

Scoring Criteria for Regulation 123 List Major Projects Funding

Projects will be scored on their ability to meet the criteria set out below; extra weighting will be given to the scores awarded for good fit with the Council's infrastructure priorities.

1. Proposed project fit with East Cambridgeshire Local Plan and ECDC Council Corporate Priorities

The above documents set out the Council's infrastructure priorities, based on proposed housing growth across the district and in consultation with local communities:

- Does the project contribute to the delivery of the District Council's Corporate Priorities?
- Does the project deliver specific objectives and policies of the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan?
- Is this project identified as a priority in the relevant Village Vision within the Local Plan?
- Does the project deliver specific objectives and policies of the Cambridgeshire Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2013 (where applicable)?

2. Deliverability and funding of proposed project

Proposed projects will be considered with regard to the following criteria:

- Deliverability: The ability of the applicant to deliver the expected benefits on time and within budget.
- Access to alternative funding: Can the project be funded from alternative funding streams?
- Match funding: The level of match funding that the project has been secured or identified.

3. Prioritisation of proposed projects based level of local growth and evidence of need

The money collected from CIL can only be used to fund infrastructure projects in the area that are needed as a result of development:

- Is this project necessary to support local growth?
- Has the applicant provided evidence of need?
- Has the application provided evidence of stakeholder support (where applicable)?
- Does the project offer wider as well as local benefits?

East Cambridgeshire District Council
R123 List Major Project Assessment and Prioritisation Form

Project title
<i>Commencing with location</i>

Project description and estimated cost	
Type of Infrastructure:	<i>General description of proposed infrastructure</i>
Aims and Objectives of project:	<i>Link to corporate priorities</i>
Estimated capital cost (£k): Revenue cost per annum (£k): Third party contributions (£k):	<i>Estimate capital investment / revenue impact and list contribution source</i>

Proposed project fit East Cambridgeshire Local Plan and ECDC Council Corporate Priorities		Score (extra weighting given)	
Level of contribution to the delivery of the District Council's Corporate Priorities	<i>Directly or indirectly related to one or more of the District Council's Corporate Priorities</i> <i>One point awarded per Corporate Priority</i>	0 to +5 X2	
Level of contribution to specific objectives and policies of the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan	<i>Directly or indirectly related to one or more of the Local Plan objectives/policies, identified as a priority in the relevant Village Vision within the Local Plan</i> <i>1 point awarded per Village Vision project is identified in</i> <i>1 point awarded per Local Plan objective/policies</i>	0 to +5 X2	
Fit with specific objectives and policies of the Cambridgeshire Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2031 (where applicable)	<i>Emphasis of any new transport capacity in the area is on public transport, traffic restraint, cyclists and pedestrians</i> <i>1 point awarded per objective/policy</i>	0 to +5 X2	

Deliverability and funding of proposed project		Score	
Ability of applicant to	<i>The extent to which a project is deemed likely to deliver the expected benefits within the declared cost/time/quality</i>	0 to +5	

deliver the expected benefits within the declared cost/time/quality envelope.	<i>envelope. Applicant's previous experience of delivering infrastructure projects.</i>		
Access to alternative funding	<i>Does the applicant have sufficient reserves to fund the project themselves? Does the project contribute towards the delivery of infrastructure by a provider (including the County Council) where it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that the infrastructure would not otherwise be delivered; i.e. that all other possible funding sources are insufficient</i> <i>0 points awarded if other funding sources available which cost pay for project, up to 5 depending on amount of other funding available</i>	0 to +5	
Match funding	<i>Level of match funding as percentage of project cost</i> <i>None =0 points</i> <i>Under 10% = 1 point</i> <i>10-19%= 2 points</i> <i>20-29% = 3 points</i> <i>30-39% = 4 points</i> <i>40%+ = 5 points</i>	0 to +5	

Level of local growth and evidence of need		Score	
Level of growth in settlement from 2013	<i>Number of new dwellings identified in draft Local Plan</i> <i>Under 10 = 0 points</i> <i>10-49 = 1 point</i> <i>50-99 = 2 points</i> <i>100-149 = 3 points</i> <i>150-199 = 4 points</i> <i>200+ = 5 points</i>	0 to +5	
Evidence of need provided	<i>Robustness of evidence submitted, local community demand or resistance, and linkage with other projects and developments</i>	0 to +5	
Evidence of stakeholder support (where applicable)	<i>Level of support from relevant statutory organisation/service provider, member support</i> <i>One point for each of the following</i> <i>Parish Council support</i> <i>District Council support</i> <i>County Council support</i> <i>Service provider support</i> <i>Other stakeholder support</i>	0 to +5	
Geographical coverage of project	<i>Expected catchment area of impact</i> <i>Parish = 1 point</i> <i>Ward = 2 points</i> <i>Neighbourhood Panel Area = 3 points</i> <i>District = 4 points</i> <i>County = 5 points</i>	0 to +5	

Total Score (out of maximum of 55 for non-transport projects, 65 for transport projects)	Score	Score as %