## **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) FORM**

Name of Policy:	Taxi Licensing Policy			
Lead Officer (responsible for assessment):	Stewart Broome			
Department:	Licensing			
Others Involved in the Assessment (i.e. peer review, external challenge):				
Date EIA Completed:	25/10/2017			
What is an Equality Impact Assessment (El	A)?			
As part of any effective policy development process, it is important to consider any potential risks to those who will_be affected by the policy's aims or by its implementation. The Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) process helps us to assess the implications of our decisions on the whole community, to eliminate discrimination, tackle inequality, develop a better understanding of the community we serve, target resources efficiently, and adhere to the transparency and accountability element of the Public Sector Equality Duty.  The word 'policy', in this context, includes the different things that the Council does. It includes any policy, procedure or practice - both in employment and service delivery. It also includes proposals for restructuring, redundancies and changes to service provision.				
(a) What is the policy trying to achieve? i.e. What is the aim/purpose of the policy? Is it affected by external drivers for change? What outcomes do we want to achieve from the policy? How will the policy be put into practice?				
The policy aims to set out the requirements to be met by those who wish to operate in the taxi sector, and it aims to promote safeguarding, and general public safety principles. It also aims to provide guidance to all involved to ensure consistency of approach.				
(b) Who are its main beneficiaries? i.e. who	will be affected by the policy?			
Persons wishing to conduct licensable activitie	es			
(c) Is the EIA informed by any information or background data (quantitative or qualitative)? i.e. consultations, complaints, applications received, allocations/take-up, satisfaction rates performance indicators, access audits, census data, benchmarking, workforce profile etc.				
	irect legislation, and case law. The proposals have been ll key stakeholders including disability awareness			
(d) Does this policy have the potential to cause a positive or negative impact on different groups in the community, on the grounds of any of the protected characteristics? (please tick all that apply)				
Gender Reassignment Reassignmen	ge eligion and Belief exual Orientation arriage & Civil Partnership aring Responsibilities			

Appendix 5 Agenda Item 5 – page 45 **Please explain any impact identified:** i.e. What do you already know about equality impact or need? Is there any evidence that there is a higher or lower take-up by particular groups? Have there been any demographic changes or trends locally? Are there any barriers to accessing the policy or service?

No	
(e) Does the policy have a differential impact on different groups?	NO
(f) Is the impact adverse (i.e. less favourable)?	NO
(g) Does it have the potential to disadvantage or discriminate unfairly against any of the groups in a way that is unlawful?	NO

(h) How have you engaged stakeholders in gathering evidence or testing the policy proposals? Who was involved, how and when where they engaged? Does the evidence show potential for differential impact? How will you mitigate any negative impacts? Where there is the potential for an adverse impact that cannot be addressed immediately, these should be highlighted in your recommendations and objectives at the end of the EIA.

A consultation was held with key stakeholders and the public at large for a period of eight weeks.

(i) Summarise the findings of your research and/or consultation (please use a separate sheet if necessary).

None

(j) What are the risks associated with the policy in relation to differential impact and unmet needs/requirements? i.e. reputation, financial, breach of legislation, service exclusion, lack of resources, lack of cooperation, insufficient budget etc.

None

(k) Use the information gathered in the earlier stages of your EIA to make a judgement on whether there is the potential for the policy to result in unlawful discrimination or a less favourable impact on any group in the community, and what changes (if any) need to be made to the policy.

Option 1:	<b>No major change -</b> the evidence shows that the policy is robust and no	Χ
	potential for discrimination.	
Option 2:	Adjust the policy - to remove barriers or to better promote equality.	
Option 3:	Continue the policy - despite potential for adverse impact or missed	
	opportunity to promote equality, provided you have satisfied yourself that	
	it does not unlawfully discriminate.	
Option 4:	Stop and remove the policy – if the policy shows adverse effects that	
	cannot be justified.	

(I) Where you have identified the potential for adverse impact, what action can be taken to remove or mitigate against the potential for the policy to unlawfully discriminate or impact less favourably on one or more communities in a way that cannot be justified? Include key activities that are likely to have the greatest impact (max. 6). Identified actions should be specified in detail for the first year but there may be further longer term actions which need to be considered. To ensure that your actions are more than just a list of good intentions, include for each: the person responsible for its completion, a timescale for completion, any cost implications and how these will be addressed. It is essential that you incorporate these actions into your service plans.

<sup>\*</sup> The Consultation Register is available to assist staff in consulting with the Council's stakeholders.

East Cambridgeshire Distri	ct Council	APPENDIX 5
	need to be countersigned by your lorms to the Principal HR Officer.	Head of Service. Please forward
Group (EOWG) and pub Council is actively engage to equalities. Please be a	eed to scrutinised and verified by the Colished on the Council's Intranet to deed in tackling potential discrimination a ware that may be asked to attend a hascrutiny and Verification panel.	emonstrate to local people that the nd improving its practices in relation
Signatures:		
Completing Officer:	Stewart Broome	25/10/2017 Date:
Completing Officer:	Liz Knox	Date:
Head of Service:		Date: