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	My wife suffers from a neurological condition which can be triggered by noise. Concerned that wind turbines could exacerbate her condition.
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Will be faced with these monstrosities each time I look out of my sitting-room window. Until April this year I lived in Biggleswade, Beds. And have first hand experience of turbines. The Biggleswade/Langford installation dominates landscape for tens of miles. Impossible to feel anything but revulsion. If application succeeds has the Planning Office made provision for inevitable legal actions taken by those whose properties have been devalued?
Lazy Otter Meadows, Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Turbines are very out of date. Will ruin the view of Ely Cathedral. We need to protect our environment and its natural beauty. Moved from busy town to enjoy retirement.
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Do not wish to view these from my garden. Television interference. May affect ability to sell property and reduce it in value. Will there be any offer to compensate this?
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Damage to the landscape and visual impact. Mereham development rejected due to impact. Will set a precedent creating a wind turbine 'forest'. Daily traffic noise will be segmented at night. Area identified as being a priority for reducing traffic noise. Detrimental to health. Interference with television reception. Little benefit to community. Impact on Nature Conservation. Inefficiency of turbines, pollution from associated works and construction. Alternative technologies.
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Ecological reports appear inaccurate. Insufficient surveys. Question the scope and validity of reports. Great Ouse River is conduit for bird movements. Present misleading impression of density and variety of wildlife. Size and scale of the turbines will have a very significant affect on the landscape and will not be absorbed into the landscape as stated in the visual impact assessment. Unique landscape mentioned by Mereham Inspector. Solar energy is a more suitable alternative. Will proposed access be suitable? Can local electrical network accommodate the additional load?
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Aware of risks of having wind farms so close to my home. Negative impact on community is unacceptable.
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Aware of risks of having wind farms so close to my home.
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Operate a boarding kennels and cattery adjacent to Red Hill Farm. Low level noise and flicker shown to disturb and distress dogs and cats. Will

			not be accustomed as only there for short periods of time. Potential negative impact on business. Council's policy states that applicants should fully engage with community. No approach made by developer. Similar case in Shropshire refused due to impact on a kennels. Customers will reconsider whether to use business – currently their first impressions are of quiet, pleasant surroundings.
Cambridge Road	Stretham	Object	Uses adjacent public footpath to walk dogs. Worried that they would get electrocuted and there would be loud noise. In summer months we sit in ground while having a picnic and watch sunsets. If plans go ahead they will spoil the views we would not want to do it again.
Ely Road	Stretham	Object	As a community the nearby anaerobic digester and solar farm are supportive of the government's plans for renewables. Local environment is destroyed for vast continual financial gain. Stretham has a low wind level and any noise will project over village. Impact on approach to Ely, effect on tourism and hose prices.
Ely Road	Stretham	Object	Stretham surrounded by maize production for anaerobic digester. Together with solar farm Stretham has done its "bit" for the renewable programme.
Ely Road	Stretham	Object	Contractors vehicles will cause chaos on the A10. Blot on the landscape, viewable for many miles. Create health issues and noise pollution. Houses will lose value. Damaging to wildlife and the environment.
Ely Road	Stretham	Object	Stretham is already the site for a solar farm with 2 more in the pipeline. Surrounded by 'maize for energy' since the spring which grows to some height so views across the landscape are impaired. The siting of two turbines will impair the view to the south west, cause intolerable noise pollution, affect wildlife and house prices and have health implications.
Ely Road	Stretham	Object	'New' information does nothing to allay fears. Omission of any mention of community benefit suggests that the applicant has withdrawn the original commitment.
Ely Road	Stretham	Object	Will visually spoil the open nature of scenic beauty. Enriching a farmer at the expense of local amenity.
Field side	Stretham	Object	Turbines will cause a distraction to drivers travelling along A10 and increase chance of collision. Wind speed in the area is insufficient. Visual impact and detrimental impact on fen landscape. Application for Mereham settlement rejected on these grounds. Ely Cathedral should

			remain the most prominent landmark on the horizon.
Field side	Stretham	Object	Location is inappropriate and damaging to nearby villages and the surrounding area. Threatens to destroy unspoilt vista of an unbroken skyline. Wind speed insufficient to be efficient. Will affect road safety. What happens to materials when the development is no longer needed?
Field side	Stretham	Object	Public consultation and proposal website play down the noise wind turbines create. A national auto grass racetrack is next to the site and the sound of race meetings can clearly be heard in Stretham and is similar to that of the turbines.
Field side	Stretham	Object	As above.
Field side	Stretham	Object	No need for more on shore wind farms. Parish Council have stated their preference for solar energy which is in abundance around Stretham.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Visual impact. Noise pollution and affect on house prices. Energy output figures inaccurate and turbines are inefficient. Financial gain for applicant with no local benefits. Existing overproduction of renewable energy. Loss of land for food growing. Birds and wildlife under threat.
Green End	Stretham	Object	A10 link road carries thousands of visitors and tourists. Turbines will drastically change landscape and dominate it for years to come. Too close to residential properties – impact on health. Government has made it clear that subsidies will be withdrawn if relected. Farms are for producing food not energy.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Will spoil the drive for residents and visitors to the area. There has been improvement in the area in the last 20 years. People will choose not to move to the area. Impact on health, noise, property prices.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Application contains factual inaccuracies and misleading statements. Lack of reasoning for choice of turbine. A single turbine could produce the same output. No mention of an alternative turbine which will generate more power for the same size and footprint. No proposal to provide power directly to affected villages. No firm commitment to donate percentage of income. Ludicrous suggestion that the turbines could supply local village in an off-grid manner. Any small contribution will not make up for reduction in house prices. Reciting planning policies does not mean they are met. Does not comply with SPD on Renewable Energy Development in respect of community engagement. Number of factual inaccuracies. Resubmitted application does not deal

			with grid connection issues.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Waste of taxpayers money through subsidies. Object to ugly and obtrusive object in our countryside.
Green End	Stretham	Object	No consultation since first application submitted and no significant changes in this application. Structure will completely change character of agricultural landscape. Will set precedent. Wind farms are inefficient. Applications show little concern for people living nearby. Choice of turbine is to maximise subsidies, not output. Detrimental impact on social mobility. Renewable targets already met. Impact on wildlife.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Too close to residential housing. A lot of health concerns. Already have solar farm for renewable energy. House prices may be affected, some may become unsaleable. Many other reasons for objection – all listed by Stop Stretham Wind Farm group.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Financial gain for landowner with no public benefit. Houses on southern edge of the village will be blighted. Noise optimised by prevailing south-westerly winds. Will set a precedent. No serious attempt to gauge local opinion. Financial viability based upon a government subsidy, a policy which may change. Application made in anticipation of this.
Green End	Stretham	Object	Motive for application is to claim subsidies rather than generate electricity. Most detrimental to houses on southern side of village. Historic landmarks will be dominated and visual impact felt over a wide area. Well documented effects on health caused by noise and flicker. Concerns for wildlife. Will set a precedent. Aldreth and Haddenham already threatened by a proposal. Renewable targets met.
The Grove	Stretham	Object	Too close to residential housing as dictated by European law. Impact on health of residents and wildlife. Will set a precedent.
The Grove	Stretham	Object	Too close to residential area. European law states that wind farms should be 2km from domestic dwellings. Will spoil views. No benefits to locals, only person to gain is landowner. Downsizing output to create need for 2 is exploitation of planning loophole for financial gain. Increased congestion and risk of accidents on A10. Environmentally unfriendly as concrete bases left in ground when turbines dismantled. Renewable targets already met.
The Grove	Stretham	Object	As above.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Turbines do very little in terms of a contribution to energy production

			and cause concern in terms of visual disruption, noise, signal interference and danger to wildlife.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Height of turbines out of proportion. Loss of visual amenity. Less than 2km from housing and in contravention of EU law. Noise impact and highway safety implications. Turbines are inefficient. Negative impacts outweigh optimistic contribution to renewable energy.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Terrible effect on special landscape. Not just a local objection it concerns our national heritage. Objection applies to any and every location around the Isle of Ely.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Appearance is ugly and will be seen for miles around and will affect quality of life. They can make you sick – my husband suffers from vertigo. Chose to live here as it was peaceful , quiet and attractive. Will reduce property prices and people ability to move for employment. Unnecessary as solar farms and panels operating. Only people to benefit will be landowners and developers. Flat fenland means they cannot be disguised Government money better spent on helping individuals. Psychological effect should not be underestimated.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Dominate unspoilt landscape. Adverse affect of noise. The Fens are used by many rare birds. Hazardous to birds and bats. Likley to impair TV reception. Wind farms are inefficient. Local solar farms far more efficient. Subsidies only benefit landowner and not local residents. House prices may be affected. Renewable targets already met. ECDC policy requires all affected local communities and residents are full involved in determination of such planning applications.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Detrimental impact on local landscape, wildlife and house prices.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Detrimental impact on local landscape, wildlife and house prices.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Detrimental impact on local environment, wildlife and landscape.
High Street	Stretham	Object	Impact on landscape, environment and wildlife.
King Cob	Stretham	Object	Height of turbines will dwarf all buildings including Cathedral and Stretham Old Engine. Impact on health – ECDC responsible if development permitted. Other countries require turbines to be 2km from housing. Breach of Human Rights. Impact on birds and bats. Reduction in house prices with some houses unsaleable. Wind farms are inefficient. No financial benefit to anyone apart from the landowner and developer.
King Cob	Stretham	Object	Stretham is ill served by a lack of amenities but is expected to

			accommodate huge solar farms, acres of bio-fuel and now these monsters. This close to the A10, less than 1km from dwellings at the Lazy Otter, the location is wholly inappropriate. Understand that in Scotland turbines must be 2km from any dwellings. Doubts as to the efficiency and benefits, detrimental impact on wildlife, nearby residents and landscape.
Kitson Gardens	Stretham	Object	As a resident I am concerned with the health implications from the noise pollution of the turbines. Peoples health and welfare must come before the few Kws of electricity produced. Flicker effect also a concern. Impact on driving conditions and bird population. Visual impact hugely detrimental.
Meadowcroft	Stretham	Object	Will cause damage to landscape, wildlife and pollution during construction and future noise Flicker will cause health problems for epileptic. Impact on heritage of local area. Inefficient. No local economic benefits. No need for further wind farms.
Meadowcroft	Stretham	Object	Will cause damage to landscape, wildlife and pollution during construction and future noise Flicker will cause health problems for epileptic. Impact on heritage of local area. Inefficient. No local economic benefits. No need for further wind farms.
Meadowcroft	Stretham	Object	Turbines are required to be 2km away from residential premises. Health and safety implications from low frequency noise, vibrations and flicker effect. Blades have sheared off, turbines can topple over and burst into flames. Damaging visual impact. Hazardous to birds and bats. Except for the developer no one in the local community will benefit. Local housing market will suffer. Wind turbines one of the least effective forms of reducing carbon footprint. Less instructive forms available. Subsidies better spent on research or grants.
Meadowcroft	Stretham	Object	Turbines are required to be 2km away from residential premises. Impact on health and safety of residents. Health and safety implications from low frequency noise, vibrations and flicker effect. Blades have sheared off, turbines can topple over and burst into flames. Damaging visual impact. Hazardous to birds and bats. Devalue property prices. Environmental damage caused elsewhere to obtain materials for turbines. Question 'green' credentials. Less intrusive methods available. Subsidies could be given to individual home owners instead.
Newmarket Road	Stretham	Object	Huge change to landscape and will spoil views of our big skies. All who

			travel on the A10 will view them. Mereham project refused due to impact on same landscape. Low wind area. Turbines underrate so two are needed – double the subsidy. Apparently no capacity to take electricity into the grid. Renewable targets already met. Concerned regarding noise, shadow flicker and dangers to birds and bats. In Europe and Scotland turbines not permitted within 2km of a dwelling Television reception may be affected and housing market defiantly will. Dangers of flooding not properly considered. Profits for developers etc, we are the losers.
Newmarket Road	Stretham	Object	Wind speeds are too low and render the proposal not fit for purpose. I have recorded wind speeds in my garden. Average wind speed means that turbines will generate power at best only for short periods. Wind speeds insufficient for turbines to achieve their stated output for any appreciable period and for most of the time, there will generate none at all. Yet so large that they will destroy the iconic landscape. Impose on views of historic buildings. Even when wind is below cut-in speed vanes will still turn generating noise and flicker. Communities have not been properly consulted. District already doing more than fair share through solar farm and burning and digesting of biomass.
Oak Drive	Stretham	Object	To close to residential area. Blight on landscape with no benefit to community. Renewable targets already met. Scheme only benefits landowner. Capping power output is exploitation of planning loophole to increase revenue.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	Would be disastrous for the village and especially for people who live close to the site.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	Enjoy Stretham's friendly, unpretentious rural atmosphere. Village already suffers from disturbing background noise from the A10 – impact from turbines will add considerably to this. I have a scientific background with an insight into technical issues surrounding these turbines. Far from convinced that they will generate adequate electricity given wind energy in Stretham is measurably less than in most other parts of the country. Noise and flicker will be substantial and height of the turbines will dwarf anything else in our beautiful fen landscape. Concerned about impact on wildlife, especially birds.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	Dominate beautiful and unspoilt landscape. Noise will affect hundreds of households. Low frequency noise and vibrations can cause illness.

			Prevailing wind will carry noise directly towards the village. Impact on birds and bats. Likely to impair television reception. Reduction in property prices. Shadow flicker implicated in epileptic attacks. Subsidies only benefit farmer and developer. European and Scottish law dictates wind farms must be minimum of 2km from residential housing.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	Too close to the village and serves no purposes but to provide another irritant to blight our lives whilst lining the pockets of others.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	Very concerned about health implications as a diagnosed epileptic.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	Many reasons why this should not go ahead – health of the population and beautiful Fen habitat for rare birds and bats. Main reason – turbines do not produce much electricity. Money making scam only benefiting landowner and developer. Stretham already has large area of farmland covered by solar panels.
Reads Street	Stretham	Object	The impact upon the landscape is grossly disproportionate to the energy it will produce. Topography of the surrounding land is so flat that wind speeds are not substantially higher at greater heights. Hubs could be mounted lower and still produce almost as much power while dramatically limiting the impact upon the surrounding landscape.
Sadler Way	Stretham	Object	Renewable targets already met. Support campaign for renewable energy – solar farms already in the area. These are discreet and effective. Live in a new purpose built home with energy efficient features and many neighbours are erecting solar panels. Attitude is that people want to protect the environment. Would set a precedent for more turbines. Height is overbearing. Impact on local landscape immeasurable. Permanent infliction on landscape. Should not place more value on energy created than negative impact on people. Background noise a concern. Risk and effect on birds – site close to Wicken Fen. Diminish historical value of windmill. Site of archaeological interest.
Short Road	Stretham	Object	Structure is too big to have so close to the village. Also a hazard as so close to the A10. Not effective for such a costly, invasive structure. Application largely driven by corporate and personal greed.
Short Road	Stretham	Object	Turbines are taller than Ely Cathedral and Stretham Old Engine. Will only produce useable electricity about 5 days of the year.
Short Road	Stretham	Object	Unspoilt and beautiful area – erection of turbines would be a tragedy

			and cause health risks. Support renewable energy – doing our bit with solar farm. Wind turbine not efficient in low wind areas such as this. Money should be spent on more efficient technologies.
Short Road	Stretham	Object	Detrimental effect on people's health and well as harming local wildlife. Inefficient. Make money for landowner. Solar farms already provide renewable energy in area.
Short Road	Stretham	Object	Visual impact. Environmental damage. Unacceptable proximity to homes. Ecological impact on wildlife. Damage to tourism and threat to local jobs. Effect of noise and flicker on local residents.
Short Road	Stretham	Object	No need for two huge turbines in this unique landscape when there is a more efficient, cheaper and less emotive alternative available – solar panels. Applicant has sufficient land available to build a solar farm. Which will provide a more stable and reliable source of income. Financial rewards for turbines may be withdrawn by the Government.
Starlock Close	Stretham	Object	Eye is currently drawn to Ely Cathedral. Turbines are a blot on the landscape. Noisy and clearly visible from homes. Properties may become unsaleable, reducing social mobility.
Starlock Close	Stretham	Object	Turbines are too close to the village – health effects. Flicker, disruption to TV and radio signals, effect on migration of birds, spoil natural beauty of the landscape. Wind power is an expensive and ineffectual method of producing power. Stretham already has a vast solar farm.
Starlock Close	Stretham	Object	Turbines will dominant beautiful and unspoilt Fen countryside. Damaging effects on wildlife. Potential hazards for human health. In the EU there is legislation to prevent turbines within 2km of inhabited areas. Local GP has written of harmful effects of proposed turbines on Berry Fen. Turbines would add to noise pollution from the A10. Flicker effect from blades could be a distraction to motorists, trigger migraines and epileptic fits. ECDC has an adopted policy on community involvement. Every household in Stretham should have received details of the proposed wind turbines. As far as I am aware they have not.
Starlock Close	Stretham	Object	Proximity of turbines. In Scotland and Europe a minimum of 2km from residential areas is recommended. This proposal would be closer and would affect people in terms of noise and visual impact. Would dominate view from our back garden. Will affect social mobility. Noise is sub-audible and can be felt more than heard. Facing towards prevailing winds and noise will be carried towards the village. This type

			of noise can cause illness as mentioned by The Society for Wind Vigilance. Turbines will operate at night when noise from A10 is less. County Council looking to reduce road noise in the area. Turbines will detract from the character of local area. Mereham inquiry report highlights issues and ECDCs/CCCs views. Detail on output and efficiency is vague. Renewable targets already met. Reports of developers being paid not to produce electricity. Adopted SPD requires full engagement.
St James Close	Stretham	Object	Renewable targets already met. Public bodies must consider the ascetic impact and known health hazards. The “green” aspects are questionable.
St James Close	Stretham	Object	Structures would dominate skyline and noise would impact on villagers of Stretham. No need for more wind turbines. Govt emission reduction targets already met. Potential health problems.
Walnut Tree Close	Stretham	Object	Development is unsuitable due to relative lack of winds. Renewable targets already met. Site is too close to residential housing and the noise will affect properties. Application is maximising profit by increasing the environmental impact needed for the proposed power output.
Walnut Tree Close	Stretham	Object	Unnecessary as solar farm in place plus two others planned. Height is out of proportion. Detrimental to health. Will affect television reception. Targets already met.
Wilburton Road	Stretham	Object	Further housing is needed in the area and this is likely to be prejudiced by this application if it goes ahead. Stretham is not a village of “NIMBYs” – evidenced by SWCLT. Turbines are likely to destroy continued development and improvement of village. Private profit must not come before public benefit.
Wilburton Road	Stretham	Object	Stretham is a historical settlement classified as “village life” and “accessible countryside”. Installation would be out of that classification and contrary to published plans and policies. Loss of agricultural land will increase importing of food. Noise will be excessive, unpleasant and damaging to health. Impact on residents’ working from home. Visual impact due to flat terrain. Offering a percentage of income to the Parish Council may be an offence under the Bribery Act 2000.
Wilburton Road	Stretham	Object	It is not the right scheme for cheaper electricity for me. In very cold

			weather, if there is no wind, they do not work.
Wilburton Road	Stretham	Object	Inefficient, ugly and impractical method of producing electricity and would only see to benefit the landowner.
Breach Lane	Wilburton	Object	Already committed to solar farm which is unobtrusive, no noise, no risk of falling or danger to light aircraft.
Broadway	Wilburton	Object	Impact on rural fenland landscape and poor wind speeds means that less intrusive solutions to renewable energy are more appropriate. Scheme will affect nearby public footpath. Grid connection issues still not dealt with. Model of turbine proposed needs two to deliver planned output, presumably to benefit from subsidies. Insufficient information on output calculations. Developer has not carried out a full and inclusive consultation process.
Clarkes Lane	Wilburton	Object	Turbines will dominate the rural landscape, be a considerable eyesore and significantly disturb residents who will suffer from increased road traffic, noise and mess from construction vehicles. Value of home reduced. Only gain would be to the landowner. Once development is allow further expansion is easier. Question whether poor output justifies costs. True value of output with accurate figures needed. Renewable energy already being generated from solar energy.
High Street	Wilburton	Object	Unsightly and not practical due to the poor wind speed. Lack of information on output.
High Street	Wilburton	Object	Proven to be ineffective. Too close to housing. It would be an eyesore. Detrimental to wildlife. House prices would suffer.
High Street	Wilburton	Object	Feel we are under constant siege by inappropriate planning applications that blight our area and have no benefits and in many cases have negative impacts and effects on communities. Only people to benefit is energy company and landowner because of enormous subsidies. Out of scale with landscape and historic character. More visible than Berry fen since not sited far from A10. Wind turbines are inefficient and cannot operate when other 'green' schemes are in operation and grid is at capacity. Environmental damage from manufacturing process. Ecology report is short and inadequate. Bat collisions cannot be completely ruled out even with re-siting of turbines. Turbines typically decommissioned after 25 years – short term – more energy to produce and de-commission than can be generated. Will a precedent be set?
School Lane	Wilburton	Object	Increased local traffic including heavy goods/construction traffic.

			Creation of noise and air pollution. Road surface damaged by HGVs and becoming more dangerous. Adverse visual impact. Bird life will be impacted. Understand need for renewable energy but size and scale of turbines is disproportionate to needs and sensitivities of local environment.
School Lane	Wilburton	Object	Increased local traffic including heavy goods/construction traffic. Creation of noise and air pollution. Road surface damaged by HGVs and becoming more dangerous. Adverse visual impact. Bird life will be impacted. Understand need for renewable energy but size and scale of turbines is disproportionate to needs and sensitivities of local environment.
Twentypence Road	Wilburton	Object	No public consultation on new proposals. Will cause significant distress as within 2 miles of residential areas. Pilots needing to use Mitchells Farm airfield will be affected. In wind turbines would present a significant danger. Will put off visitors to airfield, reducing viability and removing a effective emergency landing area. Will interrupt big skies. Does not comply with ECDC as no local support. Community pays higher bills and only receives detriment. Withdrawal of application and resubmission is an abuse of the planning process. Visual impact. Will set a precedent. Industrial machinery contrasts with local character. Affects of noise and vibration. Cause EMC interference. Low wind levels. Efficiency. Applicant has not shown why site is most appropriate. Community supportive of other methods, i.e. solar. If communities are willing to accept impacts it shown own complete benefits. No meaningful employment – will just make area undesirable to live in.
Twentypence Road	Wilburton	Object	I live on a property on the eastern side of Twentypence Road. Views from property, in particular from the rear bedroom, will be severely impacted. Also visible from a long distance and will overwhelm many historic buildings in the area. Impact on houses prices. Size and scale inappropriate in such a rural setting. Concerned about low frequency noise modulation and impact on noise levels in my home on the health of my family. Aware of impacts of turbines on a friend. Current research suggests it is not possible to identify issues until turbines have been constructed. Disturbance on nearby public footpath. Impact on safety of airstrip at Mitchell's Farm. Encouraged to see recent Council

			discussions regarding wind farms. ECDC has supported renewable energy with development of solar energy, the Council does not need to approve turbines to meet renewable energy targets.
Twentypence Road	Wilburton	Object	Notice very correct objection by the DIO relating to radar coverage. As a local pilot based at Cambridge Airport I can confirm very essential use of radar service for managing aircraft in this area. Owners of Mitchells Farm airfield have indicated that their operation would be unsafe. Radar clutter can be removed but unlikely effects of shading aircraft signatures can be overcome. Object to infrared lights – pollute night sky and prevent use of telescope and camera. Light would flash and highlight turning of the blades.
Twentypence Road	Wilburton	Object	Object on the basis of the revised planning guidance provided in the written ministerial statement on 18 th June 2015. Overwhelming response of the communities affected have been to raise concerns and reject the proposal. Concerned that representations keep being made regarding safety concerns of Mitchells Airfield.
Twentypence Road	Wilburton	Object	Potential impact on surrounding environment. Impact on landscape and surrounding wildlife. Noise to those in close proximity. Devalue local properties. Imposing on surrounding properties.
Twentypence Road	Wilburton	Object	Too near to residential property and an unnecessary eyesore on the countryside as well as not being in a particularly windy area of the country. More beneficial on the edge of cliffs. In Europe and Scotland turbines have to be 2km away from residential housing. Nearby solar farm sufficient to help with renewable energy. Will endanger rare birds and other wildlife. Can cause health problems. Flicker effect can cause epileptic attacks. Other technologies available. Worried that value of house being affected. Renewable targets already met.
Warren Close	Wilburton	Object	Noise impact from audible and low frequency noise. Many health problems as a result. European and Scottish law dictates wind farms must be 2k from residential housing. Will not create any permanent jobs and will damage local economies. Inefficient form of energy production. Health effects of low frequency vibration. Television reception likely to be impaired. Renewable target already met. House prices affected.
Church Street	Gamlingay, Sandy	Support	Scale of turbines in this landscape will not be a problem. Visible from the A10 which I use and would look fine. Comply fully with government

			and ECDC policies by generating clean energy and local income without taking agricultural land.
Meir Heath	Stoke-on-Trent	Object	Regular visitor to area. Disappointing that large expanse of sky could be irreparably damaged. Having read that turbines are ineffective, unproductive and unpredictable, assume that proposal is to make a killing out of ludicrously high subsidies and feed in tariffs. Structures will be an eyesore. Hope that the Council elected to represent local residents and protect landscape will reject this application.
Lemreway	South Locks, Isle of Lewis	Object	Turbines are a blight on rural areas. Contribution to low carbon energy is negligible. Only benefits are subsidies. Winder energy is reliant on weather and is unreliable, intermittent and inefficient.
Unknown		Object	Wind speed inefficient. Electricity produced against negative aspects so not make this a viable proposition. Renewable energy targets already met. Those receiving subsidies will benefit, not the local community or wildlife. Money better spent on alternative technologies.
Unknown		Object	Will spoil landscape. Will only produce a small amount of electricity. Currently no facility or connection for any electricity produced to be transferred to the national grid. No community gain.