
TITLE: GAMBLING ACT 2005 LICENSING STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES – THREE YEAR REVIEW

COMMITTEE: Licensing Committee

DATE: 9 September 2015

AUTHOR: Stewart Broome, Senior Licensing Officer

[Q65]

1.0 ISSUE

1.1 To approve the revised version of the Council's Gambling Act 2005 - Statement of Principles for Licensing.

2.0 RECOMMENDATION(S)

2.1 That Members approve the revised version of the Gambling Act 2005 - Statement of Principles for Licensing.

2.2 That Members recommend the approved Gambling Act 2005 - Statement of Principles for Licensing to full Council for adoption to come into effect on 31 January 2016 following a period of publication to be not less than 28 days.

3.0 BACKGROUND

3.1 The draft Statement of Principles of Licensing was presented to the Licensing Committee on 15 July 2015 and formal consultation took place between 16 July 2015 and 27 August 2015.

3.2 Officers received three responses from those consulted. Two responses fully supported the policy, and offered no suggestions for Members to consider, and for this reason have not been included in this report. The other response from the Association of British Bookmakers (the "ABB") asks that Members note their views on the introduction of the new Gambling Commission "Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice (LCCP)", and their concerns with how the Council may implement these new powers. This response can be found as **Appendix 1** to this report.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Sections 16.14 to 16.20 cover the new LCCP provisions. This information has been taken directly from the Gambling Commission's LCCP supporting documentation and guidance, and has not been altered by Officers. Having considered the information contained in sections 16.14 to 16.20 in the draft

policy, and the information contained in the ABB response, Officers do not consider that amendments to the policy are required. The policy can be viewed at: www.eastcambbs.gov.uk/licensing/gambling-act-2005.

5.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 There are no cost implications over and above the normal costs of administering the 2005 Act.
- 5.2 An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) has been completed showing there is no adverse impact on the community.

6.0 APPENDICES

- 6.1 Appendix 1 ABB response
- 6.2 Appendix 2 Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

| <u>Background Documents</u> | <u>Location</u> | <u>Contact Officer</u> |
|--|------------------------|--|
| The Gambling Act 2003 | Room SF208 | |
| Gambling Commission guidance for Local Authorities. | The Grange, Ely | Senior Licensing Officer (01353) 616477 |
| Gambling Commission: Strengthening social responsibility: LCCP amendments | | |

Licensing,
East Cambridgeshire DC,
The Grange,
Nutholt Lane,
Ely, CB7 4EE
24th August 2015

Gambling Policy Statement of Principles consultation | ABB response

August 2015

Introduction

The Association of British Bookmakers (ABB) represents over 80% of the high street betting market. Our members include large national operators such as William Hill, Ladbrokes, Coral and Paddy Power, as well as almost 100 smaller independent bookmakers.

Please see below for the ABB's response to the current consultation on the Council's review of its gambling policy statement.

This sets out the ABB approach to partnership working with local authorities and details our views on the implementation of the new LCCP requirements, from April 2016, relating to operators' local area risk assessments and their impact on the licensing regime. We are concerned to ensure these changes are not implemented in such a way as to fundamentally change the premises licence regime through undermining the "aim to permit" principle.

In our view the current regime already adequately offers key protections for communities and already provides a clear process (including putting the public on notice) for objections to premises licence applications. The recent planning law changes effective since April 2015 have also already increased the ability of licensing authorities to review applications for new premises, as all new betting shops must now apply for planning permission.

It is important that this is also set within the context of declining betting shop numbers. Over recent years betting shop numbers have been relatively stable at around 9,000 nationally, but more recently a trend of overall downwards decline can be seen. The latest [Gambling Commission industry statistics](#) show that numbers as at 31 Mar 2015 were 8,958 - a decline of 179 from the previous year, when there were 9,137 recorded as at 31 March 2014.

Working in partnership with local authorities

The ABB is fully committed to ensuring constructive working relationships exist between betting operators and licensing authorities, and that where problems may arise that they can be dealt with in partnership. The

exchange of clear information between councils and betting operators is a key part of this and we welcome the opportunity to respond to this consultation.

▪ **LGA – ABB Betting Partnership Framework**

In January 2015 the ABB signed a partnership agreement with the Local Government Association (LGA), developed over a period of months by a specially formed Betting Commission consisting of councillors and betting shop firms, which established a framework designed to encourage more joint working between councils and the industry.

Launching the document Cllr Tony Page, LGA Licensing spokesman, said it demonstrated the

“...desire on both sides to increase joint-working in order to try and use existing powers to tackle local concerns, whatever they might be.”

The framework builds on earlier examples of joint working between councils and the industry, for example the Ealing Southall Betwatch scheme and Medway Responsible Gambling Partnership.

In Ealing, the Southall Betwatch was set up to address concerns about crime and disorder linked to betting shops in the borough. As a result, crime within gambling premises reduced by 50 per cent alongside falls in public order and criminal damage offences.

In December last year, the Medway Responsible Gambling Partnership was launched by Medway Council and the ABB. The first of its kind in Britain, the voluntary agreement allows anyone who is concerned they are developing a problem with their gambling to exclude themselves from all betting shops in the area.

The initiative also saw the industry working together with representatives of Kent Police and with the Medway Community Safety Partnership to develop a Reporting of Crime Protocol that is helpful in informing both the industry, police and other interested parties about levels of crime and the best way to deal with any crime in a way that is proportionate and effective.

Learnings from the initial self-exclusion trial in Medway have been incorporated into a second trial in Glasgow city centre, launched in July this year with the support of Glasgow City Council, which it is hoped will form the basis of a national scheme to be rolled out in time for the LCCP deadline for such a scheme by April 2016.

Jane Chitty, Medway Council’s Portfolio Holder for Planning, Economic Growth & Regulation, said:

“The Council has implemented measures that work at a local level but I am pleased to note that the joint work we are doing here in Medway is going to help the development of a national scheme.”

Describing the project, Glasgow’s City Treasurer and Chairman of a cross-party Sounding Board on gambling, Cllr Paul Rooney said:

“This project breaks new ground in terms of the industry sharing information, both between operators and, crucially, with their regulator.”

▪ **Primary Authority Partnerships in place between the ABB and local authorities**

All major operators, and the ABB on behalf of independent members, have also established Primary Authority Partnerships with local authorities.

These Partnerships help provide a consistent approach to regulation by local authorities, within the areas covered by the Partnership; such as age-verification or health and safety. We believe this level of consistency is beneficial both for local authorities and for operators.

For instance, Primary Authority Partnerships between Milton Keynes Council and Reading Council and their respective partners, Ladbrokes and Paddy Power, led to the first Primary Authority inspection plans for gambling coming into effect in January 2015.

By creating largely uniform plans, and requiring enforcing officers to inform the relevant Primary Authority before conducting a proactive test-purchase, and provide feedback afterwards, the plans have been able to bring consistency to proactive test-purchasing whilst allowing the Primary Authorities to help the businesses prevent underage gambling on their premises.

Local area risk assessments

From April 2016, under new Gambling Commission LCCP provisions, operators are required to complete local area risk assessments identifying any risks posed to the licensing objectives and how these would be mitigated.

Licensees must take into account relevant matters identified in the licensing authority's statement of licensing policy and local area profile in their risk assessment, and these must be reviewed where there are significant local changes or changes to the premises, or when applying for a variation to or a new premises licence.

The ABB supports this requirement as set out in the LCCP, as this will help sustain a transparent and open dialogue between operators and councils. The ABB is also committed to working pro-actively with local authorities to help drive the development of best practice in this area.

- **Evidence based approach**

It is important that any risks identified are supported by substantive evidence. Where risks are unsubstantiated there is a danger that the regulatory burden will be disproportionate. This may be the case where local authorities include perceived rather than evidenced risks in their local area profiles.

This would distort the aim to permit principle set out in the Gambling Act 2005 by moving the burden of proof onto operators. Under the Act, it is incumbent on licensing authorities to provide evidence as to any risks to the licensing objectives, and not on the operator to provide evidence as to how they may mitigate any potential risk.

A reversal of this would represent a significant increase in the resource required for operators to be compliant whilst failing to offer a clear route by which improvements in protections against gambling related harm can be made.

The industry fully supports the development of proportionate and evidenced based regulation, and is committed to minimising the harmful effects of gambling. The ABB is continuing to work closely with the Gambling Commission and the government to further evaluate and build on the measures put in place under the ABB Code for Responsible Gambling, which is mandatory for all our members.

- **Concerns around increases in the regulatory burden on operators**

Any increase in the regulatory burden would severely impact on our members at a time when overall shop numbers are in decline, and operators are continuing to respond to and absorb significant recent regulatory change. This includes the increase to 25% of MGD, changes to staking over £50 on gaming machines, and planning use class changes which require all new betting shops in England to apply for planning permission.

Moving away from an evidence based approach would lead to substantial variation between licensing authorities and increase regulatory compliance costs for our members. This is of particular concern for smaller operators, who do not have the same resources to be able to put into monitoring differences across all licensing authorities and whose businesses are less able to absorb increases in costs, putting them at risk of closure.

Such variation would in our opinion also weaken the overall standard of regulation at a local level by preventing the easy development of standard or best practice across different local authorities.

The ABB is also concerned that overly onerous requirements on operators to review their local risk assessments with unnecessary frequency could be damaging. As set out in the LCCP a review should only be required in response to significant local or premises change. In the ABB's view this should be where evidence can be provided to demonstrate that the change could impact the premises' ability to uphold the three licensing objectives.

- **Employing additional licence conditions**

It is our view that additional conditions should only be imposed in exceptional circumstances where there are clear reasons for doing so – in light of the fact that there are already mandatory and default conditions attached to any premises licence. The ABB is concerned that the imposition of additional licensing conditions could become commonplace if there are no clear requirements in the revised licensing policy statements as to the need for evidence.

This would further increase variation across licensing authorities and create uncertainty amongst operators as to licensing requirements, over complicating the licensing process both for operators and local authorities.

- **Operators' risk assessments**

As indicated, any exposition of risk in the Statement of Principles should not be based on anything less than empirical evidence and proportionality needs to be applied to all licensing decisions. For example successive prevalence surveys and health surveys tells us that problem gambling rates in the UK are stable (0.6%) and possibly falling.

Although our members will be implementing risk assessment at a local premises level, we do not believe that it is for the licensing authority to prescribe the form of that risk assessment. We believe that would be against better regulation principles with operators being allowed to gear risk assessment to their own operational processes; informed by Statements of Principle and the local area profile.

- **Additional concerns**

We would also request that where a local area profile is produced by the licensing authority that this be made clearly available within the body of the licensing policy statement, where it will be easily accessible by the operator and also available for consultation whenever the policy statement is reviewed.

Conclusion

The ABB and our members are committed to working closely with both the Gambling Commission and local authorities to continually drive up standards in regulatory compliance in support of the three licensing objectives: to keep crime out of gambling, ensure that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way, and to protect the vulnerable.

Indeed, as set out, we already do this successfully in partnership with local authorities now. This includes through the ABB Code for Responsible Gambling, which is mandatory for all our members, and the Safe Bet Alliance (SBA), which sets voluntary standards across the industry to make shops safer for customers and staff. We would encourage local authorities to engage with us as we continue to develop both these codes of practice which are in direct support of the licensing objectives.

