

East Cambridgeshire Local Plan

Schedule of Proposed Modifications

'Live' list – as at 3rd April 2014

Contact

Forward Planning team
East Cambridgeshire District Council
01353 665555
Email: ldf@eastcambs.gov.uk

Introduction

1. This document details the Council's proposed modifications to the submission East Cambridgeshire Local Plan. This document is intended to assist the Inspector in understanding the Council's position. The Inspector will ultimately recommend what changes are necessary to the Local Plan before it can be formally adopted – and the process for adopting the proposed modifications.
2. Most of the proposed modifications are minor – suggesting amendments to update the document, avoid duplication and improve clarity and presentation. A number of the proposed modifications may be judged to be more significant or 'major'. This includes proposed major modifications relating to housing provision, which were published as part of a pre-hearing consultation in October/November 2013.
3. The suggested modifications are listed in document order of the draft Local Plan and provide information on the suggested change(s), the reason for change(s), and the source of the change(s). Where new text is proposed it is shown in **bold**. Where text is proposed for removal it is shown ~~crossed-out~~.
4. The tables set out the reasons for the change, and the main source of the change (e.g. proposed by a particular objector, or put forward by the District Council). There is also a column which details whether a revision to the original Sustainability Appraisal (SA) (e.g. the version produced alongside the draft Local Plan in February 2013) has been made as a result of the change. The revised draft Final Sustainability Appraisal (April 2014) can be viewed on the Council's website at <http://www.eastcambs.gov.uk/local-development-framework/local-plan-examination>. The latest draft of the Sustainability Appraisal is an update on the version produced in October 2013.
5. The Schedule of Proposed Modifications is part of the Council's evidence and will be available as a Core Document to the Examination. The document will be updated periodically, as necessary, and updates will be placed on the Council's website.

Chapter 1: Introduction & 2: A strategic vision for East Cambridgeshire

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/1/1	7	1.2.3	'.....economic, environmental and social impacts on the Local Plan. It has also involved taking account of the plans and strategies of other organisations. The full range....'	To clarify the role of other strategies in preparing the Plan.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/2/1	10	2.2.2	'...spread between around 50 villages and other parts of the district. '	To clarify that not all the population lives in towns/villages.	No	Mepal Parish Council
PM/2/2	11	2.2.7	'...highest of rural areas. However, as noted in the above paragraph, there are variations across the district, and pockets of deprivation exist. '	To highlight that deprivation does exist in East Cambs.	No	Mepal Parish Council
PM/2/3	13	Spatial vision – para. 3	'Wherever new housing is provided, it will match respond to local needs and requirements as far as possible in terms of type, size and tenure....'	To reflect the wording in strategic objective 2.	No	Smiths Gore (on behalf of Church Commissioners) Bidwells (on behalf of David Wilson Homes)
PM/2/4	13-14	Spatial vision – para. 5	'...Public bus services between market towns and villages will be improved (including to settlements in neighbouring areas) , and the A10 will be developed as a high quality public transport corridor....'	To highlight connections between settlements in neighbouring areas.	No	Suffolk County Council
PM/2/5	14	Spatial vision – para. 6	'The overall diversity and quality of East Cambridgeshire's countryside and natural environment and built heritage will have improved and the historic environment conserved and enhanced'	To highlight the importance of the historic environment.	No	English Heritage
PM/2/6	14	Spatial vision – para. 6	'...There will be better access to the countryside and green spaces for local communities which helps improve people's quality of life. '	To highlight the role of green infrastructure in improving people's quality of life	No	National Trust
PM/2/7	14	Strategic objectives	'5. Protect and enhance the quality, local distinctiveness and diversity of the natural, historic and built environment.'	To highlight the importance of the historic environment.	<u>Yes</u>	English Heritage
PM/2/8	14	Strategic objectives	'6. Protect the open countryside and land within the Green Belt against insensitive and sporadic development.'	To highlight the existence of Green Belt in the district.	<u>Yes</u>	Cambridge City Council
PM/2/9	14	Strategic objectives	'7.by reducing pollution and waste, maximising water and energy efficiency, dealing with flood risk and surface water management , and promoting....'	To highlight the need to deal with surface water management.	<u>Yes</u>	The Ely Group of Internal Drainage Boards
PM/2/10	14	Strategic objectives	'9. Ensure a high quality of life by maintaining and delivering strategic and local infrastructure and facilities needed to support communities.'	To highlight the different scales of infrastructure provision.	No	National Trust

Chapter 3: Delivery of sustainable growth

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/3/1	18	3.2.4	<p>'.....It also involves co-ordination with the strategy of neighbouring authorities, and working together to ensure the needs of the housing market area are met. Further details and analysis of the East Cambridgeshire housing requirement are set out in the Council's 'Housing Requirements Paper' (January 2013). The District's Council's housing requirement has been informed by a number of key evidence documents, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Housing Requirements Papers' - produced by the District Council in 2012 and 2013. • 'Technical Report on Population, Housing and Employment' (May 2013) – commissioned jointly by Cambridgeshire authorities and Peterborough and undertaken by Cambridgeshire County Council. • A new 'All Homes' chapter in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (May 2013) – looking at objectively assessed need across the Cambridge Housing Market Area. The work was jointly commissioned by the Housing Board and the Strategic Planning Unit for Cambridgeshire and Peterborough.' 	To clarify the context for the Council's housing target.	No	Cambridge City Council / South Cambs District Council / ECDC
PM/3/2	18	3.2.5	<p>'The evidence indicates that there is likely to be a need for approximately 8,500-9,500 additional dwellings to be built between 2012-2031 (or about 9,000 to 10,000 dwellings over a 20 year period 2011-31). The SHMA identifies a need for 13,000 dwellings in East Cambridgeshire between 2011 and 2031. However, under the 'duty to cooperate' the District Council has reached agreement with other Cambridgeshire authorities and Peterborough Council to deliver a total of 11,500 dwellings between 2011 and 2031. This agreement, involving a redistribution of housing between some of the authorities, is set out in the 'Memorandum of Cooperation between Cambridgeshire and Peterborough authorities' (May 2013). The Memorandum concludes that the target for East Cambridgeshire should be lower than 13,000 dwellings as the Council has 'made considerable progress to date with [its] local plan reviews, and therefore have established a good understanding of their areas' development opportunities and constraints. They have also taken account of the July 2012 joint statement by Peterborough and Cambridgeshire authorities which confirmed that the strategy is to secure sustainable development by locating new homes in and close to Cambridge and Peterborough, and to other main centres of employment, whilst avoiding dispersed development.' This represents an annual rate of 575 about 450-500 dwellings per year. This rate is more than the previous Regional Strategy target (430 per year) and is suitable challenging given the recent economic downturn and altered market conditions. Details regarding delivery are set out in Policy GROWTH 4 below.....'</p>	To clarify the context for the Council's housing target.	Yes	Cambridge City Council / South Cambs District Council / ECDC
PM/3/3	18	3.2.5	<p>[6th bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Has a high level of support from the local community (44% support in consultation on strategic matters in March 2012' 	A higher housing target is now proposed – this statement therefore no longer holds.	No	ECDC
PM/3/4	18	3.2.5	<p>[additional bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Will help to facilitate the delivery of appropriate levels of affordable housing to meet local needs over the Plan period.' 	See PM below.	No	ECDC
PM/3/5	18	3.2.6	<p>However, it is expected that this level of housing growth will not be sufficient to meet expected demand for affordable housing in the district. There is an estimated need for 660 affordable homes per year in East Cambridgeshire (as set out in the Strategic Market Housing Assessment 2012), which is far greater than the market has ever or is predicted to support. For further details,</p>	To reflect the new SHMA 'All Homes' chapter (May 2013) which identifies a lower affordable housing need for	No	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			see the Council's 'Housing Requirement Paper' 2013.	East Cambs – and a higher overall housing target. The outcome is that affordable housing needs should be deliverable over the Plan period, as outlined in the Council's 'Affordable Housing Note' (March 2014).		
PM/3/6	19	3.2.10	'A previous study by SQW in 2008 suggested that jobs growth in office, light industrial and warehousing/distribution sectors would require approximately 1 hectare for every 134 jobs created. Using this formula, a target of 9,200 would require a minimum of 70 hectares of land across the district. The Council intends to make provision for a greater amount of B1/B2/B8 employment land than may be required in East Cambridgeshire over the Plan period however, and proposes that at least 60.9 69.62 hectares of new land will be identified. When combined with outstanding planning commitments and unused sites identified in the Council's Core Strategy, it is estimated that a total of 172.47 179.71 hectares is available for employment use (further details of the breakdown are provided in section 3.5 below). This amount is considered necessary for the following reasons:'	To update the employment commitment figures and for consistency with the wording of policies GROWTH 1 and GROWTH 4. To reflect the revised employment allocation figures.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/7	20	3.2.12	'.....there will be a need over the Plan period for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Convenience (food) retail – An additional 1,984m² 3,011m² (net) of new floorspace.... • Comparison (non-food) retail) – An additional 6,373m² 10,064m² (net) of new floorspace...' 	To reflect predicted increase in population arising from the major modifications.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/8	20	Policy GROWTH 1	'In the period 2011 2012 to 2031 the District Council will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make provision for a deliverable supply of land to accommodate in the region of 8,500 to 9,500 new the delivery of 11,500 dwellings in East Cambridgeshire. • Maximise opportunities for jobs growth in the district, with the aim of achieving a minimum of 9,200 additional jobs in East Cambridgeshire. Part of this strategy will involve making provision for a deliverable supply of at least 172 179 hectares of employment land for B1/B2/B3 uses, and providing for home working; and <p>In the period 2012 to 2031 the District Council will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make provision for at least an additional 1,984m² 3,011m² (net) of convenience and 6,373m² 10,064m² (net) of comparison retail floorspace in the district...' 	To reflect proposed changes to the Council's housing target as set out in the Memorandum of Cooperation. To reflect the current employment and retail land supply figures.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/9	21	3.3.4	'.....This includes proposed allocations (see section 3.5 below) as well as appropriate windfall windfall sites (as assessed by other policies in this Plan).....'	To correct spelling mistake.	No	ECDC
PM/3/10	21	3.3.5	'The development envelopes are shown on the settlement maps in Part 2 of the Local Plan. They are similar to those in the Core Strategy Proposals Map (2009), but with two key changes. Firstly, the development envelopes have been re-drawn to include a number of new allocations on the edge of towns and villages and also current employment areas on the edge of settlements – where these form part of the main built-up framework of a settlement. Secondly,.....These are considered to be part of the open countryside, due to their small size and open structure/lack of compact built-form. There are also a number of employment areas which have been excluded as they are in the open countryside or which are divided from the main built-up framework of a settlement by fields, roads or other clear boundaries.'	To reflect proposed revised approach to defining development envelopes, as requested by the Planning Inspector in his note dated 19 th February 2014.	<u>Yes</u>	Planning Inspector
PM/3/11	22	3.3.6	'Within the identified development envelopes, housing, employment and other types of development to meet the needs of the local community will generally be appropriate (provided	To reflect proposed revised approach to defining	<u>Yes</u>	Planning Inspector

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			there is no adverse effect on the character of the locality and that all other material planning considerations are satisfied). Applications will be determined on their merits against the policies in the Local Plan. This will apply to all sizes of sites within the development envelope. It should be noted that there are two key exceptions to this approach. Firstly, the Council is keen to retain land or premises used for employment purposes (B1/B2/B8 development). Therefore proposals involving change of use of employment sites and allocations will only be permitted as an exception – in accordance with Policy EMP 1. Secondly, the Council is keen to retain community facilities such as local shops, pubs, community meeting places, schools and open spaces. Therefore proposals involving their loss will only be permitted as an exception – in accordance with Policy COM 3.'	development envelopes, as requested by the Planning Inspector in his note dated 19 th February 2014.		
PM/3/12	22/ 23	Policy GROWTH 2	[third paragraph] 'Within the defined development envelopes housing, employment and other development to meet local needs will normally be permitted – provided there is no significant adverse effect on the character and appearance of the area and that all other material planning considerations are satisfied. Two key exceptions to this will apply in the case of proposals involving the loss of employment land or community facilities - which will be assessed against Policies EMP 1 and COM 3 respectively. Retail development should be focused where possible within the town centres of Ely, Soham and Littleport - or alternatively, if there are no suitable sites available, on edge of centre sites, then out of centre town sites...' [fourth paragraph] 'Outside defined development envelopes, development will be strictly controlled, having regard to the need to protect the countryside and the settings of towns and villages. Development will be restricted to the main categories listed below, and may be permitted as an exception, providing there is no significant adverse impact on the character of the countryside and that other Local Plan policies are satisfied.'	The word 'significant' - to reflect the wording of other policies. Retail change - to reflect para. 24 in the NPPF. Reference to exceptions – see PM above.	<u>Yes</u>	Turley Associates Barton Wilmore (on behalf of Waitrose) Planning Inspector
PM/3/13	23	3.4.1	'When development takes place it makes additional demands on infrastructure, including water and energy supply, wastewater disposal, sustainable transport infrastructure , roads....'	To highlight the importance of transport infrastructure.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/3/14	24	3.4.4	'...This may be provided on-site (secured through planning conditions or S.106 agreements) or through financial contributions from developers secured via S.106 agreements. Section 106 agreements will need to meet tests set out in Regulations, and may be sought for a variety of infrastructure and benefits, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community facilities including library and public health services; • Education facilities including primary, secondary and special schools; • Sport, leisure, open space and recreation facilities; • Transport infrastructure; • Flood mitigation and improvement measures, and; • Environmental improvements Further details are set out in the Council's SPD on Planning Obligations.....'	To provide clarification on what S.106 agreements may potentially be used for.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/3/15	24	3.4.5	'...The report concludes that there is an adequate water supply within East Cambridgeshire to accommodate additional growth – although there is a need to aim for water neutrality in to reduce demand and achieve security of supply....'	To highlight the importance of aiming for water neutrality.	No	Environment Agency
PM/3/16	25	3.4.8	'...on a site by site basis. It should also be noted that surface water systems in parts of the district are at capacity, and new development will have to ensure appropriate surface	To highlight the importance of dealing with surface water	No	The Ely Group of

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change																														
			water drainage and discharge arrangements are secured. This will involve liaising with the relevant Internal Drainage Board as well as Anglian Water (for further details see Policy ENV 8).'	management, and the role of the IDBs.		Internal Drainage Boards																														
PM/3/17	25	Table 3.1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Table 3.1 – Anglian Water’s position on Wastewater Treatment capacity</i></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Waste Water Treatment Works</th> <th>Settlements within WWTW catchment area</th> <th>Capacity issues</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ely Old and New WWTW</td> <td>Ely, Barway Chettisham and Queen Adelaide</td> <td>Upgrades to Ely Old and Ely New WWTWs not required to accommodate further growth. No operational requirement to relocate Ely Old WWTW. Upgrades to foul sewage network required at Ely.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Littleport WWTW</td> <td>Littleport</td> <td>Upgrade to Littleport WWTW planned for Summer 2013 February 2014.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Soham WWTW</td> <td>Soham, Fordham and Wicken</td> <td>Upgrade to Soham WWTW planned for Summer 2013 March 2014.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bottisham WWTW</td> <td>Bottisham, Lode and Swaffham Bulbeck</td> <td>WWTW currently operating close to full permitted capacity. Only modest development as outlined in the Local Plan could currently be accommodated. Consultation with Anglian Water would be required to ensure that capacity is available for further development or whether an upgrade is required.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Isleham WWTW</td> <td>Isleham</td> <td>Upgrade to Isleham WWTW may be required (post 2015).</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stretham WWTW</td> <td>Stretham and Little Thetford</td> <td>Upgrade to Stretham WWTW may be required (post 2015).</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Witcham WWTW</td> <td>Witcham, Sutton and Wentworth</td> <td>Upgrade to Witcham WWTW may be required.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Witchford WWTW</td> <td>Witchford</td> <td>Upgrade to Witchford WWTW may be required.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wilburton WWTW</td> <td>Wilburton</td> <td>Upgrade to Wilburton WWTW may be required (post 2015).</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Waste Water Treatment Works	Settlements within WWTW catchment area	Capacity issues	Ely Old and New WWTW	Ely, Barway Chettisham and Queen Adelaide	Upgrades to Ely Old and Ely New WWTWs not required to accommodate further growth. No operational requirement to relocate Ely Old WWTW. Upgrades to foul sewage network required at Ely.	Littleport WWTW	Littleport	Upgrade to Littleport WWTW planned for Summer 2013 February 2014 .	Soham WWTW	Soham, Fordham and Wicken	Upgrade to Soham WWTW planned for Summer 2013 March 2014 .	Bottisham WWTW	Bottisham, Lode and Swaffham Bulbeck	WWTW currently operating close to full permitted capacity. Only modest development as outlined in the Local Plan could currently be accommodated. Consultation with Anglian Water would be required to ensure that capacity is available for further development or whether an upgrade is required.	Isleham WWTW	Isleham	Upgrade to Isleham WWTW may be required (post 2015).	Stretham WWTW	Stretham and Little Thetford	Upgrade to Stretham WWTW may be required (post 2015).	Witcham WWTW	Witcham, Sutton and Wentworth	Upgrade to Witcham WWTW may be required.	Witchford WWTW	Witchford	Upgrade to Witchford WWTW may be required.	Wilburton WWTW	Wilburton	Upgrade to Wilburton WWTW may be required (post 2015).	To reflect the Water Recycling Centre Position Statement (January 2014).	No	ECDC
Waste Water Treatment Works	Settlements within WWTW catchment area	Capacity issues																																		
Ely Old and New WWTW	Ely, Barway Chettisham and Queen Adelaide	Upgrades to Ely Old and Ely New WWTWs not required to accommodate further growth. No operational requirement to relocate Ely Old WWTW. Upgrades to foul sewage network required at Ely.																																		
Littleport WWTW	Littleport	Upgrade to Littleport WWTW planned for Summer 2013 February 2014 .																																		
Soham WWTW	Soham, Fordham and Wicken	Upgrade to Soham WWTW planned for Summer 2013 March 2014 .																																		
Bottisham WWTW	Bottisham, Lode and Swaffham Bulbeck	WWTW currently operating close to full permitted capacity. Only modest development as outlined in the Local Plan could currently be accommodated. Consultation with Anglian Water would be required to ensure that capacity is available for further development or whether an upgrade is required.																																		
Isleham WWTW	Isleham	Upgrade to Isleham WWTW may be required (post 2015).																																		
Stretham WWTW	Stretham and Little Thetford	Upgrade to Stretham WWTW may be required (post 2015).																																		
Witcham WWTW	Witcham, Sutton and Wentworth	Upgrade to Witcham WWTW may be required.																																		
Witchford WWTW	Witchford	Upgrade to Witchford WWTW may be required.																																		
Wilburton WWTW	Wilburton	Upgrade to Wilburton WWTW may be required (post 2015).																																		
PM/3/18	25	Policy GROWTH 3	<p>[second bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘...and secured via planning conditions or planning obligations (Section S-106 agreements).....’ <p>[third bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘The District Council will work closely with infrastructure providers at the earliest possible stage to ensure inclusion of infrastructure schemes within their programmes, plans and strategies...’ <p>[fourth bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘Land will be identified in the Local Plan for the provision of new infrastructure (see Part 2: Village/Town Visions...’ 	<p>To correct drafting error.</p> <p>To clarify that early engagement is beneficial.</p> <p>To clarify location.</p>	No	Cambs County Council																														
PM/3/19	26	Policy GROWTH 3	<p>[text after 5th bullet]</p> <p>‘Key infrastructure requirements relevant to growth within the district include the following (the list is not exhaustive and is taken from the Council’s Infrastructure Investment Plan)’.</p>	<p>To remove inconsistency as not all infrastructure projects listed in GROWTH 3 are within the district.</p> <p>To clarify the source of the list</p>	No	ECDC Cambs County Council																														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
				(and reflect para. 3.4.1)		
PM/3/20	26	Policy GROWTH 3	[Education] [second bullet] • 'New Early Years (nursery) facilities at Burwell, Ely, Soham, Littleport and expansion of existing nursery facilities elsewhere.' [new bullet] • ' New area special school in Littleport. '	To better reflect the terminology relating to Early Years provision. To respond to a new requirement for an area special school identified by the County Council	No	Cambs County Council
PM/3/21	26	Policy GROWTH 3	[Green infrastructure, first bullet] 'Strategic green infrastructure improvements as outlined in the Cambs Green Infrastructure Strategy, including the provision of Ely Country Park and Block Fen nature reserve , improvements to Soham Town Commons.....'	To highlight an important project in the district.	No	Mepal Parish Council
PM/3/22	26	Policy GROWTH 3	[Transport, bullets 1, 2, 8 and 10] • 'Highway and sustainable transport infrastructure improvements associated with the development of North Ely, including pedestrian and cycle routes to the station and the town centre. • Ely Southern Bypass Major improvements to the A142 between Angel Drive and Stuntney Causeway • Improvements to Ely Railway station (passenger transport interchange, improved pedestrian and segregated cycle access and increased car and cycle parking) and Littleport Railway Station (increased car and cycle parking) • Improvements to pedestrian and cycle networks within settlements and between settlements (including segregated cycle routes with appropriate crossings at key points where possible). '	To highlight the importance of sustainable transport, and cycling in particular. To highlight the project as the County Council's preferred solution, reflecting wording in the Ely Vision.	<u>Yes</u> (<u>bypass</u>)	Cambs County Council/ Ely Cycle Campaign /ECDC/ English Heritage
PM/3/23	26	Policy GROWTH 3	[Other infrastructure, new bullet] • ' Providing and/or upgrading telecommunications infrastructure. '	To include reference to this key type of infrastructure.	<u>Yes</u>	Cambs County Council
PM/3/24	27	3.5.2	'Some development in the district is already committed and will come forward on sites which have planning permission. It is also known that some supply will continue to come forward on small 'windfall' sites over the Plan period. Allocating new land or noting broad locations to meet the remaining shortfall (and over allocating), can ensure that appropriate levels of growth are delivered.'	To reflect proposed changes to the Council's position on housing provision, as set out in the Memorandum of Cooperation.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/25	28	3.5.5	[new bullet on end of list] • ' Broad locations on the edge of key settlements – as identified in the key diagrams below. Specific sites will be identified through the future Local Plan review. '	To clearly set out the different sources of housing supply.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/26	28	3.5.6	'Table 3.2 summarises how and where housing is likely to be delivered in East Cambridgeshire over the Plan period. It identifies there will be sufficient overall supply of land to meet the district's housing requirement of 8,500—9,500 11,500 dwellings, as set out in Policy GROWTH 1. The latest projections (as at March 2014 February 2013) indicate that an estimated 9,400 11,982 dwellings could come forward between 2012 2011 and 2031 (representing an annual rate of 495 dwellings). It is estimated that approximately 5,900 6000 of these dwellings will be on new allocations, mainly on the edge of existing towns and villages. The table also includes reference to 'broad locations', which are identified in the key diagrams below. The diagrams are indicative only and identify broad areas on the edge of Soham and Littleport which were identified as 'phase 2' sites in the Soham and Littleport Masterplans, and	To reflect proposed changes to the Council's position on housing provision, and updated housing supply figures, as set out in the Memorandum of Cooperation and the Council's Housing Supply Paper March 2014. Diagrams of the broad locations are proposed in	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change																																																																								
			could be developed in the future. The supply from this source is not anticipated to be required in strategic terms until the later part of the Plan period. Therefore the locations are broadly identified at this stage – and it is intended that the specific site boundaries will be identified through the next Local Plan review.' [insert new key diagrams after paragraph 3.5.6]	order to accord with paragraph 157 of NPPF.																																																																										
PM/3/27	28	3.5.7	'Further details of the various sources of housing supply, the breakdown by settlement, and the predicted levels of supply year on year throughout the Plan period (the 'housing trajectory') is set out in the Annual Monitoring Report [until the Local Plan is adopted, the latest housing trajectory for the Local Plan will be contained in a separate Background paper on 'Housing Supply' – see the Council's website]. The housing trajectory for 2012 in the Council's 'Housing Supply Paper' March 2014 demonstrates that a five-year supply of specific deliverable sites incorporating an additional buffer of 5% can be identified in the district as required by Government. Further information on the tenure and type of dwellings is set out in Chapter 4 of this Local Plan.'	To reflect the updated Housing Supply Paper published in March 2014.	No	ECDC																																																																								
PM/3/28	28	Table 3.2	[Table title] 'Table 3.2 – Summary of estimated housing supply 2012 2011-31' <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Completions 2011/2-2012/13</th> <th>Outstanding commitments at 1.4.13</th> <th>Large potential sites</th> <th>Small windfall sites</th> <th>Specific rural sites</th> <th>Allocs.</th> <th>TOTAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Market towns</td> <td>458</td> <td>1152 950</td> <td>297 315</td> <td>322 241</td> <td>19 0</td> <td>5216 5339</td> <td>7006 7303</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ely</td> <td>95</td> <td>174 145</td> <td>326 62</td> <td>85 68</td> <td>19 0</td> <td>3564 3679</td> <td>3904 4049</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Soham</td> <td>260</td> <td>382 256</td> <td>26 44</td> <td>145 114</td> <td>0</td> <td>1102 1110</td> <td>1655 1784</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Littleport</td> <td>103</td> <td>596 549</td> <td>209</td> <td>92 59</td> <td>0</td> <td>550</td> <td>1447 1470</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Villages</td> <td>200</td> <td>222 251</td> <td>241 307</td> <td>601 421</td> <td>136 70</td> <td>684 659</td> <td>1884 1908</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rural windfall estimate</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>510 471</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>510 471</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Broad locations</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>2,300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>658</td> <td>1374 1201</td> <td>538 622</td> <td>1433 1133</td> <td>155 70</td> <td>5900 5998</td> <td>9400 11,982</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Location	Completions 2011/2-2012/13	Outstanding commitments at 1.4.13	Large potential sites	Small windfall sites	Specific rural sites	Allocs.	TOTAL	Market towns	458	1152 950	297 315	322 241	19 0	5216 5339	7006 7303	Ely	95	174 145	326 62	85 68	19 0	3564 3679	3904 4049	Soham	260	382 256	26 44	145 114	0	1102 1110	1655 1784	Littleport	103	596 549	209	92 59	0	550	1447 1470	Villages	200	222 251	241 307	601 421	136 70	684 659	1884 1908	Rural windfall estimate		-	-	510 471	-	-	510 471	Broad locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,300	TOTAL	658	1374 1201	538 622	1433 1133	155 70	5900 5998	9400 11,982	To reflect the updated Housing Supply Paper published in March 2014.	Yes	ECDC
Location	Completions 2011/2-2012/13	Outstanding commitments at 1.4.13	Large potential sites	Small windfall sites	Specific rural sites	Allocs.	TOTAL																																																																							
Market towns	458	1152 950	297 315	322 241	19 0	5216 5339	7006 7303																																																																							
Ely	95	174 145	326 62	85 68	19 0	3564 3679	3904 4049																																																																							
Soham	260	382 256	26 44	145 114	0	1102 1110	1655 1784																																																																							
Littleport	103	596 549	209	92 59	0	550	1447 1470																																																																							
Villages	200	222 251	241 307	601 421	136 70	684 659	1884 1908																																																																							
Rural windfall estimate		-	-	510 471	-	-	510 471																																																																							
Broad locations	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,300																																																																							
TOTAL	658	1374 1201	538 622	1433 1133	155 70	5900 5998	9400 11,982																																																																							
PM/3/29	29	3.5.9	'Table 3.3 provides a broad summary of how and where B1/B2/B8 employment land is likely to be delivered in the district between 2012 2013 - 2031.....'	To update the employment commitment figures following publication of the County Council's Employment Monitoring Data April 2013.	Yes	ECDC																																																																								
PM/3/30	29	3.5.10	[insert new sentence at the end] 'On mixed use housing/employment schemes it should be noted that the jobs growth targets are provided as a guide – and that an element of the jobs targets may be achieved through initiatives such as homeworking rather than new build employment space.'	To explain how the jobs growth matter will be dealt with through the planning application process.	No	Smiths Gore (on behalf of the Church Commissioners)																																																																								

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change																																																																																																												
PM/3/31	29	Table 3.3	<p>'Table 3.3 – Summary of estimated B1/B2/B8 employment land supply 2012-2013-31 (hectares)' (source: Cambs County Council Employment Monitoring Data April 2013)'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Settlement</th> <th>Outstanding commitments</th> <th>Allocations identified in the Core Strategy</th> <th>'New' Allocations</th> <th>Total allocations (hectares)</th> <th>TOTAL hectares</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ely</td> <td>7.9 19.42</td> <td>40.5</td> <td>12 + North Ely + Station Gateway 20.52</td> <td>52.5 + North Ely + Station Gateway 61.02</td> <td>60.4 80.44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Soham</td> <td>2.08 1.54</td> <td>11</td> <td>8</td> <td>19</td> <td>21.08 20.54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Littleport</td> <td>13.54 1.93</td> <td>4.77</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>13.37</td> <td>26.94 15.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bottisham</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.2 1.43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Burwell</td> <td>-0.65</td> <td>5.5</td> <td></td> <td>5.5</td> <td>5.5 4.85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Haddenham</td> <td>-0.12</td> <td></td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.8 0.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sutton</td> <td>16.42 38</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>16.42 38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fordham</td> <td>0.01 0.66</td> <td>7</td> <td>29.5</td> <td>36.5</td> <td>36.51 37.16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Isleham</td> <td>0.45 0.01</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.45 1.01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pymoor</td> <td>0.56</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Swaffham Prior</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Burrough Green</td> <td>0.92</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stretham</td> <td>0.07 -0.08</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.07 -0.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cheveley</td> <td>0.09</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wicken</td> <td>-0.74 -0.42</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-0.32 -0.42</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Witchford</td> <td>0.77</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.77</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>40.62 40.32</td> <td>69.77</td> <td>61.1 69.62</td> <td>130.87 139.39</td> <td>172.47 179.71 ha</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>12 '..... Source: Cambs County Council Employment Monitoring Data April 2012.'</p>	Settlement	Outstanding commitments	Allocations identified in the Core Strategy	'New' Allocations	Total allocations (hectares)	TOTAL hectares	Ely	7.9 19.42	40.5	12 + North Ely + Station Gateway 20.52	52.5 + North Ely + Station Gateway 61.02	60.4 80.44	Soham	2.08 1.54	11	8	19	21.08 20.54	Littleport	13.54 1.93	4.77	8.6	13.37	26.94 15.3	Bottisham	0.23	1	0.2	1.2	1.2 1.43	Burwell	-0.65	5.5		5.5	5.5 4.85	Haddenham	-0.12		0.8	0.8	0.8 0.68	Sutton	16.42 38				16.42 38	Fordham	0.01 0.66	7	29.5	36.5	36.51 37.16	Isleham	0.45 0.01		1	1	1.45 1.01	Pymoor	0.56				0.56	Swaffham Prior			1	1	1	Burrough Green	0.92				0	Stretham	0.07 -0.08				0.07 -0.08	Cheveley	0.09				0.09	Wicken	-0.74 -0.42				-0.32 -0.42	Witchford	0.77				0.77	TOTAL	40.62 40.32	69.77	61.1 69.62	130.87 139.39	172.47 179.71 ha	To update the employment commitment figures following publication of the County Council's Employment Monitoring Data April 2013. To update the allocation figures following work on the draft Ely Station Gateway and North Ely SPDs.	Yes	ECDC
Settlement	Outstanding commitments	Allocations identified in the Core Strategy	'New' Allocations	Total allocations (hectares)	TOTAL hectares																																																																																																													
Ely	7.9 19.42	40.5	12 + North Ely + Station Gateway 20.52	52.5 + North Ely + Station Gateway 61.02	60.4 80.44																																																																																																													
Soham	2.08 1.54	11	8	19	21.08 20.54																																																																																																													
Littleport	13.54 1.93	4.77	8.6	13.37	26.94 15.3																																																																																																													
Bottisham	0.23	1	0.2	1.2	1.2 1.43																																																																																																													
Burwell	-0.65	5.5		5.5	5.5 4.85																																																																																																													
Haddenham	-0.12		0.8	0.8	0.8 0.68																																																																																																													
Sutton	16.42 38				16.42 38																																																																																																													
Fordham	0.01 0.66	7	29.5	36.5	36.51 37.16																																																																																																													
Isleham	0.45 0.01		1	1	1.45 1.01																																																																																																													
Pymoor	0.56				0.56																																																																																																													
Swaffham Prior			1	1	1																																																																																																													
Burrough Green	0.92				0																																																																																																													
Stretham	0.07 -0.08				0.07 -0.08																																																																																																													
Cheveley	0.09				0.09																																																																																																													
Wicken	-0.74 -0.42				-0.32 -0.42																																																																																																													
Witchford	0.77				0.77																																																																																																													
TOTAL	40.62 40.32	69.77	61.1 69.62	130.87 139.39	172.47 179.71 ha																																																																																																													
PM/3/32	30	3.5.14	<p>'.....It can be seen that the overall supply is estimated to be greater than the minimum 'target' of 1,984m² 3,011m² (convenience food) and 6,376m² 10,064m² (comparison non-food) new retail floorspace established in Policy GROWTH 1.'</p>	To reflect predicted increase in population arising from the major modifications.	Yes	ECDC																																																																																																												

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change				Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change	
PM/3/33	30-31	Table 3.4	<i>'Table 3.4 – Summary of estimated convenience retail supply 2012-31 (net retail floorspace) (source: Cambridgeshire County Council Retail Monitoring Data April 2013)'</i>				<p>To update convenience retail supply figures.</p> <p>To update the latest position in the development of the draft North Ely and Station Gateway SPDs.</p>	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC Smiths Gore (on behalf of the Church Commissioners)	
			Location	Completions (2012-2013)	Outstanding convenience floorspace (m ²)	Allocations (m2)				TOTAL
			Ely	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neighbourhood Centres 2 local centres North Ely – up to 1000m2 Octagon Business Park (Angel Drive) – 881m2 Station Gateway local shops - up to 539m2 				2,420
			Bottisham	-	60	-				60
			Burwell	-	233	-				233
			Soham	-	1,393	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budgens, Church Hall and Coop areas - NK Station Road local shops - NK Eastern Gateway local shop - NK 				>1,393
			TOTAL	0	233- 1,686	2,420				Est. 1982m ² >4,106m²
PM/3/34	30-31	Table 3.5	<i>'Table 3.5 – Summary of estimated comparison retail supply 2012-31 (net retail floorspace) (source: Cambridgeshire County Council Retail Monitoring Data April 2013)'</i>				<p>To update comparison retail supply figures.</p> <p>To update the latest position in the development of the draft North Ely and Station Gateway SPDs.</p>	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC Smiths Gore (on behalf of the Church Commissioners)	
			Location	Completions (2012-2013)	Outstanding comparison floorspace (m ²)	Allocations (m2)				TOTAL
			Ely	-22	448	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Grange – 4200m2 Waitrose car park area - NK Neighbourhood centres 2 local centres North Ely – up to 1,000m2 Station Gateway local shops – up to 539m2 Octagon Business Park (Angel Drive) – 7913m2 				>13,652
			Burwell		30	-				30
			Fordham	238	346 116	-				346- 116
			Little Downham	50		•				
			Littleport	315		•				
			Soham		465	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budgens, Church Hall and Coop areas - NK Garden Centre - NK Land off Station Road - NK 				NK >465
			Sutton		72	-				72
			Wilburton		270	-				270
			TOTAL	581	1064- 953	4200-13,652				5264m ² + Est. > 6,373m ² >15,186 m²

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/3/35	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[1 st bullet] • 'Approximately 5,900 5,998 dwellings on the edge of towns and villages.'	To reflect the updated Housing Supply Paper 2014.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/36	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[2 nd bullet] • 'Approximately 434 139 hectares of employment development (B1/B2/B8 uses) plus additional floorspace in North Ely, Ely Station Gateway area, and other sites listed in the table below.'	To reflect the revised employment allocation figures.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/37	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[3 rd bullet] • 'At least 4,200m² 13,652m² of comparison retail floorspace in Ely, plus additional comparison and convenience floorspace on sites listed in the table below; and'	To reflect predicted increase in population arising from the major modifications.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/3/38	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [North Ely] [Employment land] '13300-1500 jobs for whole site. To include minimum of 2.8 ha of B1/B2/B8 land .' [Retail/leisure] ' Neighbourhood centre and 2 local centres – up to 1000m2 convenience, up to 1000m2 comparison ' [Key community facilities] '2 primary schools and pre-schools , Country Park extension'	To include reference to expected amount of employment and retail land, and correct drafting error in jobs target. To provide update on County requirement for pre-schools.	No	ECDC Cambs County Council
PM/3/39	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Station Gateway area] [Housing] '400- 630 ' [Employment land] ' Broadly equivalent to 3.8 ha (minimum of 800 jobs) ' [Retail/leisure] 'Station local shops – up to 1078 m2 '	To include reference to expected amount of employment and retail land.	No	ECDC
PM/3/40	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Octagon Business Park] [Employment land] ' 1.92ha ' [Retail/leisure] ' 13.34ha '	To include reference to expected amount of employment land at Octagon Business Park site	<u>Yes – Ely</u>	ECDC
PM/3/41	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Soham Eastern Gateway] [Key community facilities] 'Land for extended Medical Centre, school/ pre-school playing fields and Commons'	To reflect new infrastructure requirements raised by County Council	No	Cambs County Council
PM/3/42	31	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Soham Church Hall] [Housing] 10 2 (net)	To reflect updated Housing Supply Paper March 2014.	No	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/3/43	32	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Littleport, west of Camel Road] [Key community facilities] 'Secondary, and primary, pre-school and Area Special school '	To reflect new infrastructure requirements raised by County Council.	Yes – <u>Littleport</u>	Cambs County Council
PM/3/44	32	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Bottisham Crystal Structures] Bottisham Crystal Structures site – 15 – 0.2 ha (64 jobs) – BOT 2	To reflect proposed deletion of this allocation, as the site is located within the development envelope.	Yes – <u>Bottisham</u>	ECDC
PM/3/45	32	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Burwell, Newmarket Road] [Key community facilities] 'Sports pitches provision '	To reflect updated flexibility in Policy BUR 1.	No	Cambs County Council / ECDC
PM/3/46	32	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Burwell, Reach Road] [Employment land] '2.5 ha (95-337 jobs)'	To correct an error in the table.	Yes – <u>Burwell</u>	Beacon Planning (on behalf of Mr P. Cornes and co-owners)
PM/3/47	32	Policy GROWTH 4	[Table] [Fordham] East of 67 Mildenhall Road – 10 – FRD 3 South of Snailwell Road – 7 ha (342 jobs) – FRD 4 FRD 3 North of Snailwell Road – 5.5 ha (215 jobs) – FRD 5 FRD 4 Horse Racing Forensic Laboratory – 9 ha (176 jobs) – FRD 6 FRD 5 North of Turners – 8 ha (88 jobs) – FRD 7 FRD 6 South of Landwade Road – 7 ha (228 jobs) – FRD 8 FRD 7	To delete allocation FRD 3 and amend subsequent policy numbering.	Yes – <u>Fordham</u>	ECDC
PM/3/48	34	Policy GROWTH 5	[last bullet] • 'Strategic objectives of the Local Plan and policies and proposals contained within including those within Town and Village Visions.'	To clarify that this criteria relates to strategic objectives rather than other policies in the Plan.	No	Strutt and Parker LLP (on behalf of Dalham Estate)
PM/3/49	34	3.7.3	'...However, in certain circumstances it may be appropriate for an element of open market housing to be provided as part of a community-led schemes where the applicant can demonstrate through a financial appraisal that the inclusion of the open market housing is required to enable the delivery of affordable housing or other community benefits assets, with significant benefits accruing directly to the community organisation through cross-subsidy. significantly increase the land sales value above that which would be payable for a 100% affordable housing scheme. In addition, applicants will be expected to demonstrate that the community benefits of such a scheme (such as the level of affordable housing or open space) are significantly greater than would be delivered on an open market housing site. Further details relating to the application of this policy will be set out in a Supplementary Planning Document on Community-Led Development, which the District Council proposes to produce in 2014.'	To allow these detailed matters to be included in the forthcoming SPD on Community-Led Developments.	No	Foundation East, Bidwells (on behalf of Peterhouse and LHD), Strutt and Parker LLP (on behalf of Dalham Estate), Hutchinsons Planning, Ian Allen (ECDC)

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
						Councillor)
PM/3/50	35	Policy GROWTH 6	[second paragraph] ‘The non-housing elements of schemes will be assessed against other relevant Local Plan policies. However, the District Council will also expect schemes to broadly accord with criteria 5 and 6 below. ’ [criteria] [Replace bullets with numbering (1-7)]	To promote sound organisational structure and practice in all community-led development schemes.	No	ECDC
PM/3/51	35	Policy GROWTH 6	[penultimate bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘It is demonstrated through a financial appraisal that this is essential to enable the delivery of affordable housing or other community benefits on-site, and that it does not increase the land sales value above that which would be likely for a 100% affordable housing scheme on the site; and....’ 	To allow these detailed matters to be included in the forthcoming SPD on Community-Led Developments.	No	Foundation East, Bidwells (on behalf of Peterhouse & LHD), Strutt and Parker LLP (on behalf of Dalham Estate), Hutchinsons Planning, Ian Allen (ECDC Councillor)

Chapter 4: Housing

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/4/1	36	4.2.2	'.....The Size Guide (and any successor document), along with any additional information relating to housing size and type in locality.....'	To clarify that this document may be updated.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of David Wilson Homes) Smiths Gore (on behalf of Church Commissioners) Gladman Developments
PM/4/2	37	4.2.4	'The District also faces a major challenge in increasing the provision of housing for potentially vulnerable elderly and single person households. The Council will therefore aim to ensure that a proportion of new housing built as part of major housing developments is suitable, or easily adaptable for occupation by the elderly or people with disabilities (Lifetime Homes Standard or equivalent). The precise amount of open market housing which will be required to meet all or some of aspects of Lifetime Home Standards will be determined following negotiation with the applicant as part of the planning application process. This will take into account the need for this type of housing within the locality and the financial viability of individual housing developments. Further guidance on this issue will be set out in the Council's Design Guide. The Government has recently announced that changes will be made to the existing building regulations which will introduce two new optional accessibility standards. These will include criteria to provide age friendly, accessible and adaptable housing (which is similar to Lifetime Home Standards) and to meet the specific needs of wheelchair users. Open market developments should continue to provide housing which meets Lifetime Home Standards until the new accessibility standards come into effect.'	To clarify that the amount of housing which will be required to meet some or all aspects of Lifetime Home Standards will be determined as part of the planning application process. For consistency with the Ministerial Statement on Building Regulations (13 th March 2014).	No	ECDC
PM/4/3	37	4.2.5	'The development of self-build properties by individuals or community groups (including Community Land Trusts) can also contribute to meeting the need for additional housing within the district, and provide a more diverse housing stock.....'	To clarify that self build properties can also be developed by Community Land Trusts.	No	Foundation East
PM/4/4	37	4.2.6	'Where applicants propose an alternative mix of housing/types they will be expected to provide demonstrate that this can be fully justified by providing robust evidence relating to the identified level of housing need, financial viability or deliverability to support their proposals to the District Council.' The final mix of housing/types will be subject to negotiation with the applicant. Applicants will be expected to provide demonstrate that this can be fully justified by providing robust evidence relating to the identified level of housing need, financial viability or deliverability to support their proposals to the District Council.'	To clarify that the final mix will be subject to negotiation, reflecting the content of the policy.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of David Wilson Homes) Smith Gore (on behalf of Church Commissioners)
PM/4/5	37	Policy HOU 1	'Housing developments of 10 or more dwellings (or allocations where specified) should provide an appropriate mix of dwelling types and sizes that contribute to current and future housing needs as identified in the most recent available evidence relating to the locality....'	To clarify that allocated sites will also be required to provide an appropriate housing mix where specified.	No	Clive and Susan Patterson
PM/4/6	37	Policy HOU 1	[last paragraph] 'The final mix of dwelling types and sizes will be subject to negotiation with the applicant. Applicants proposing an alternative mix of housing will be required to provide sufficient evidence to support their proposals. demonstrate to the Council that it can be justified.' 'The final mix of dwelling types and sizes will be subject to negotiation with the applicant. Applicants proposing an alternative mix of housing will be required to provide sufficient evidence to support their proposals. demonstrate to the Council that it can be justified.'	Clearer wording about the policy requirements.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of David Wilson Homes)

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/4/7	38	Policy HOU 2	[bullet 3] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'The biodiversity of the site and its surroundings and any heritage assets within or adjoining the site.' 	To clarify that heritage assets should be considered.	Yes	English Heritage
PM/4/8	39	4.4.8	'.....Housing developments should also ensure that sufficient provision is made to the needs of an ageing population and disabled people as highlighted in the Government's Housing Strategy and the SHMA. Affordable housing within the district will be expected to meet the Homes and Communities Agency's Design and Quality Standards (or successor document). These standards include some requirements which enable properties to be suitable or adaptable or accessible by elderly or people with disabilities – but are not as extensive as Lifetime Home requirements. Therefore, as with open market housing (see Policy HOU 1) an element of affordable housing should be provided to meet Lifetime Homes Standards or equivalent. The precise amount of affordable housing which will be required to meet all or some of aspects of Lifetime Home Standards will be determined following negotiation with the applicant as part of the planning application process with details in the Council's Design Guide SPD. The Government has recently announced that changes will be made to the existing building regulations which will introduce two new optional accessibility standards. These will include criteria to provide age friendly, accessible and adaptable housing (which is similar to Lifetime Home Standards) and to meet the specific needs of wheelchair users. Affordable housing developments should continue to provide housing which meets Lifetime Home Standards until the new accessibility standards come into effect. Applicants are advised to contact the Council's Housing team at an early stage in the application process, for guidance on the latest evidence of need for different tenures and size dwellings in a particular locality'	To clarify that the amount of affordable housing which will be required to meet some or all aspects of Lifetime Home Standards will be determined as part of the planning application process. For consistency with the Ministerial Statement on Building Regulations (13 th March 2014).	No	ECDC
PM/4/9	44	4.7.4	'...However, as an exception, approval may be granted for care or nursing homes adjoining or close to a settlement – recognising that developers have to compete on the open market for land, and that there may be a lack of suitable sites within settlement boundaries current provision of nursing/care homes within the district are at the lowest level per population in Cambridgeshire (Guidance Note: Adult Social Care and Planning Policies for Housing Developments (CCC; 2013). In these circumstances it will particularly important for applicants to Nevertheless, all applicants will need to demonstrate localised need for such provision, having regard to the Cambridge Sub-Region Strategic Housing Market Assessment and other County strategies.'	To clarify the reason for the inclusion of an exception policy for nursing/care homes in Policy HOU 6.	No	Cambridgeshire County Council
PM/4/10	45	Policy HOU 7	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Ensure there is no unacceptable risk of flooding.' 	To highlight the importance of flood risks with regard to caravans.	Yes	Environment Agency
PM/4/11	46	4.10.1	[New sentence at start] ' The gypsy and traveller community in East Cambridgeshire is long established, and comprises about 90 or so families living on a mix of private sites and Council sites, plus other families in permanent dwellings (as at 2013). '	To highlight the long established nature of the Gypsy and Traveller community in East Cambs.	No	Irish Traveller Movement
PM/4/12	46	4.10.2	'The Cambridge Sub-Regional Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment identifies a need for 38 Gypsy and Traveller pitches to be provided within East Cambridgeshire between 2011 and 2031. Since 2011 the District Council has granted planning permission has been granted for a total of 47 22 pitches. Assuming that these sites can be delivered there will be a residual requirement for 24 16 pitches to be provided over the Plan period.'	To reflect the current position relating to the supply of Gypsy and Traveller pitches.	No	ECDC
PM/4/13	46	Table 4.2	<i>Table 4.2 - Estimated need for pitches in East Cambs 2011-31</i>	To reflect the current	No	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change																
			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Need for gypsy and traveller pitches</th> <th>Number of pitches</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2011-2016</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2016-2021</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2021-2026</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2026-2031</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total (2011-2031)</td> <td>38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planning permission granted 2011-123</td> <td>17 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Residual requirement 20123-31</td> <td>21-16</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Need for gypsy and traveller pitches	Number of pitches	2011-2016	10	2016-2021	13	2021-2026	10	2026-2031	5	Total (2011-2031)	38	Planning permission granted 2011-123	17 22	Residual requirement 20123-31	21-16	position relating to the supply of Gypsy and Traveller pitches.		
Need for gypsy and traveller pitches	Number of pitches																					
2011-2016	10																					
2016-2021	13																					
2021-2026	10																					
2026-2031	5																					
Total (2011-2031)	38																					
Planning permission granted 2011-123	17 22																					
Residual requirement 20123-31	21-16																					
PM/4/14	46	4.10.4	'The level of need for gypsy and traveller and travelling showpeople accommodation, as identified in the Needs Assessment will be used to inform the determination of planning applications. The Planning Inspector appointed to consider the Local Plan indicated that the Needs Assessment should be reviewed and updated as part of the next Local Plan review, in order to ensure consistency with Government guidance. The District Council is committed to updating this evidence base as part of the Local Plan review. '	To highlight that a revised Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment will be prepared by the District Council to inform the Local Plan review.	No	ECDC																
PM/4/15	46	4.10.5	'In addition, it is proposed to allocate a number of specific sites for gypsy and travellers in the Local Plan, as this can help to ensure the delivery of some sites whilst allowing flexibility to deal with other applications. Policy HOU 9 below proposes 2 separate allocation sites, providing a total of 4 pitches. This is less than the minimum 10 year supply of allocated sites that the NPPF indicates should be identified (10 year supply would equate to 6 pitches). However, despite considerable technical work and publicity, the District Council has been unable to identify any other available or suitable sites. These sites could theoretically.....'	To reflect the current position relating to the supply of Gypsy and Traveller pitches.	No	ECDC																
PM/4/16	46-48	Section 4.10 and Policy HOU 9	[All references to Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople to have capital letters]	To reflect standard expression.	No	Irish Traveller Movement																
PM/4/17	47	Policy HOU 9	[second paragraph, 4 th bullet + new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'There is no significant risk of flooding or land contamination. • There is no unacceptable risk of flooding.' 	To ensure that flood risk is taken into account, reflecting the wording in Government guidance.	<u>Yes</u>	Cambridgeshire County Council																
PM/4/18	49	Map 4.1	[Proposed modification to remove Gypsy and Traveller site allocation at Muckdungle Corner, Newmarket Road, Bottisham from the Cambridge Green Belt.] [See attached map at the end of the document.]	To remove proposed allocation at Muckdungle Corner, Bottisham from Cambridge Green Belt for consistency with the Ministerial Statement on Planning and Traveller sites (3 rd July 2013) which outlines the Government's approach to Traveller sites in the Green Belt.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC																

Chapter 5: Employment

PMM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed Change	Reason for Change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/5/1	52	5.2.4	‘.....These strategic sites will be expected to be retained for employment uses —unless it can be demonstrated through a review of Council’s Jobs Growth Strategy that there is an adequate supply of employment land and premises to meet predicted needs over the plan period (up to 2031)— However, proposals for redevelopment/development for other purposes will be assessed on their merits, taking account of viability and environmental matters, and other policies in the Local Plan particularly those relating to development in the countryside (Policy GROWTH 2) and impact on local character (Policy ENV 1).’	To ensure consistency with para. 22 of the NPPF.	<u>Yes</u>	Savills (on behalf of St Johns College)/ ECDC
PM/5/2	52	5.2.5	[new paragraph] ‘There are some changes of use from office or business use (B1) that are permitted development under the General Permitted Development Order 1995 (as amended). These do not require an application for planning permission to be made to this Council; however, they may require an application to be made to this Council for a determination as to whether prior approval is required for specified matters.’	In response to recent legislative changes to permitted development rights (May 2013).	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/5/3	52	Policy EMP 1	‘.....Employment allocations (as identified in Part 2 of the Local Plan) should be retained for their designated B1/B2/B8 uses. The Council will seek to retain employment allocations for their designated B1/B2/B8 uses. However planning applications for redevelopment/development for other purposes will be assessed on their merits, taking account of criteria a and b above, and other policies in the Plan (particularly those relating to development in the countryside and impact on local character).’	To ensure consistency with para. 22 of the NPPF.	<u>Yes</u>	Savills (on behalf of St Johns College)/ ECDC
PM/5/4	52	Policy EMP 1	[first paragraph] ‘The Council will seek to retain land or premises currently or last used for employment purposes (B1, B2 and B8 uses). As an exception, planning applications proposes for mixed-use re-development involving an element of employment uses may be permitted, where it can be demonstrated that:....’ [second paragraph] ‘.....Planning applications for Rre-development proposals which propose the loss of all employment uses will need to be accompanied by clear viability or other evidence....’	In response to recent legislative changes to permitted development rights (May 2013).	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/5/5	53	5.5.2	‘The re-use of existing rural buildings that are no longer needed for their original purpose provides an opportunity for development without the impact that new buildings have on the landscape. There are some changes of use from agricultural buildings that are permitted development under the General Permitted Development Order 1995 (as amended). These do not require an application for planning permission to be made to this Council; however, they may require an application to be made to this Council for a determination as to whether prior approval is required for specified matters. Re-use may therefore be allowed in situations where new buildings would not. It is important, however, to consider the potential impact in terms of the character of the building and the locality. Not all buildings in the countryside are suitable for conversion or adaptation to new uses, as they may be of insubstantial construction, of poor design, or not in keeping with their surroundings. Proposals for re-use will also need to demonstrate there is capacity on the local road network to deal with any increase in traffic flows, and no significant adverse impact (alone or cumulatively), in terms of the amount or nature of traffic generated.’	In response to recent legislative changes to permitted development rights (May 2013 and April 2014).	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/5/6	54	5.5.4	‘Where planning applications are required, the re-use or replacement of existing rural	As above.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

PMM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed Change	Reason for Change	SA revision?	Source of change
			buildings will.....'			
PM/5/7	54	5.5.5	'Proposals for other types of residential re-use (e.g. open market housing) requiring a planning application will.....'	As above.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/5/8	54	Policy EMP 4	[1 st paragraph] ' Proposals for the re-use of existing buildings in the countryside for business (B1, B2, B8) tourism, outdoor recreation or community-related uses which require a planning application will be permitted where:....." [3 rd paragraph] 'Proposals for the residential re-use of rural buildings which require a planning application will only be appropriate where.....'	As above.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

Chapter 6: Environment and climate change

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/6/1	61	6.2.4	'The Council's 'Ely Environmental Capacity Study' provides a detailed assessment of the landscape character of Ely and its environs, including an analysis of the distant and near views of Ely Cathedral. This Study is due to be updated in 2014, and will be adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document to the Local Plan. A new Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be produced to look at the landscape setting of Ely and other key settlements. Other studies that....'	To highlight the forthcoming update of this key study.	No	English Heritage / ECDC
PM/6/2	61	Policy ENV 1	[fourth bullet point] 'Key views in and out of settlements; this includes quintessential views of Ely Cathedral and the setting of the City as an historic 'isle' settlement close to the fen edge and the valley of the Great Ouse .' [last sentence] 'Suitable compensatory provision must be made in the event of unavoidable significant harm where necessary .'	To highlight the value of quintessential views in and out of settlements. To clarify the scale of change and to reflect guidance within the NPPF.	<u>Yes</u>	English Heritage / ECDC
PM/6/3	63	6.3.6	[new sentence at end] ' Where outline applications are proposed in locations which are deemed to be sensitive by virtue of the special character and value of the historic and natural environment in or in the vicinity of the site, they should be accompanied by sufficient information so that the impact of the proposed development can be properly assessed. '	To highlight the importance of information relating to the historic and natural environment.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/4	63	Policy ENV 2	[paragraph 2] 'Design which fails to have regard to local context including architectural traditions and does not take advantage of opportunities to preserve, enhance or enrich the character, appearance and quality of an area will not be acceptable.' [paragraph 5] 'The Council will encourage innovative, creative good modern architectural design that complies with the principles set out below. Schemes should be founded on an understanding of the architectural traditions of an area, even if there are no direct references to them in the final design. All new development proposals....'	To highlight the importance of architectural traditions in informing design.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/5	67	6.5.2	'....The Council will seek to ensure that efficiency improvements do not compromise the essential qualities of historic buildings and Conservation Areas. English Heritage guidance relating to energy efficiency and historic buildings is available at the following address: http://www.helm.org.uk/guidance-library/....'	To provide useful source information for applicants.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/6	67	6.5.6	[new paragraph] ' In August 2013 the Government carried out consultation on a Housing Standards Review, and indicated that they were minded to require that carbon and energy targets would only be set in national Building Regulations, pending implementation of a zero carbon homes requirement. The Government has since confirmed that the Code for Sustainable Homes requirements including those relating energy and carbon emissions will be incorporated into Building Regulations (Ministerial Statement on Building Regulations – March 2014). Policy ENV 4 therefore contains reference to these replacement standards. '	To reflect the Government's impending changes in relation to Housing Standards.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/6/7	67	Policy ENV 4	[Title of policy] 'Policy ENV 4: Energy and water efficiency and renewable energy in construction'	To reflect the purpose of Policy ENV 4 which includes reference to water efficiency.	No	Environment Agency
PM/6/8	67	Policy ENV 4	'.....Applicants will be required to demonstrate how they have considered maximising all aspects of sustainable design and construction, as set out in the Code for Sustainable Homes (or its successor). Developments of 5 or more homes are required to achieve Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 standard (or its replacement) pending implementation of the zero carbon homes requirement.....'	To reflect the Government's impending changes in relation to Housing Standards.	Yes	ECDC
PM/6/9	69	Policy ENV 6	[new bullet] • 'Heritage assets'	To ensure that heritage assets are taken into account in decision-making.	Yes	National Trust
PM/6/10	69	Policy ENV 6	[third paragraph] 'The visual and amenity impacts of proposed structures will be assessed on their merits, both individually and cumulatively and measures to remediate adverse impacts and make them acceptable will be required.'	To reflect wording on the NPPF.	No	Turley Associates / ECDC
PM/6/11	71	Policy ENV 7	[sixth section] 'Planning permission will only be granted on sites of national or international importance if; • An alternative site is not available, and • Sufficient mitigation measures can be implemented, and • The proposal is of high strategic importance where the need for, and the benefits of, the development, will outweigh the detrimental impacts that the proposal may have on the designated area/asset. • Proposals which have an adverse impact on a site of international importance will not normally be permitted unless there are exceptional overriding reasons of public interest (human health, public safety or environmental benefit). • Proposals which have an adverse impact on a site of national importance will not normally be permitted unless the benefits of development at the site significantly outweigh the impacts.'	To ensure consistency with paragraphs 98, 113 and 188 of the NPPF and Circular 06/05.	No	National Trust / Turley Associates
PM/6/12	72	6.9.2	'....policies across the land/sea boundary are integrated, and to have regard to the Marine Policy Statement and relevant licence arrangements. The East Inshore Marine Plan is due to be produced in 2013. As part of the district is at or below sea level there is the potential for it to be highly influenced by marine processes especially those relating to coastal flooding. Reference should also be had to the Environment Agency's Tidal River Strategy (2009) and other similar strategic documents.'	To highlight important documents for the benefit of applicants.	No	Marine Management Organisation / Ely Group of Internal Drainage Boards
PM/6/13	72	6.9.3	'.....The Environment Agency maps and the SFRA and Surface Water Management Plans where relevant will be used to inform decisions on planning applications. The District Council will also work closely with Cambridgeshire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority and other relevant organisations to develop a Floods and Water Management SPD following adoption of the Local Plan' .	To highlight important documents for the benefit of applicants. To confirm that a Floods and Water Management SPD will be prepared.	No	Cambs County Council / Environment Agency
PM/6/14	72	6.9.5	'Developers should contact the Environment Agency, Lead Local Flood Authority and Internal Drainage Boards at an early stage to obtain further more	To provide additional information on the process, for	No	Environment Agency

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			detailed information relating to potential flood risks including flood risk zones and surface water information for individual sites.'	applicants.		
PM/6/15	73	Policy ENV 8	[second paragraph, new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'The risk of flooding would cause an unacceptable risk to safety.' <third <ul="" bullets]="" changes="" paragraph,="" to=""> 'All Major and non minor development proposals in Flood Zones 2 and 3 and 'Modelled Zone 3'; and Major and non minor development proposals on sites of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 4 in Flood Zone 1, on sites of 1 hectare or greater or where there is evidence of historic flooding set out in the SFRA and/or a Surface Water Management Plan. [fifth paragraph] 'The use of Sustainable Drainage Systems will be required for all new developments in accordance with the Cambridgeshire SuDs Design and Adoption Handbook (or successor document) unless....'</third>	To highlight important documents for the benefit of applicants. To provide additional information and clarification on the process, for applicants.	No	Cambs County Council / Environment Agency
PM/6/16	74	6.10.3	[new sentence at end] ' Regard should also be had to the Water Framework Directive and the objectives of the Anglian River Basin Management Plan. '	To highlight important documents for the benefit of applicants.	No	Environment Agency / Cambs County Council
PM/6/17	74	6.10.5	[new sentence at end] ' It is intended to update this SPD following adoption of the Local Plan. '	To confirm that an update of the SPD will take place.	No	Environment Agency
PM/6/18	75	6.10.7	'.....The Council therefore encourages pre-application discussions with applicants involving bodies responsible for pollution control or drainage including SUDs approving bodies where pollution is an issue.'	To clarify the process, for applicants.	No	Environment Agency
PM/6/19	75	Policy ENV 9	[first paragraph] '....to make a full assessment of potential hazards and impacts. ' [fifth paragraph] '....suitable for the proposed use. Development proposals where there is a risk of pollution should include a Pollution Management Plan which includes details of the identified risks and the proposed control measures. '	To reflect that not all contamination is caused by hazards. To clarify the process for applicants.	No	Environment Agency
PM/6/20	77	New introduction to heritage section	Historic environment – introduction ' The role of the historic environment in achieving sustainable development is set out in national policy. All development should aim to conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance and provide a positive response to the historic character and local distinctiveness of the district. The significance of heritage assets lies in how they are valued in terms of their special historic, archaeological, architectural or artistic qualities. The contribution of the setting of heritage assets to the appreciation of these qualities will be carefully considered, alongside other more direct impacts of development proposals. The district contains a great wealth and variety of buildings and structures that are important to the character and appearance of towns and villages. Within the district, there are 26 designated Conservation Areas, approximately 930 Listed	To put forward an overview of the historic environment and recognise the key issues and challenges.	No	English Heritage

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			<p>Buildings and 41 sites designated as Scheduled Ancient Monuments. Ely Cathedral is one of England's great monuments, and is of national and international significance, as well as having resonance as a local landmark and symbol of the fens.</p> <p>The Council will pro-actively seek opportunities to enhance or better reveal the significance of heritage assets through all appropriate means, applying the historic environment evidence base as part of a strategy for achieving positive outcomes for the historic environment. This will apply to investigating how heritage assets at risk, or potentially at risk, can be restored and brought back into beneficial use.'</p>			
PM/6/21	77	6.12.2	<p>'The Council is currently undertaking a programme of Conservation Area reviews, looking at boundaries, character and general condition through the production of Conservation Area Appraisals and has adopted several Conservation Area Appraisals as Supplementary Planning Documents. These appraisal documents examine boundaries, character and general condition. In addition...'</p>	To provide clarification on the extent of Appraisals to date.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/22	77	Policy ENV 11	<p>[new paragraph at the end] 'Where there is an adopted Conservation Area Appraisal SPD, developers will be expected to show how this has informed their proposals.'</p>	To highlight the important role of Conservation Area Appraisals in decision-making.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/23	78	6.13.6	<p>'The Council will use its statutory powers to enforce the repair of Listed Buildings where appropriate Investing in historic buildings can have a direct impact on the quality of life of residents. Many buildings at risk have a rich historic legacy and contribute to local identity. The repair and refurbishment of declining and/or derelict historic buildings can often be a catalyst in encouraging confidence and investment in an area.'</p>	To address the issue of heritage risk	No	English Heritage
PM/6/24	79	Policy ENV 12	<p>[new paragraph at end] 'The Council will monitor Heritage at Risk within the district and will pro-actively engage with key stakeholders in order to secure and improve those heritage assets deemed to be most at risk. The Council will use its statutory powers to enforce the repair of heritage assets where appropriate.'</p>	To address the issue of heritage risk	No	English Heritage
PM/6/25	79-80	6.14 and Policy ENV 13	<p>[substitution of list with register]</p> <p>[TITLE] 6.14 Locally Listed Buildings Local Register of Buildings and Structures</p> <p>6.14.1 'The Council, in conjunction will local amenity groups, will prepare a local list register of buildings and structures which make a valuable contribute to the local scene, local distinctiveness and/or local history, but which do not merit inclusion on the national list...'</p> <p>6.14.2 'Proposals for ...on the Local List Register Register will be required....'</p> <p>Policy ENV 13 [TITLE] Locally Listed Buildings Local Register of Buildings and Structures</p> <p>'Proposals that affect a Locally Listed Building building or structure on the Local Register will not be permitted ... The Council will resist development that will:</p>	To provide clarification and avoid possible confusion with the statutory list	No	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Involve.....on the Local List Register; and Involve.....on the Local List Register Proposals to demolish all or part of a Locally Listed Building building or structure on the Local Register will not be permitted'			
PM/6/26	80	6.15.2	'Archaeological remains are finite and non-renewable. As well as having historic value in their own right, they are important for education, leisure and tourism and contribute to the character of the district. The Council will make every effort to safeguard the local archaeological heritage which is vulnerable to the impacts of development. Designated Assets of national importance are shown on the Proposals Map and listed in Appendix 2. These and other 'undesigned' assets of local, regional and national significance are recorded in the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record which is maintained by Cambridgeshire County Council, to which more assets are routinely added.'	To better reflect the terminology and guidance in the NPPF.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/6/27	80	6.15.3	'As most archaeological remains are yet to be discovered it is crucial that sites of potential interest are appropriately assessed. Development that harms the significance any heritage asset of known or identified national importance will be resisted, and the impact of development on all types of remains should be appropriately assessed as part of the application process.'	To better reflect the terminology and guidance in the NPPF.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/6/28	80	6.15.4	'Where permission for development is granted that would harm assets of archaeological interest, a programme of conservation appropriate to their significance should be undertaken. Their in-situ preservation is preferred, but where this is not feasible, provision....'	To better reflect the terminology and guidance in the NPPF.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/6/29	80-81	Policy ENV 14	[second and third bullets] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Require the submission of an appropriate archaeological evaluation/assessment of significance by a suitably qualified person. This initial work may be required prior to the submission of a planning application; and Not be permitted where there would be an adverse effect on the proposals would cause substantial harm to new or known nationally important sites, including Scheduled Monuments and their settings'. 	To better reflect the terminology and guidance in the NPPF.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/6/30	81	6.16.3	' ...and the setting of any historic buildings and features heritage assets.'	To better reflect the terminology and guidance in the NPPF.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/31	81	Policy ENV 15	'Proposals that affect the significance of a Historic Park or Garden....'	To better reflect the terminology and guidance in the NPPF.	No	English Heritage
PM/6/32	81	6.17.3	' In considering enabling development proposals, developers are encouraged to enter into pre-application discussions with the Council. When considering proposals for enabling development, the Council will utilise best practice guidance where available in order to help inform the decision making process. Developers are encouraged to enter into pre-application discussions with the Council at an early stage when considering a proposal for enabling development.'	To highlight the process for applicants.	No	English Heritage

Chapter 7: Community Services and Infrastructure

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/7/1	83	7.1.2	'Community services and facilities include schools and other education provision, social services, libraries, shops, pubs , open space,	To highlight that pubs are one of the types of community facilities which the chapter covers.	No	CAMRA (late response 30.9.13).
PM/7/2	83	7.2.2	'Edge of centre and out of town centre locations may be appropriate in exceptional circumstances, according to the sequential and other tests in the NPPF and referred to in Policy COM 1.'	To reflect paragraph 24 in the NPPF.	No	Barton Wilmore (on behalf of Waitrose)
PM/7/3	85	7.3.1	'.....provided that the retail function of the centre is not undermined. There are some changes of use from A1 shops that are permitted development under the General Permitted Development Order 1995 (as amended). These do not require an application for planning permission to be made to this Council; however, they may require an application to be made to this Council for a determination as to whether prior approval is required for specified matters.'	To reflect new permitted development legislation due to come into force on 6 th April 2014.	Yes	ECDC
PM/7/4	85	7.3.3	'....Policy COM 2 is designed to maintain a predominance of retailing within the primary shopping frontage area. Where a planning application is required , the Council will resist the loss of A1 shop units....'	As above.	Yes	ECDC
PM/7/5	86	7.3.5	'In the town centres of Soham and Littleport, a slightly more flexible approach to planning applications is proposed.....'	To reflect new permitted development legislation due to come into force on 6 th April 2014.	Yes	ECDC
PM/7/6	86	7.3.5	'....The Council therefore seeks to protect against the loss of larger A1 retail units, which are defined as those measuring with a net retail floorspace of 200m² or more larger (gross retail floorspace) .	To correct supporting text in accordance with Policy COM 2	No	ECDC
PM/7/7	86	7.3.6	'.... Where planning applications are required , within the Ely Primary Shopping Frontage change of use to residential at ground floor level will not be acceptable.....As part of this, applicants submitting planning applications will be expected to demonstrate evidence that....'	To reflect new permitted development legislation due to come into force on 6 th April 2014.	Yes	ECDC
PM/7/8	86	Policy COM 2	[Ely Primary Shopping Frontage] '..... Where planning applications are required , the loss of A1 retail uses will generally be resisted....' [Ely Secondary Shopping Frontage] 'Within Ely Secondary Shopping Frontage, as defined on Map 7.1, planning applications for change of use from A1 retail to other uses may be permitted....' [Soham and Littleport town centres] 'Within Soham and Littleport town centres, as defined on Maps 7.2 and 7.3, planning applications for changes of use from A1 retail to other uses may be permitted....'	To reflect new permitted development legislation due to come into force on 6 th April 2014.	Yes	ECDC
PM/7/9	91	7.4.1	'.....Community facilities include local shops, Post Offices, pubs, community meeting places, schools, health care facilities, open spaces, allotments, cultural facilities and sport and recreational facilities. The NPPF.....'	To clarify that cultural facilities are also community facilities.	No	Theatres Trust
PM/7/10	91	7.4.4	'..Where proposals result in the loss of open space provision, the relevant community and statutory stakeholders (including Sport England) will need to be consulted...'	To highlight the role of Sport England.	No	Sport England
PM/7/11	91	7.4.5	[new sentences at end] '..... However, it should be noted that proposals involving the provision of	To protect against the loss of open space and recreational	Yes	Sport England

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			different community facilities will not be appropriate in relation to open space and recreational facilities. As set out in paragraph 74 of the National Planning Policy Framework it is important to retain existing open spaces, sport and recreational facilities for these particular uses.'	facilities in line with paragraph 74 of the NPPF.		
PM/7/12	92	Policy COM 3	[bullet 2 + new bullet after] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Development would involve the provision of an equivalent or better replacement community facility (either on-site or in an appropriately accessible alternative location); or , or the provision of an alternative community facility which brings demonstrable greater benefits to the settlement or neighbourhood. Development would involve the provision of an alternative community facility which brings demonstrable greater benefits to the settlement or neighbourhood - except in the case of open space, sports and recreational facilities which should be retained where possible in accordance with paragraph 74 of National Planning Policy Framework.' 	To protect the loss of open space and recreational facilities in line with paragraph 74 of the NPPF.	<u>Yes</u>	Sport England
PM/7/13	92	Policy COM 3	[bullet 4 + new bullet after] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Development would involve the provision of an equivalent or better replacement community facility (either on-site or in an appropriately accessible alternative location); or , or the provision of an alternative community facility which brings demonstrable greater benefits to the settlement or neighbourhood. 'Development would involve the provision of an alternative community facility which brings demonstrable greater benefits to the settlement or neighbourhood except in the case of open space, sports and recreational facilities which should be retained where possible in accordance with paragraph 74 of National Planning Policy Framework.' 	To protect the loss of open space and recreational facilities in line with paragraph 74 of the NPPF.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/7/14	93	7.6.1	'...and in helping to mitigate the effects of climate change. The cross boundary nature of many of these projects means that the District Council will need to work closely with neighbouring authorities to bring forward these schemes.'	To highlight the cross border nature of strategic green infrastructure and the need to work with neighbouring authorities.	No	St Edmundsbury Borough Council
PM/7/15	93	7.6.2	'The Cambridgeshire Green Infrastructure Strategy (2011) provides a valuable framework for considering strategic green infrastructure in East Cambridgeshire. A map showing strategic green infrastructure is included as Appendix 5 in this Local Plan. The Strategy identifies.....'	To include reference to map showing strategic green infrastructure network.	No	National Trust
PM/7/16	93	7.6.2	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Block Fen Nature Reserve' 	To highlight an important strategic green infrastructure project.	No	Mepal Parish Council
PM/7/17	95	7.7.4	'The Council supports the development and provision of telecommunication infrastructures throughout the district. according to need. At the same time the Council is keen to minimise any adverse impact on the character of the locality and environment.'	To avoid reference to the justification of need.	No	ECDC
PM/7/18	95	Policy COM 6	[sixth bullet point] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'The application is accompanied supported by necessary evidence to support justify the proposal, including the outcome of the consultation with key organisations...' 	To provide greater clarity of wording to avoid confusion	No	Mobile Operators Association
PM/7/19	96	7.8.2	'The planning and design process should ensure access to a site is safe and	To clarify that the needs of bus	No	Cambs County

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			convenient, and the needs of all users, including pedestrians, cyclists, bus and rail passengers , people with disabilities and....'	and rail passengers also need to be taken into account.		Council
PM/7/20	96	7.8.5	'Proposals that are likely to have significant transport implications also need to be accompanied by a Travel Plan. In the case of residential schemes this will apply to developments of 50 or more dwellings, or schemes likely to generate significant amounts of traffic. Applicants should.....'	To set an appropriate threshold for residential development, at the request of the County Council.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/7/21	96	Policy COM 7	'Development should be designed to reduce the need to travel, particularly by car, and should promote and enhance sustainable forms of transport appropriate to its particular location...'	To highlight the importance of enhancing sustainable transport.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/7/22	98	Policy COM 7	[bullet h] h. 'Be accompanied by a Travel Plan for residential development- schemes of 50 or more dwellings, or those which and non-residential developments that are likely to generate significant amounts of traffic; and non-residential developments that are likely to generate significant amounts of traffic. '	To set an appropriate threshold for residential development, at the request of the County Council.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/7/23	97	7.9.1	'.....The under-provision of parking on-site in new developments may lead to on-street parking, creating potential problems of highway safety problems and efficiency as well as unsightly street environments.....'	To highlight the problems created by lack of parking.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/7/24	98	Policy COM 8	[new sentence at end] ' Consideration should also be given to providing charging points for electric vehicles. '	To support reference in the supporting text regarding the provision of electric charging points.	No	Cambs County Council

Town/Village Visions:

Aldreth

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/ALD/1	102	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Aldreth</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ²⁵</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{24- 25}</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)</td> <td>8- 9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ²⁶</td> <td>9 – 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ²⁷</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>26 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Aldreth		Population ²⁵	270	Dwellings ^{24- 25}	108	New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	8- 9	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ²⁶	9 – 10	Employment ²⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Aldreth																				
Population ²⁵	270																			
Dwellings ^{24- 25}	108																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	8- 9																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ²⁶	9 – 10																			
Employment ²⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)																			
PM/ALD/2	104	Map 8.1	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Ashley

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/ASH/1	105	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Ashley</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ²⁸</td> <td>640- 749</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{27 28}</td> <td>260</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 42 13 (net)</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ²⁹</td> <td>10 8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ³⁰</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular but infrequent services to Newmarket (Mon-Sat). Access to the Suffolk Links Demand Response Transport service operated by the Voluntary Network.</td> </tr> </table> <p>28 Population for Ashley parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Ashley parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>29 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Ashley		Population ²⁸	640- 749	Dwellings ^{27 28}	260	New dwellings built 2001- 42 13 (net)	17	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ²⁹	10 8	Employment ³⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular but infrequent services to Newmarket (Mon-Sat). Access to the Suffolk Links Demand Response Transport service operated by the Voluntary Network.	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Ashley																				
Population ²⁸	640- 749																			
Dwellings ^{27 28}	260																			
New dwellings built 2001- 42 13 (net)	17																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ²⁹	10 8																			
Employment ³⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular but infrequent services to Newmarket (Mon-Sat). Access to the Suffolk Links Demand Response Transport service operated by the Voluntary Network.																			
PM/ASH/2	107	Map 8.2	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	<p>To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.</p>	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Barway

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/BAR/1	108	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Barway</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ³¹</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ³⁰⁻³¹</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³²</td> <td>12 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ³³</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Return bus service to Ely, one day a week (Thu) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>32 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Barway		Population ³¹	130	Dwellings ³⁰⁻³¹	55	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	1	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³²	12 11	Employment ³³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Return bus service to Ely, one day a week (Thu) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Barway																				
Population ³¹	130																			
Dwellings ³⁰⁻³¹	55																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	1																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³²	12 11																			
Employment ³³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Return bus service to Ely, one day a week (Thu) (as at 2012)																			
PM/BAR/2	109	Policy BAR 1	<p>[new bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Make provision for the road across the frontage to be widened to 5 metres' 	To correct error in omitting requirements identified by the Highways Authority.	No	Paul Fenn ECDC														
PM/BAR/3	109	Policy BAR 2	<p>[new bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Make provision for the road across the frontage to be widened to 5 metres, and extend the 30 mph speed limit across the frontage' 	To correct error in omitting requirements identified by the Highways Authority.	No	Paul Fenn ECDC														
PM/BAR/4	111	Map 8.3	<p>[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Black Horse Drove

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/BHD/1	112	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Black Horse Drove</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ³⁴</td> <td>220</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{33- 34}</td> <td>93</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³⁵</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ³⁶</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A limited which operates once a day to Littleport ,Prickwillow and Ely (Thursdays only) service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at September 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>35 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Black Horse Drove		Population ³⁴	220	Dwellings ^{33- 34}	93	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	5	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³⁵	5	Employment ³⁶	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A limited which operates once a day to Littleport ,Prickwillow and Ely (Thursdays only) service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at September 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the ‘dwellings’ row.</p>	No	ECDC
Black Horse Drove																				
Population ³⁴	220																			
Dwellings ^{33- 34}	93																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	5																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³⁵	5																			
Employment ³⁶	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A limited which operates once a day to Littleport ,Prickwillow and Ely (Thursdays only) service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at September 2012)																			

Bottisham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/BOT/1	115	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Bottisham</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ³⁷</td> <td>2110 2199</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{36 37}</td> <td>840</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>138 150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³⁸</td> <td>107 101</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ³⁹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Services to Cambridge Burwell, Newmarket, Bury St Edmunds and Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>37 Population for Bottisham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Bottisham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>38 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Bottisham		Population ³⁷	2110 2199	Dwellings ^{36 37}	840	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	138 150	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³⁸	107 101	Employment ³⁹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Services to Cambridge Burwell, Newmarket, Bury St Edmunds and Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Bottisham																				
Population ³⁷	2110 2199																			
Dwellings ^{36 37}	840																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	138 150																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ³⁸	107 101																			
Employment ³⁹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Services to Cambridge Burwell, Newmarket, Bury St Edmunds and Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)																			
PM/BOT/2	116	Supporting text to Policy BOT 1	<p>[2nd paragraph]</p> <p>Land is released from the development of the site will require the release of land from the Cambridge Green Belt for the development of the site. Proposals will need to include measures to reinforce the create a new clear defensible Green Belt Boundary.....'</p>	To improve the legibility of the text.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of David Wilson Homes)														
PM/BOT/3	117	Supporting text to Policy BOT 1	<p>[5th paragraph]</p> <p>'There is an identified local need for allotment space within Bottisham. Land east of Bell Road is the only area capable of delivering this community use over the Plan period, so it is crucial that provision is made within as part of the scheme – either within the site or adjoining it to the south/south-west (not within the SAM area)'.</p>	To clarify that the SAM buffer area should not be used for the provision of the allotments, and that the allotments may be provided within the site or close to it.	<u>Yes</u>	English Heritage/ECDC/ CCC (Archaeology)														
PM/BOT/4	117	Supporting text to Policy BOT 1	<p>[6th paragraph]</p> <p>'The District Council will require the preparation of a Masterplan for the whole site, prior to the approval of a development scheme. The Masterplan should establish the development and Green Belt boundaries for the site along with the broad location of land uses, roads, services, open space and landscaping, and look at principles such as access and movement, built form and phasing.....'</p>	To allow for the confirmation of the boundary for the allocation and the Green Belt.	No	ECDC														
PM/BOT/5	117	Policy BOT1	<p>[6th bullet point]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Provide new allotments within the site or adjoining it to the south/south-west (potentially as part of the SAM buffer – subject to the archaeological evaluation and agreement with English Heritage), for the use of the local community.....' 	To clarify that the SAM buffer area should not be used for the provision of the allotments, and that the allotments may be provided within the site or close to it.	<u>Yes</u>	English Heritage/ECDC/ CCC (Archaeology)														
PM/BOT/6	117	Policy BOT 1	<p>[7th bullet point]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Be accompanied by a Landscape Assessment and include measures to enhance the supporting Green Belt and provide a well-defined and defensible boundary to 	To clarify the location of the boundary treatment.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of David Wilson Homes)														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			the Green Belt on the southern and western boundaries of the site.'			
PM/BOT/7	118	Supporting text to Policy BOT 2	[delete entire section] Mixed-use housing/employment allocation – Crystal Structures site One key potential infill opportunity is the 'Crystal Structures' site on Tunbridge Lane. This currently has several buildings on it, which were used for employment purposes. However, this use has been dwindling in recent years and the buildings are considered to be unsuitable for modern use. Therefore it is considered appropriate to allow the site to be redeveloped for a mix of housing and employment uses (B1/B2), in accordance with Policy EMP 1.	Allocation is within the development envelope and therefore not required. Inconsistent with rest of the Local Plan.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/BOT/8	118	Policy BOT 2	[delete entire policy]	See above.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/BOT/9	119	Supporting text 'Employment'	[second paragraph] 'Employment growth in Bottisham is required to allow for the sustainable growth of the village and help meet local employment needs. The mixed use allocation at the Crystal Structures site should assist. In addition, an An employment allocation is proposed to the rear of Tunbridge Lane Business Park to allow the extension of that facility. Development on this site will need to be in line with Policy BOT 3-2.	See above. Consequential re-numbering.	No	ECDC
PM/BOT/10	119	Supporting text to Policy BOT 3	[fifth paragraph] '...It will demonstrate how the site will deliver the key aims of Policy BOT 3-2, and ensure a high standard of comprehensive planning.'	Consequential re-numbering – for reasons set out above.	No	ECDC
PM/BOT/11	119	Policy BOT 3	[title] Policy BOT 3-2	Consequential re-numbering – for reasons set out above.	No	ECDC
PM/BOT/12	120	Infrastructure table	[1st row] '.....Consultation with Anglian Water would be required to ensure that capacity is available for further development or whether an upgrade is required (with development coming forward once improvements have been implemented). '	To clarify the process for applicants.	No	Environment Agency
PM/BOT/13	120	Infrastructure table	[4th row] 'Development of new public open space and children's play area - Bottisham Parish Council / ECDC - The Parish Council are also engaging with local landowners to explore other potential sites for new open space. Partly facilitated by development at Bell Road and Crystal Structures sites (see Policy BOT 1 and BOT 2).	To highlight an existing infrastructure requirement, and that ECDC may be involved in provision alongside the PC. To reflect the proposed deletion of BOT 2, see above.	No	Kasia Gdaniec, Kate Vadhia, Steve Bennett ECDC
PM/BOT/14	121	Infrastructure table	[7 th row] 'A14 – south of the village – Highways Authority Agency – The A14.....is lobbying the Highways Authority Agency to introduce noise reduction measures....'	To correct an error – reference to the wrong responsible organisation.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/BOT/15	122	Map 8.5	[Delete allocation for BOT 2. Re-label BOT 3 as BOT 2.] See attached map at the end of the document.	Consequential re-numbering – for reasons set out above.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC
PM/BOT/16	122	Map 8.5	[Proposed minor modification to boundary of site BOT 1 (land east of Bell Road) following recent masterplanning work.] See attached map at the end of the document.	To afford additional protection for the Scheduled Ancient Monument and to allow for a better quality of design and layout.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC/English Heritage/CCC (Archaeology)
PM/BOT/17	122	Map 8.5	[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of	To include employment	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached map at the end of the document.	areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.		

Brinkley

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/BRI/1	123	Key statistics	[revised table/footnote] <table border="1" data-bbox="504 619 1525 823"> <tr> <td>Brinkley</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁴⁰</td> <td>370- 391</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ³⁹⁻⁴⁰</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁴¹</td> <td>1- 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁴²</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Limited service to Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) , Newmarket , Linton and Haverhill (Mon-Fri)(as at Nov 2012)</td> </tr> </table> 40 Population for Brinkley parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Brinkley parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group. 41 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....	Brinkley		Population ⁴⁰	370- 391	Dwellings ³⁹⁻⁴⁰	160	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	3	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁴¹	1- 1	Employment ⁴²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Limited service to Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) , Newmarket , Linton and Haverhill (Mon-Fri)(as at Nov 2012)	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census. To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Brinkley																				
Population ⁴⁰	370- 391																			
Dwellings ³⁹⁻⁴⁰	160																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	3																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁴¹	1- 1																			
Employment ⁴²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Limited service to Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) , Newmarket , Linton and Haverhill (Mon-Fri)(as at Nov 2012)																			

Burrough Green

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/BUG/1	126	Key statistics	<p>[revised table]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Burrough Green</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ⁴³</td> <td>360 379</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{44 43}</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁴⁴</td> <td>10 – 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ^{44 45}</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>43 Population for Burrough Green parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Burrough Green parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>44 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate as it excludes potential supply from rural exception windfall sites.</p> <p>44 45 To be updated with forthcoming Census results</p>	Burrough Green		Population ⁴³	360 379	Dwellings ^{44 43}	160	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	10	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁴⁴	10 – 12	Employment ^{44 45}	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend a typographical error in footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To insert footnote for 'Estimated new dwellings' row – omitted in error (and update subsequent footnote numbering).</p>	No	ECDC
Burrough Green																				
Population ⁴³	360 379																			
Dwellings ^{44 43}	160																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	10																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁴⁴	10 – 12																			
Employment ^{44 45}	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)																			

Burwell

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/BUR/1	131	Housing	[third paragraph] '....It is estimated that there could be capacity for perhaps 140 128 dwellings between 2012 2013 and 2031, from outstanding planning permission (yet to be completed).....'	To reflect updated housing trajectory 2014.	No	ECDC														
PM/BUR/2	131	Table of housing supply	[revised table] Estimated housing supply in Burwell 2012 2011-31 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Housing sources</th> <th>Number of dwellings</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Completions 2011-13</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outstanding planning permissions</td> <td>52⁴⁶ 58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Potential large future sites</td> <td>36 39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated windfall on small sites</td> <td>52 31</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Housing allocation</td> <td>350</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ESTIMATED SUPPLY</td> <td>490 491</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Housing sources	Number of dwellings	Completions 2011-13	13	Outstanding planning permissions	52 ⁴⁶ 58	Potential large future sites	36 39	Estimated windfall on small sites	52 31	Housing allocation	350	ESTIMATED SUPPLY	490 491	To update housing supply table with latest results from 2014 housing trajectory.	No	ECDC
Housing sources	Number of dwellings																			
Completions 2011-13	13																			
Outstanding planning permissions	52 ⁴⁶ 58																			
Potential large future sites	36 39																			
Estimated windfall on small sites	52 31																			
Housing allocation	350																			
ESTIMATED SUPPLY	490 491																			
PM/BUR/3	131	Supporting text to Policy BUR 1	[part of second paragraph] '..... Land off Newmarket Road is an open field with no obvious boundaries, and covers far in excess of 20 hectares. The map for Burwell below shows a very large 'area of search' well in excess of 20 hectares, somewhere within which the scheme is likely to be located. Applicants will need to explore and justify the exact location and boundaries of the scheme, through the production of a site specific Masterplan submitted alongside an outline planning application. A number of constraints will influence the location of the development, including the need to provide the main access route into the site off Newmarket Road (plus an emergency access route off Ness Road), as well as further investigative work relating to design, viability and deliverability.	To remove requirement to identify a boundary following further detailed work.	No	ECDC/Cambs County Council														
PM/BUR/4	132	Policy BUR 1	[second and third sentences] '..... Applicants will be expected to identify the exact location and boundaries of the development scheme, somewhere within the broad area of search, through the production of a Masterplan for the scheme.	To remove requirement to identify a boundary following further detailed work. See also PM/BUR/11 for the revised map of the allocation and development boundary.	No	ECDC/Cambs County Council														
PM/BUR/5	132	Policy BUR 1	[fourth bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Provide a minimum of 2.5 hectares for 4 sports pitches outdoor sports provision, changing facilities and on-site parking principally within the site.' 	To reflect the fact that sports provision may not solely be focused on pitches and to allow some flexibility regarding its location.	No	C Nickson, Senior/ Cambs County Council/ECDC														
PM/BUR/6	132-133	Policy BUR 1	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Provide appropriate evidence of the archaeological potential and significance of the site prior to the submission of a planning application'. 	To highlight the need for appropriate archaeological evidence to be provided with the planning application.	No	ECDC														
PM/BUR/7	136-137	Policy BUR 5	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Demonstrate that the development is consistent with the objectives of the Anglian River Basin Management Plan' 	To highlight an important document and ensure it is taken into account.	No	Environment Agency														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/BUR/8	137-138	Infrastructure table	[additional row] 'Burwell Lode Bridge - Harrison's Drive - The National Trust/Cambs County Council - Provision of a dual purpose bridge for cyclists, pedestrians and equestrians over Burwell Lode.'	To highlight an important infrastructure project within the parish area.	No	National Trust
PM/BUR/9	137-138	Infrastructure table	[additional row] 'Limited expansion of either or both Soham and Bottisham Village Colleges – Soham/Bottisham – Cambs County Council – Limited expansion required. To be included in future County Council capital programme.'	To highlight an important infrastructure requirement relating to the parish.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/BUR/10	137-138	Infrastructure table	[additional row] 'Early years facility – TBC – Private provider – TBC – NK'	To identify new infrastructure requirements highlighted by the County Council.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/BUR/11	139	Map 8.8	[revised development envelope for Burwell] Proposed modification to Burwell development envelope to include land identified for provision of BUR 1 allocation. See attached map at the end of the document	To provide a clear and adequately justified boundary following further detailed work. See also PM/BUR/3 and 4 above.	No	ECDC/Cambs County Council
PM/BUR/12	139	Map 8.8	[development envelope for Burwell] Proposed minor modification to Burwell Development Envelope to include land granted planning permission at 131 North Street. See attached map at the end of the document	To correct a drafting error.	No	Natalie Gates/ Mr & Mrs Gates
PM/BUR/13	139	Map 8.8	[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached map at the end of the document.	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

Chettisham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/CHT/1	140	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Chettisham</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ⁴⁹</td> <td>180</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings (est.) ⁴⁹</td> <td>83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁰</td> <td>5- 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁵¹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular bus service to (Monday-Saturday)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>50 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Chettisham		Population ⁴⁹	180	Dwellings (est.) ⁴⁹	83	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	2	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁰	5- 2	Employment ⁵¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular bus service to (Monday-Saturday)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Chettisham																				
Population ⁴⁹	180																			
Dwellings (est.) ⁴⁹	83																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	2																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁰	5- 2																			
Employment ⁵¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular bus service to (Monday-Saturday)																			
PM/CHT/2	142	Map 8.9	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	<p>To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.</p>	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Cheveley

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/CHV/1	143	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Cheveley</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁵²</td> <td>1070 1990</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ⁵²</td> <td>960</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)</td> <td>37- 41</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵³</td> <td>73- 72</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁵⁴</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A regular bus service Monday-Saturday to Newmarket</td> </tr> </table> <p>52 Population for Cheveley parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Cheveley parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>53 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Cheveley		Population ⁵²	1070 1990	Dwellings ⁵²	960	New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	37- 41	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵³	73- 72	Employment ⁵⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A regular bus service Monday-Saturday to Newmarket	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.	No	ECDC
Cheveley																				
Population ⁵²	1070 1990																			
Dwellings ⁵²	960																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	37- 41																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵³	73- 72																			
Employment ⁵⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A regular bus service Monday-Saturday to Newmarket																			
PM/CHV/2	144	Supporting text to Policy CHV 1	<p>[second paragraph]</p> <p>'...accommodate up to 2 dwellings. It should be noted that the boundary of the site could be subject to alteration, if an applicant can demonstrate through further investigative work that an alternative boundary would be more appropriate.'</p>	To correct drafting error – incorrectly included in this policy.	No	ECDC														
PM/CHV/3	144	Supporting text to Policy CHV 2	<p>'The site is located off the High Street, opposite the junction with Spurling Close and is currently open farmland a grassed paddock.....'</p>	To correct inaccurate description of current land use.	No	Cheffins (on behalf of land owners of allocations)														
PM/CHV/4	145	Policy CHV 2	<p>[new bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Provide a mix of dwelling types and sizes to reflect current evidence of need within Cheveley' 	To clarify that allocated sites will also be required to provide an appropriate housing mix where specified.	No	Clive and Susan Patterson ECDC														

Chippenham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/CHI/1	148	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Chippenham</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁵⁵</td> <td>550- 517</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ⁵⁴⁵⁵</td> <td>250</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁶</td> <td>4- 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁵⁷</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Public transport is limited in the village with a Mon-Fri commuter bus service to Newmarket and one bus on a Tuesday and a Saturday morning service to Newmarket that runs on a Tuesday and Saturday morning.</td> </tr> </table> <p>55 Population for Chippenham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Chippenham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>56 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Chippenham		Population ⁵⁵	550- 517	Dwellings ⁵⁴⁵⁵	250	New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	10	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁶	4- 3	Employment ⁵⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Public transport is limited in the village with a Mon-Fri commuter bus service to Newmarket and one bus on a Tuesday and a Saturday morning service to Newmarket that runs on a Tuesday and Saturday morning.	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Chippenham																				
Population ⁵⁵	550- 517																			
Dwellings ⁵⁴⁵⁵	250																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	10																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁶	4- 3																			
Employment ⁵⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Public transport is limited in the village with a Mon-Fri commuter bus service to Newmarket and one bus on a Tuesday and a Saturday morning service to Newmarket that runs on a Tuesday and Saturday morning.																			

Coveney

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/COV/1	152	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Coveney</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁵⁸</td> <td>390</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ⁵⁸</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)</td> <td>1- 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁹</td> <td>3- 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁶⁰</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Service to Ely (Thursday only) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>59 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Coveney		Population ⁵⁸	390	Dwellings ⁵⁸	160	New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	1- 2	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁹	3- 4	Employment ⁶⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Service to Ely (Thursday only) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p>	No	ECDC
Coveney																				
Population ⁵⁸	390																			
Dwellings ⁵⁸	160																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12- 13 (net)	1- 2																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁵⁹	3- 4																			
Employment ⁶⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Service to Ely (Thursday only) (as at June 2012)																			

Dullingham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/DUL/1	155	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Dullingham</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Population</i>⁶¹</td> <td>720- 767</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Dwellings</i>⁶¹</td> <td>310</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>New dwellings built 2001- 12</i> 13 (net)</td> <td>24 23</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Estimated new dwellings 2012</i> 2013 – 31⁶²</td> <td>15 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Employment</i>⁶³</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Existing public transport services</i></td> <td>Regular services to Newmarket and Cambridge (Mon-Sat). Rail Services to Cambridge, Newmarket, Bury St Edmunds and Ipswich</td> </tr> </table> <p>61 Population for Dullingham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Dullingham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>62 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Dullingham		<i>Population</i> ⁶¹	720- 767	<i>Dwellings</i> ⁶¹	310	<i>New dwellings built 2001- 12</i> 13 (net)	24 23	<i>Estimated new dwellings 2012</i> 2013 – 31 ⁶²	15 12	<i>Employment</i> ⁶³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	<i>Existing public transport services</i>	Regular services to Newmarket and Cambridge (Mon-Sat). Rail Services to Cambridge, Newmarket, Bury St Edmunds and Ipswich	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.	No	ECDC
Dullingham																				
<i>Population</i> ⁶¹	720- 767																			
<i>Dwellings</i> ⁶¹	310																			
<i>New dwellings built 2001- 12</i> 13 (net)	24 23																			
<i>Estimated new dwellings 2012</i> 2013 – 31 ⁶²	15 12																			
<i>Employment</i> ⁶³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
<i>Existing public transport services</i>	Regular services to Newmarket and Cambridge (Mon-Sat). Rail Services to Cambridge, Newmarket, Bury St Edmunds and Ipswich																			
PM/DUL/2	156	Infrastructure section	<p>[after the Infrastructure table]</p> <p>'The top priority is improvement to the main sewer. Other priorities include improvements to walking/cycling/riding routes, and village amenities.</p> <p>The current community facilities in Dullingham (including the school, pub, sports field and playground) contribute to the quality of people's lives. The loss of community facilities will be resisted under Policy COM 3. Proposals for new community development that benefits the village will be supported in principle, subject to Policy COM 4.'</p>	To correct error in the omission of supporting text.	No	CAMRA (late response 30.9.13)														

Ely

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/ELY/1	159	Key statistics	[revised table/footnote] <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Ely</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁶⁴</td> <td>17,630</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{65 64}</td> <td>8045</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>2243- 2299</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁶⁵</td> <td>3904- 3954</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁶⁶</td> <td>8000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Mainline rail station plus regular bus services within Ely and to Cambridge, Chatteris, Newmarket and surrounding villages</td> </tr> </table> <p>65 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Ely		Population ⁶⁴	17,630	Dwellings ^{65 64}	8045	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	2243- 2299	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁶⁵	3904- 3954	Employment ⁶⁶	8000	Existing public transport services	Mainline rail station plus regular bus services within Ely and to Cambridge, Chatteris, Newmarket and surrounding villages	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Ely																				
Population ⁶⁴	17,630																			
Dwellings ^{65 64}	8045																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	2243- 2299																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁶⁵	3904- 3954																			
Employment ⁶⁶	8000																			
Existing public transport services	Mainline rail station plus regular bus services within Ely and to Cambridge, Chatteris, Newmarket and surrounding villages																			
PM/ELY/2	159	Supporting text to Ely Vision	'...The Ely Masterplan can be viewed at www.eastcambs.gov.uk . The vision and policies in the Ely Town Vision have also been informed by the Ely Environmental Capacity Study (as referred to in paragraph 6.2.4). This Study provides a detailed assessment of the landscape character of Ely and its environs, including an analysis of the distant and near views of Ely Cathedral. The Study remains an important part of the evidence base informing the Local Plan and planning applications, and is due to be updated in 2014.'	To highlight this key study.	No	English Heritage / ECDC														
PM/ELY/3	159	Ely vision statement	'Ely will develop into a special 21 st century cathedral city and Cambridgeshire Market Town that respects the national and international importance of its historic environment , with a thriving city centre and great quality of life for its residents. Development will respect the city's distinctiveness, which includes the historic core of the city, the green rural spaces in and around the city, the river and fen setting, the views of the cathedral, the character and compactness of the city and the strong local cultural and community network.'	To reflect Ely's distinctiveness.	No	English Heritage														
PM/ELY/4	159	Ely vision statement	' A new bypass Major improvements to the A142 between Angel Drove and Stuntney Causeway alongside other transport solutions will help to reduce congestion in the station area...'	To reflect the fact that a decision on the planning application for a bypass has not yet been made.	No	ECDC/ English Heritage														
PM/ELY/5	160	Ely Strategic Objectives - 1	'...residents and visitors alike, creatively using and supporting its heritage assets.'	To provide better protection for Ely's heritage.	<u>Yes</u>	English Heritage														
PM/ELY/6	160	Ely Strategic Objectives - 2	' Avoid the loss of Protect and enhance Ely's distinctiveness, with all new development responding positively to the unique character of this historic city, and respecting the setting and views of Ely cathedral (with regard to the Ely Environmental Capacity Study and subsequent updates).'	To provide better protection for Ely's heritage and highlight this key study.	No	English Heritage / ECDC														
PM/ELY/7	160	Ely Strategic Objectives - 5	'Enable easy access to key destinations with improved walking and cycling routes and public transport services, including a new transport interchange at the railway station and southern bypass major improvements to the A142 between Angel Drove and Stuntney Causeway to reduce congestion.'	To reflect the fact that a decision on the planning application for a bypass has not yet been made.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC/ English Heritage														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change																																			
PM/ELY/8	160	Ely Strategic Objectives - 6	'...e.g. at North Ely, and the Station Gateway area and Octagon Business Park '	To capture an additional key area of employment provision in Ely.	No	Savills (on behalf of Healy Investments)																																			
PM/ELY/9	160	Ely Strategic Objectives – 8	'Protect and enhance the rural setting of Ely, and create a strategic network of greenspaces on the eastern edge of the city, with improved accessibility and biodiversity, and including an extension to Ely Country Park, which improves accessibility and has regard to the enhancement of biodiversity and geodiversity of designated sites which are of national/international significance. '	To afford additional protection to designated sites of national/international significance.	Yes	ECDC/Natural England																																			
PM/ELY/10	161	Housing and employment strategy	[fourth paragraph] 'It is estimated that a total of 3,904 4,049 dwellings could be provided in Ely to between 2011 and 2031..... '	To update with results from the housing trajectory 2014.	No	ECDC																																			
PM/ELY/11	161	Housing and employment strategy	[table of housing supply] <i>Estimated housing supply in Ely 2012-2011 – 31 (source: Housing Supply Paper March 2014)</i> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site- Housing sources</th> <th>Number of dwellings</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Completions 2011-13</td> <td>95</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outstanding commitments at 31.3.13</td> <td>174 145</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Large potential sites</td> <td>84 62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small windfall sites</td> <td>85 68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Allocations</td> <td>3,564 3,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>3,904 4,049</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site- Housing sources	Number of dwellings	Completions 2011-13	95	Outstanding commitments at 31.3.13	174 145	Large potential sites	84 62	Small windfall sites	85 68	Allocations	3,564 3,679	TOTAL	3,904 4,049	To update with results from the housing trajectory 2014.	No	ECDC																					
Site- Housing sources	Number of dwellings																																								
Completions 2011-13	95																																								
Outstanding commitments at 31.3.13	174 145																																								
Large potential sites	84 62																																								
Small windfall sites	85 68																																								
Allocations	3,564 3,679																																								
TOTAL	3,904 4,049																																								
PM/ELY/12	162	Housing and employment strategy	[table of employment supply] <i>Recommended jobs targets for strategic sites</i> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site</th> <th>Site area and recommended mix of uses</th> <th>Potential number of jobs</th> <th>Jobs Target</th> <th>Strategic policy</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Lancaster Way</td> <td>40.4ha: 75% B8, 25% B2</td> <td>2242</td> <td>1973</td> <td>ELY 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>North Ely</td> <td>B1, B2 and D1 B8 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work minimum of 2.8ha</td> <td>1511</td> <td>1300 - 1500</td> <td>ELY 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Station Gateway</td> <td>B1 and B2 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work broadly equivalent to 3.8ha</td> <td>899</td> <td>794 Minimum of 800</td> <td>ELY 7 and ELY 8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Octagon Business Park</td> <td>B1, and B2 and B8 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work 1.92ha</td> <td>483</td> <td>425 417</td> <td>ELY 9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ely Road and Rail Distribution Centre</td> <td>12ha: 90% B8, 10% B2</td> <td>533</td> <td>469</td> <td>ELY 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td>5668</td> <td>4563 5,059</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site	Site area and recommended mix of uses	Potential number of jobs	Jobs Target	Strategic policy	Lancaster Way	40.4ha: 75% B8, 25% B2	2242	1973	ELY 11	North Ely	B1, B2 and D1 B8 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work minimum of 2.8ha	1511	1300 - 1500	ELY 1	Station Gateway	B1 and B2 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work broadly equivalent to 3.8ha	899	794 Minimum of 800	ELY 7 and ELY 8	Octagon Business Park	B1, and B2 and B8 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work 1.92ha	483	425 417	ELY 9	Ely Road and Rail Distribution Centre	12ha: 90% B8, 10% B2	533	469	ELY 12	TOTAL		5668	4563 5,059		To update figures following Masterplanning work on North Ely and the Station Gateway, and an application on the Octagon Business Park.	No	ECDC
Site	Site area and recommended mix of uses	Potential number of jobs	Jobs Target	Strategic policy																																					
Lancaster Way	40.4ha: 75% B8, 25% B2	2242	1973	ELY 11																																					
North Ely	B1, B2 and D1 B8 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work minimum of 2.8ha	1511	1300 - 1500	ELY 1																																					
Station Gateway	B1 and B2 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work broadly equivalent to 3.8ha	899	794 Minimum of 800	ELY 7 and ELY 8																																					
Octagon Business Park	B1, and B2 and B8 – area to be confirmed through Masterplan work 1.92ha	483	425 417	ELY 9																																					
Ely Road and Rail Distribution Centre	12ha: 90% B8, 10% B2	533	469	ELY 12																																					
TOTAL		5668	4563 5,059																																						
PM/ELY/13		Housing and employment strategy	[employment section, 5 th paragraph] 'In total, these sites could deliver around 4,500 5,000 jobs to 2031.....'	As above.	No	ECDC																																			
PM/ELY/14	162-	Supporting	[third paragraph]	To provide clarification on	No	Smiths Gore																																			

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
	163	text to Policy ELY 1	<p>'The site is divided into two distinct parcels of land, one owned by the Church Commissioners and the other by a consortium of landowners in the ownership of two main landowners and covers....'</p> <p>[3. Locating key facilities close to housing to maximise walking and cycling opportunities] 'A new mixed use area in the centre of the development Two local centres are proposed. The larger centre at the Lynn Road junction will provide...Two additional A smaller local centres should be delivered at in the Cam Drive area the sou eastern corner of the development - to junction. This will provide.....'</p> <p>[4. Providing a range of homes and community facilities for all ages] '.....In addition, developers should explore the potential to provide additional post-16 education facilities within the development.'</p> <p>[5. Reinforcing the special quality and character of Ely through high quality design] '...including the use of different character areas, green infrastructure, landmark buildings, locally distinctive features or buildings, and incorporation of....'</p> <p>[6. Offering a range of jobs] '...Part of this provision will be facilitated through an extension of approximately 2.8 hectares to the Chettisham Business Park for B1, B2 or B8 development. Business units should also be included in the local centres providing potentially around 3000m2 of The exact size and mix of employment uses will be determined through further masterplanning work but could include high quality offices and business incubation/start-up space and live/work units.....'</p> <p>[Delivery section - second and third paragraphs] 'The landowners in North Ely have been working with the Council and other stakeholders on the production of a Strategic Masterplan for the whole development area, to ensure that development takes place in an integrated and co-ordinated fashion. The Strategic Masterplan will establish The District Council has produced a North Ely Supplementary Planning Document (to be adopted in 2014) which sets out the broad location of land uses, roads, services, open space and landscaping, and examine principles such as access and movement, built form and phasing. The SPD has been informed by previous work, including the North Ely Development Framework (adopted as Council policy in 2011) and the Joint Strategic Masterplan prepared by the landowners in 2013. The SPD provides further detail on how the site will deliver the key aims of Policy ELY 1.'</p> <p>The Strategic Masterplan will be incorporated into a revised version of the North Ely Development Framework (NEDF). The Council will publish the revised version for consultation in May 2013 and intends to adopt the NEDF as a Supplementary Planning Document. The NEDF will demonstrate how the site will deliver the key aims of Policy ELY 1.'</p>	<p>ownership.</p> <p>To provide update on infrastructure requirements.</p> <p>To provide update on infrastructure requirements.</p> <p>To improve terminology used (landmark buildings).</p> <p>To provide an update on employment requirements.</p> <p>To provide an update on the SPD/Masterplanning work.</p>		<p>(on behalf of Church Commissioners)</p> <p>English Heritage</p> <p>ECDC</p>
PM/ELY/15	165	Policy ELY 1	<p>[first paragraph, second bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> '2 Primary schools with pre-school facilities' 	To reflect the County Council's updated	No	Cambs County Council

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
				infrastructure requirements.		
PM/ELY/16	165	Policy ELY 1	[first paragraph, third bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Sufficient employment uses to deliver approximately 1,300 – 1,500 jobs. This should include a minimum of 2.8 hectares of employment land for B1/B2/B8 uses which incorporates including an extension to Chettisham Business Park.' 	To reflect the draft North Ely Masterplan.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/17	165	Policy ELY 1	[first paragraph] [4 th bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Neighbourhood Centre, providing retail and community uses [5 th bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Two small local centres, providing top-up shopping retail and community facilities. [third paragraph, 7 th bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Provide a 'heart' for the development in the form of a mixed-use area focused on the interface between Highflyer Avenue and Lynn Road, comprising sufficient convenience shopping facilities to meet the day-to-day needs of North Ely residents and a range of other community/employment uses which create vitality and generate footfall. Smaller local centres Another local centre with corner shop type 'top-up' facilities should be provided in the Cam Drive area and the south-eastern corner of the site near the entry into the new Country Park. The two local centres should provide up to 1000m2 of convenience and up to 1000m2 of comparison net retail floorspace.' 	To update the latest position in the development of Strategic Masterplan for the site by the developers and the District Council.	No	Smiths Gore (on behalf of the Church Commissioners) ECDC
PM/ELY/18	165	Policy ELY 1	[second paragraph] '... A Strategic Masterplan for the whole site is important to deliver exemplary development as well as being needed to provide context	To highlight that the Masterplanning process will help deliver exemplary development.	No	Endurance Estates
PM/ELY/19	165	Policy ELY 1	[third paragraph, 6 th bullet] [first sub-bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Comply with Take account of design guidance set out in the North Ely Development Framework...' [second sub-bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Comply with Take account of approved Design Codes...' [third sub-bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Adopt a varied urban design/architectural....e.g. through the creation of....landmark buildings, locally distinctive features or buildings, open spaces....' [sixth sub-bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> '.....Demonstrate that all homes are within a 5 minute walk of a local/district centre.' 	To correct drafting errors. To improve terminology used (landmark buildings). To update the latest position on local centres as set out in the draft North Ely SPD.	No	English Heritage / ECDC
PM/ELY/20	166	Policy ELY 1	[third paragraph] [8 th bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Provide 2 new primary schools with pre-school facilities through Section 106 	To reflect the County Council's updated infrastructure	No	Cambs County Council

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			<p>agreements. One in the Cam Drive area and one within the central mixed-use area. ‘</p> <p>[9th bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘Explore the implications of the development for the provision of post-16 education.’ 	requirements.		
PM/ELY/21	166	Policy ELY 1	<p>[third paragraph, 11th bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘Undertake a project level Habitats Regulation Assessment screening. Where this identifies a likely significant effect, applicants will be required to Submit sufficient information, in consultation with Natural England, for a project level Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken by the District Council under the Habitats Regulation Assessment process to ensure there will be no adverse effect on European sites impacts on biodiversity and geological sites of importance as referred to in Policy ENV 7.’ 	New advice from Natural England	Yes	ECDC/Natural England
PM/ELY/22	166	Policy ELY 1	<p>[third paragraph, insert new bullet point after 11th bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘Submit sufficient information, in consultation with Natural England, to explore the implications of development upon designated sites at national level and to address in particular the impact of additional visitor numbers within the Country Park and any extension, and to propose possible mitigation measures where appropriate to ensure there are no adverse impacts’. 	To afford additional protection to designated sites of national significance	Yes	ECDC/Natural England
PM/ELY/23	166	Policy ELY 1	<p>[third paragraph, 13th bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘.....Where the feasibility assessment demonstrates that on-site renewable energy provision is both technically feasible and financially viable, is deliverable, this will be required as part of the development.’ 	To clarify that technical feasibility and financial viability will be taken into account.	No	Endurance Estates
PM/ELY/24	166	Policy ELY 1	<p>[final bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘Comply with Take account of the North Ely Development Framework SPD...’ 	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/25	168	Supporting text to Policy ELY 2	<p>[third paragraph]</p> <p>‘The majority of the site is owned by the District Council although Sessions House is currently owned by Ely City Council the Government and the Job Centre site is privately owned.’</p> <p>[Footnote 68]</p> <p>‘Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government HM Courts Service’</p>	To provide an update on land ownership	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/26	170	Supporting text to Policy ELY 3	<p>[first paragraph]</p> <p>‘.....known locally as ‘Paradise Field’ which currently provides a football and cricket facilities.....’</p>	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/27	172	Supporting text to Policy ELY 5	<p>[first paragraph]</p> <p>‘.....However, this Plan covers a 20 year period up to 2031, and it is possible that one or both of these units may wish to move over this period, in order to realise land values and/or to - potentially to provide larger facilities.....’</p>	To remove inappropriate reference to ‘realising land values.’	No	David Owen
PM/ELY/28	173	Supporting text to Policy ELY 7	<p>[second paragraph]</p> <p>‘.....isolates the station and makes the area uninviting appear dangerous to for cyclists and uninviting to pedestrians.’</p>	To provide a better description of the area.	No	Ely Cycle Campaign
PM/ELY/29	173	Supporting text to Policy ELY 7	<p>[third paragraph]</p> <p>‘.....The appearance of the Station Gateway could be improved by the redevelopment of selected sites, and significant improvements could be made to the environment with the</p>	To reflect the fact that a decision on the planning application for a bypass	Yes	ECDC/ English Heritage

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			provision of a bypass major improvements to the A142 between Angel Drove and Stuntney Causeway. Details of the proposed bypass are provided at the end of this Vision.	has not yet been made.		
PM/ELY/30	173	Supporting text to Policy ELY 7	[fourth paragraph] '.....In addition, the proposed bypass major improvements to the A142 between Angel Drove and Stuntney Causeway will remove heavy traffic from Angel Drove and Station Road and reduce congestion. This will address existing severance issues by allowing greater pedestrian and cycle access to the city centre and river.'	To reflect the fact that a decision on the planning application for a bypass has not yet been made.	Yes	ECDC/ English Heritage
PM/ELY/31	173	Supporting text to Policy ELY 7	[fifth paragraph] '.....Development schemes will be expected to accord with take account of the SPD...'	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/32	174	Policy ELY 7	[first paragraph - Vision] '.....redeveloped with high quality offices and some apartments/ houses framing the views of the cathedral.....'	To reflect that not all dwellings in this area will be flats; typographical error	No	English Heritage
PM/ELY/33	174	Policy ELY 7	[second paragraph] [first bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Approximately 32,700m2 of employment floorspace (B1 and B2 uses) on an area broadly equivalent to 3.8 hectares (providing a minimum of 800 jobs) (with floorspace for B1 and B2 uses to be determined through production of a Masterplan) [third bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Small retail units (up to approximately 1,078 m2) linked to the redevelopment of the railway station interchange.' [fourth bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Approximately 400-630 residential units.' 	To reflect recent work carried out on the disposition of land uses as part of the work for the Station Gateway SPD	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/34	174	Policy ELY 7	[third paragraph, 1 st bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comply with Take account of guidance set out in the Ely Station Gateway SPD.' 	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/35	174	Policy ELY 7	[third paragraph, 7 th bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Support the provision of a network of new pedestrian and cycle routes to the station, Angel Drove and the riverside area to link with new development.....' 	To include reference to an important route.	No	Ely Cycle Campaign
PM/ELY/36	174	Policy ELY 7	[third paragraph, new bullet at end] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Demonstrate that the development is consistent with the objectives of the Anglian River Basin Management Plan' 	To include important reference to a strategic document.	No	Environment Agency
PM/ELY/37	175	Policy ELY 8	[Area 1] '.....The relocation of the Tesco supermarket would allow the area to be comprehensively redeveloped. The Octagon Business Park off Angel Drove (Policy ELY 9) may offer potential for its relocation – see Policy ELY 9 below. The wider site should be developed for high quality offices and some apartments/ houses , and possibly an element of leisure small-scale retail units associated with the station. The built-up area of the site should broadly comprise the following proportion of floorspace – residential 50%, offices 47%, retail 3%.'	To clarify that Tescos are currently looking at the Octagon Business Park as a potential site for relocation. To provide further detail on the proposed land use mix on the site.	No	Savills (on behalf of Healy Investments)/ ECDC / English Heritage

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			<p>[Area 2] ‘Sites in this area should be comprehensively developed for a mix of employment uses, including offices – and apartments/houses. The built-up area of the site should broadly comprise the following proportion of floorspace – offices 33%, residential 67%....’</p> <p>[Area 3] ‘This area should be developed for a mix of uses including high quality residential development offering views of the riverside, with potentially some office or hotel development near Station Road and the railway railway line. The built-up area of the site should broadly comprise the following proportion of floorspace – residential 61%, offices or hotel 39%.’.....’</p>	To reflect that not all dwellings in this area will be flats.		
PM/ELY/38	175	Supporting text to Policy ELY 9	<p>[first and second paragraphs] ‘This site comprises approximately 16ha of uncultivated and vacant fenland on the edge of the city at the southern end of Angel Drove (the A142). The railway line forms the south-eastern boundary of the site, with Angel Drove on its north-western edge. The established Cambridgeshire Business Park lies to the north-east with open land to the south-west. The site is suitable for employment uses (B1, and B2 and B8), There may also be potential for the provision of and also for retail uses, where it is can be demonstrated through a Retail Impact Assessment that there would be no adverse impact on Ely city centre, and that other criteria in Policy COM 1 are satisfied. This site may offers potential to: relocate the Tesco supermarket away from the Station Gateway area; and also an opportunity to provide comparison retail floorspace for bulky goods not able to be accommodated in the city centre (but which will reduce leakage of comparison goods outside of the district); and to accommodate service uses such as a hotel (C1) and a public house (A4). Planning permission has been approved in principle for a scheme accommodating these uses (planning reference 13/00122/ESF), subject to agreement of conditions and an appropriate Section 106 agreement. The scheme involves the provision of approximately 1.92 hectares of B1/B2/B8 development, 13.44 hectares of retail development and 0.64 hectares for provision of a hotel and pub.</p> <p>The site is in a highly visible location, located at a key gateway into Ely. It will be important to ensure that the implementation of the approved scheme (or any alternative scheme) delivers design, layout, massing and landscaping is of high quality and provides an attractive setting and entrance to the city.....’</p>	To reflect the recent planning permission in principle for development on the site.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/39	176	Policy ELY 9	<p>[first paragraph] ‘The site will be redeveloped for employment uses (B1, and B2 and B8), and an element of retail development (e.g. for bulky retail goods or a supermarket) and other service uses (including C1 and A4). Any Proposals incorporating incorporating retail development will need to accord with Policy COM 1 and demonstrate through a Retail Impact Assessment that there will be no adverse impact on Ely city centre.....’</p>	To reflect the recent planning permission in principle for development on the site.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/40	176	Policy ELY 9	<p>[third paragraph] ‘.....The development of the site will need to be coordinated with the delivery of the proposed bypass major improvements to the A142 between Angel Drove and Stuntney Causeway.’</p>	To reflect the fact that a decision on the planning application for a bypass has not yet been made.	No	Cambs County Council / English Heritage

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/ELY/41	176	Supporting text to Policy ELY 10	'...The site extends to approximately & 7 hectares and is within the ownership of East Cambridgeshire District Council.'	To correct an error in the area.	No	Carter Jonas (for Turnstone Estates)
PM/ELY/42	177	Supporting text to Policy ELY 10	[fifth paragraph] '..... The A10 barrier can be mitigated by the provision of a well-designed underpass. Any application for development will need to demonstrate how the site could be safely accessed on foot and by cycle from the built-up area of Ely, and mitigates the A10 barrier..... '	To reflect the County Council's concerns about potential adverse impacts upon the highway network.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/ELY/43	177	Policy ELY 10	'Approximately & 7 hectares of land is allocated....'	To correct an error in the area.	No	Carter Jonas (for Turnstone Estates)
PM/ELY/44	177	Policy ELY 10	[second paragraph] '.....to encourage users to travel by foot, cycle and public transport. Any application for development will need to demonstrate how the site could be safely accessed on foot and by cycle from the built-up area of Ely, and mitigates the A10 barrier. '	To reflect the County Council's concerns about potential adverse impacts upon the highway network.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/ELY/45	178	Supporting text to Policy ELY 11	[first paragraph] '.....It is a successful location for employment, and provides industrial, warehousing, office, and and research and development uses on the site of the former RAF Witchford airbase.'	To provide a better description of the range of employment uses on the site.	No	PlanSurv (for Grovemere Property)
PM/ELY/46	178	Policy ELY 11	'...The area will need to be developed in accordance with the current or any subsequent planning permissions.'	To correctly reflect that the current planning permission could technically be superseded by subsequent applications.	No	PlanSurv (for Grovemere Property)
PM/ELY/47	179	Supporting text to Policy ELY 12	[third paragraph] '....This area has potential to be redeveloped for a mix of B1, B2 and B8 uses, and to have capacity to provide an estimated additional 469 jobs. However, it should be noted that the Road and Rail Distribution Centre, plus some adjoining land, is identified in the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Waste Plan as a Transport Safeguarding Area (reference T2F). In this area there is a presumption against any development that could prejudice the existing or potential use of the protected transport zone (as set out in Waste Policy CS23). Proposals will therefore need to have regard to this, and consultation will need to take place with the County Council as Waste Planning Authority. '	To include reference to the TSA and the requirement to ensure that the employment uses do not jeopardise the operations of the Road and Rail Centre.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/ELY/48	179	Policy ELY 12	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Have regard to the Transport Safeguarding Area designation, and avoid prejudicing the operations of the Rail and Road Centre (as set out in the adopted Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Waste Plan).' 	To include reference to the TSA and the requirement to ensure that the employment uses do not jeopardise the operations of the Road and Rail Centre.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/ELY/49	181	Other infrastructure section	[transport section, fourth paragraph] '.....There is potential to increase these low levels of cycling, as proposed in the Ely Cycle Strategy (2013), produced by the Ely Cycle Campaign. '	To refer to the Ely Cycle Strategy, which has now been endorsed by Cambs	No	Ely Cycle Campaign

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
				County Council.		
PM/ELY/50	182	Other infrastructure section	[Ely railway crossing section, fourth paragraph] '.....This would reduce journey times and create a road network which supports the long-term expansion of Ely. A planning application for a bypass has subsequently been submitted by the County Council and is pending as at April 2014.'	To reflect the latest position with the County Council.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/51	182-183	Other infrastructure section	[table of infrastructure needs] [4 th row] ' 2 New pre-school facilities and nurseries – North Ely – County Council/ developers – TBC....' [5 th row] ' New Enhanced post-16 facilities – North Ely TBC – County Council – TBC.....' [additional row] ' Early years facilities – TBC – Private providers – TBC – NK '	To provide update on requirements now identified by the County Council.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/ELY/52	184	Map 8.14	[delete the part of the Policy ELY 10 leisure allocation which lies to the south of A10] See attached map at end of the document.	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC Carter Jonas (for Turnstone Estates) Nigel McCurdy
PM/ELY/53	184	Map 8.14	[development envelope boundary incorrectly shown on the Map, in the vicinity of allocation ELY 7/8 – drafting error] See attached map at the end of the document.	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC
PM/ELY/54	184	Map 8.14	[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached maps at the end of the document.	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

Fordham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/FRD/1	186	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fordham</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁷³</td> <td>2770- 2712</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{75 73}</td> <td>1150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>96 97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁷⁴</td> <td>119- 139</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁷⁵</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A Monday-Saturday regular service to Ely, plus a Monday –Friday regular service to Newmarket and Cambridge (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>73 Population for Fordham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Fordham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>74 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Fordham		Population ⁷³	2770- 2712	Dwellings ^{75 73}	1150	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	96 97	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁷⁴	119- 139	Employment ⁷⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A Monday-Saturday regular service to Ely, plus a Monday –Friday regular service to Newmarket and Cambridge (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Fordham																				
Population ⁷³	2770- 2712																			
Dwellings ^{75 73}	1150																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	96 97																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁷⁴	119- 139																			
Employment ⁷⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A Monday-Saturday regular service to Ely, plus a Monday –Friday regular service to Newmarket and Cambridge (as at 2012)																			
PM/FRD/2	187	Housing section	<p>[first paragraph] 'Fordham is likely to continue to grow, with new housing being built on suitable 'infill' sites within the village. Additionally, three two new housing allocation sites are proposed off Mildenhall Road.'</p>	To comply with advice in paragraph 182 of the NPPF.	Yes	ECDC														
PM/FRD/3	189	Policy FRD 3 and supporting text	[delete entire supporting text and policy]	To comply with advice in paragraph 182 of the NPPF.	Yes	ECDC														
PM/FRD/4	190	Employment section	<p>[third paragraph, penultimate sentence] '...improvements for bus users and ad cyclists....'</p>	Drafting error.	No	ECDC														
PM/FRD/5	191-196	Policies FRD 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 and supporting text	<p>[re-numbering of the employment allocation policies throughout]</p> <p>Policy FRD 4 3 Policy FRD 5 4 Policy FRD 6 5 Policy FRD 7 6 Policy FRD 8 7</p>	Consequential re-numbering in relation to above.	No	ECDC														
PM/FRD/6	192	Supporting text to Policy FRD 4 (new – north of Snailwell Road)	<p>[third paragraph, final sentence] '...A buffer zone and appropriate appropriate landscaping....'</p>	Drafting error.	No	ECDC														
PM/FRD/7	193	Policy FRD 4 (new – north of Snailwell Road)	<p>[new bullet]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Undertake a project level Habitats Regulation Assessment screening. Where this identifies a likely significant effect, applicants will be required to submit sufficient information for a project level Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken by the 	To include reference to the potential need for a project level Appropriate	Yes	Natural England														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			District Council under the Habitats Regulation Assessment process to ensure there will be no adverse effect on European sites.'	Assessment.		
PM/FRD/8	194	Policy FRD 5 (new – HRF labs)	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Undertake a project level Habitats Regulation Assessment screening. Where this identifies a likely significant effect, applicants will be required to submit sufficient information for a project level Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken by the District Council under the Habitats Regulation Assessment process to ensure there will be no adverse effect on European sites.' 	To include reference to the potential need for a project level Appropriate Assessment.	<u>Yes</u>	Natural England
PM/FRD/9	194	Policy FRD 5 (new – HRF labs)	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Take account of the location of the National Grid's gas transmission pipeline in the design of the development and have met the requirements of National Grid and the Health & Safety Executive relating to this issue.' 	To reflect the need to take account of the National Grid pipeline.	No	Amec (on behalf of National Grid)
PM/FRD/10	195	Policy FRD 6 (new – north of Snailwell Road)	[new bullet] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Take account of the location of the National Grid's gas transmission pipeline in the design of the development and have met the requirements of National Grid and the Health & Safety Executive relating to this issue.' 	To reflect the need to take account of the National Grid pipeline.	No	Amec (on behalf of National Grid)
PM/FRD/11	197	Infrastructure section	[first paragraph after table – last sentence] <p>'.....The deliverability of expansion options will need to be fully investigated.'</p>	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC
PM/FRD/12	198	Map 8.16	[amend development boundary to include existing access to Scotsdales site within proposed development envelope] <p>See attached map at end of the document.</p>	To correct drafting error.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of Scotsdales)
PM/FRD/13	198	Map 8.16	[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where they read as part of the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

Haddenham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/HAD/1	200	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Haddenham</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁷⁶</td> <td>3050 3344</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{76, 76}</td> <td>1450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>118</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁷⁷</td> <td>120 103</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁷⁸</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A Monday to Saturday regular service to Ely, plus the X8 service to Cambridge stops in Haddenham once in the morning and once in the evening (Monday-Friday)</td> </tr> </table> <p>76 Population for Haddenham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Haddenham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>77 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Haddenham		Population ⁷⁶	3050 3344	Dwellings ^{76, 76}	1450	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	118	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁷⁷	120 103	Employment ⁷⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A Monday to Saturday regular service to Ely, plus the X8 service to Cambridge stops in Haddenham once in the morning and once in the evening (Monday-Friday)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Haddenham																				
Population ⁷⁶	3050 3344																			
Dwellings ^{76, 76}	1450																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	118																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁷⁷	120 103																			
Employment ⁷⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A Monday to Saturday regular service to Ely, plus the X8 service to Cambridge stops in Haddenham once in the morning and once in the evening (Monday-Friday)																			
PM/HAD/2	202	Supporting text to Policy HAD 3	'The proposed employment allocation, located to the south of the existing at Haddenham Business Park, will provide for a mix of uses.....'	To provide clarity on the location.	No	PlanSurv (on behalf of Grovemere Property Ltd)														
PM/HAD/3	203	Infrastructure table	'Need for more school places – Work with the County Council to ensure that the shortage of space and future demand for additional capacity is fully considered and addressed within the next capital expenditure programme.'	To highlight requirement identified by the County Council.	No	Cambs County Council														
PM/HAD/4	205	Map 8.18	<p>[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Isleham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/ISL/1	206	Key statistics	[revised table/footnotes] <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Isleham</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ⁷⁹</td> <td>2400- 2378</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{76 79}</td> <td>1,020</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>97- 101</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁸⁰</td> <td>118- 87</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁸¹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A Tuesday and Saturday service to Fordham and Newmarket and a Monday to Friday service to Snailwell and Newmarket. Both of which are operated once a day (as at October 2012).</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>79 Population for Isleham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Isleham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>80 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate ...</p>	Isleham		Population ⁷⁹	2400- 2378	Dwellings ^{76 79}	1,020	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	97- 101	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁸⁰	118- 87	Employment ⁸¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A Tuesday and Saturday service to Fordham and Newmarket and a Monday to Friday service to Snailwell and Newmarket. Both of which are operated once a day (as at October 2012).	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row. To correct other grammatical errors.	No	ECDC
Isleham																				
Population ⁷⁹	2400- 2378																			
Dwellings ^{76 79}	1,020																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	97- 101																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁸⁰	118- 87																			
Employment ⁸¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A Tuesday and Saturday service to Fordham and Newmarket and a Monday to Friday service to Snailwell and Newmarket. Both of which are operated once a day (as at October 2012).																			
PM/ISL/2	208	Policy ISL 2	[third bullet] 'Have particular regard to site layout, building height and soft landscaping to minimise the visual impact of development from Fordham Road and to minimise the amenity impact on adjoining residential properties '.	To reflect the need to take account of residential amenity.	No	Mrs Rachel & Mr John Rees														
PM/ISL/3	208	Policy ISL 4	[fifth bullet] 'Extend the existing footway on the west of Pound Lane between Church Street and 15 Pound Lane, to the south-east part corner of the site.'	To clarify the location of the extended footpath.	No	David Alberry-King														
PM/ISL/4	213	Map 8.19	[ISL4 to be renamed as ISL5] [ISL5 to be renamed as ISL4] See attached map at end of the document.	To correct drafting error.	No	David Alberry-King														
PM/ISL/5	213	Map 8.19	[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached map at the end of the document.	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Kennett

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/KEN/1	214	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Kennett</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Population</i> ⁸²</td> <td>370- 353</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Dwellings</i> ^{84 82}</td> <td>170</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</i></td> <td>19</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31</i> ⁸³</td> <td>3-1</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Employment</i> ⁸⁴</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Existing public transport services</i></td> <td>Mainline railway station with services to Cambridge-Ipswich. Regular bus service, 6 days a week to Newmarket –Mildenhall.</td> </tr> </table> <p>82 Population for Kennett parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Kennett parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>83 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012-March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p> <p>84 To be updated with forthcoming Census results</p>	Kennett		<i>Population</i> ⁸²	370- 353	<i>Dwellings</i> ^{84 82}	170	<i>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</i>	19	<i>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31</i> ⁸³	3-1	<i>Employment</i> ⁸⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	<i>Existing public transport services</i>	Mainline railway station with services to Cambridge-Ipswich. Regular bus service, 6 days a week to Newmarket –Mildenhall.	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To include footnote 84 which was omitted in error.</p>	No	ECDC
Kennett																				
<i>Population</i> ⁸²	370- 353																			
<i>Dwellings</i> ^{84 82}	170																			
<i>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</i>	19																			
<i>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31</i> ⁸³	3-1																			
<i>Employment</i> ⁸⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
<i>Existing public transport services</i>	Mainline railway station with services to Cambridge-Ipswich. Regular bus service, 6 days a week to Newmarket –Mildenhall.																			
PM/KEN/2	217	Map 8.20	<p>[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Kirtling and Upend

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/KIR/1	218	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Kirtling and Upend</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁸⁵</td> <td>370 327</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{#7 85}</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁸⁶</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁸⁷</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>There is a bus service that runs through Kirtling and Upend (on request) four days a week to Newmarket</td> </tr> </table> <p>85 Population for Kirtling parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Kirtling parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>86 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p> <p>87 To be updated with forthcoming Census results</p>	Kirtling and Upend		Population ⁸⁵	370 327	Dwellings ^{#7 85}	160	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	10	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁸⁶	1	Employment ⁸⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	There is a bus service that runs through Kirtling and Upend (on request) four days a week to Newmarket	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To include footnote 87 which was omitted in error.</p>	No	ECDC
Kirtling and Upend																				
Population ⁸⁵	370 327																			
Dwellings ^{#7 85}	160																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	10																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁸⁶	1																			
Employment ⁸⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	There is a bus service that runs through Kirtling and Upend (on request) four days a week to Newmarket																			
PM/KIR/2	220	Housing section	<p>'Kirtling and Upend are likely to continue to grow at a slow rate with new housing being mainly built on suitable 'infill' sites within the village. No new housing allocations are proposed on the edge of Kirtling or Upend. However, the Parish Council would like the opportunity to explore options in the future, and welcomes the indication in the Local Development Scheme that a review of the Local Plan will commence within 2 years. A 'development envelope' has been drawn around both villages to define the built-up areas of the villages where infill development may be permitted. Due to the dispersed nature of both settlements Kirtling village particularly is characterised by scattered groups of dwellings in attractive countryside, and there are separate sections of the development envelope.....'</p>	To reflect the Parish Council's aspirations.	No	Kirtling Parish Council														
PM/KIR/3	220	Employment section	<p>'There are a small number of current businesses in the village, including the Garage and ATL Agricultural Technology Ltd, and B&B establishments in Kirtling and Fittocks Stud in Upend. The pub in Kirtling is currently closed. However, there is little.....'</p>	To reflect the Parish Council's aspirations.	No	Kirtling Parish Council														
PM/KIR/4	220-221	Infrastructure and community facilities section	<p>[third para.] 'A small shop attached to the pub has in the past been suggested as a location for a village shop in Kirtling. The pub is currently closed. If the pub is re-opened this option may be potentially explored in the future. but with the closure of the pub this is unlikely to be feasible in the near future. However, this The provision of a shop remains an aspiration and is a medium priority for the parish.....'</p>	To reflect the Parish Council's aspirations.	No	Kirtling Parish Council														

Little Downham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/LTD/1	223	Key statistics	[revised table/footnote/footnote] <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Little Downham</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ⁸⁸</td> <td>1860</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{89 88}</td> <td>802</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁸⁹</td> <td>89- 73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ⁹⁰</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A Monday to Saturday service to Ely Saturday (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>89 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Little Downham		Population ⁸⁸	1860	Dwellings ^{89 88}	802	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	137	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁸⁹	89- 73	Employment ⁹⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A Monday to Saturday service to Ely Saturday (as at June 2012)	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Little Downham																				
Population ⁸⁸	1860																			
Dwellings ^{89 88}	802																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	137																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ⁸⁹	89- 73																			
Employment ⁹⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A Monday to Saturday service to Ely Saturday (as at June 2012)																			
PM/LTD/2	224	Supporting text to Policy LTD 1	[para 3] 'A single vehicular access should be provided from Ely Road or Cannon Street, the location of which will be determined as part of the planning application process. A Transport Assessment will be required to demonstrate that safe access can be achieved to the site and that any impact on surrounding roads can be mitigated. There are two potential access points, from Ely Road and Cannon Street (which would require the demolition of an existing building at No. 7 to enable access to be provided). These routes should be explored to determine which option(s) should be used to provide vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access into the site.'	To clarify that the preferred vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access for this site will be determined following the preparation of a Transport Assessment.	No	ECDC														
PM/LTD/3	224	Supporting text to Policy LTD 1	[para. 4] '...It will be important to retain as much of the original hedgeline and roadside trees as possible, and provide additional landscaping along the boundaries of the site.' [para. 5] 'As this site is located to close to existing residential properties on Cannon Street and Ely Road there will be a need to ensure that development is sensitively designed to minimise its impact.'	To correct drafting error.	No	Plansurv Ltd (on behalf of Mr B Cox, G A Hobbs & Sons Ltd, Mr K Garrod, Mr P Saberton, Mr D Grey, Mr P Hall, Mr D Harrison, Mr R Smith, Ms T Cordery and Mr J Murfitt)														
PM/LTD/4	224	Policy LTD 1	[2 nd bullet point] 'Have particular regard to site layout and the scale, building height, design and massing, and soft landscaping to minimise the visual impact of development from Cannon Street, Ely Road and the open countryside, and to minimise amenity impact on adjoining residential properties.'	To correct drafting error and for consistency with wording of other allocation policies.	No	ECDC														
PM/LTD/5	224	Policy LTD 1	[3 rd bullet point] ' Provide a single safe vehicular access on Ely Road (to be located at a distance from the Cannon Street/Ely Road junction) or Cannon Street. Demonstrate through a Transport Assessment that safe vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access can be provided into and within the site'	To clarify that the preferred vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access for this site will be determined	No	ECDC														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			[4th bullet point] 'Provide safe pedestrian/cycle access from Ely Road'	following the preparation of a Transport Assessment.		
PM/LTD/6	225	Infrastructure and community facilities	[fourth row] Improvements to play areas	To correct drafting error.	No	ECDC

Little Thetford

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/LTH/1	228	Key statistics	[revised table/footnotes] <table border="1" data-bbox="510 619 1361 847"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Little Thetford</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Population</i>⁹¹</td> <td>680- 792</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Dwellings</i>^{93- 91}</td> <td>320</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</i></td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31</i>⁹²</td> <td>5 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Employment</i>⁹³</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Existing public transport services</i></td> <td>Regular services to Ely and Cambridge (Monday –Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>91 Population for Little Thetford parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Little Thetford parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>92 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Little Thetford		<i>Population</i> ⁹¹	680- 792	<i>Dwellings</i> ^{93- 91}	320	<i>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</i>	40	<i>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31</i> ⁹²	5 2	<i>Employment</i> ⁹³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	<i>Existing public transport services</i>	Regular services to Ely and Cambridge (Monday –Saturday) (as at June 2012)	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Little Thetford																				
<i>Population</i> ⁹¹	680- 792																			
<i>Dwellings</i> ^{93- 91}	320																			
<i>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</i>	40																			
<i>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31</i> ⁹²	5 2																			
<i>Employment</i> ⁹³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
<i>Existing public transport services</i>	Regular services to Ely and Cambridge (Monday –Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Littleport

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/LIT/1	232	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Littleport</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ⁹⁵</td> <td>7370</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ⁹⁷⁻⁹⁵</td> <td>3117</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>757 803</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁹⁶</td> <td>4447 1367</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated number of jobs</td> <td>1500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current public transport services</td> <td>A Monday to Saturday regular bus service to Ely and Cambridge and a limited service (Thursday only) to Prickwillow and Black Horse Drove. Rail, services to Cambridge Ely King's Lynn and London King's Cross (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>96 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this does not include housing supply on 'broad locations' on the edge of the town. It also is likely to be an under-estimate as it excludes potential supply from rural exception sites.</p>	Littleport		Population ⁹⁵	7370	Dwellings ⁹⁷⁻⁹⁵	3117	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	757 803	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁹⁶	4447 1367	Estimated number of jobs	1500	Current public transport services	A Monday to Saturday regular bus service to Ely and Cambridge and a limited service (Thursday only) to Prickwillow and Black Horse Drove. Rail, services to Cambridge Ely King's Lynn and London King's Cross (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Littleport																				
Population ⁹⁵	7370																			
Dwellings ⁹⁷⁻⁹⁵	3117																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	757 803																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ⁹⁶	4447 1367																			
Estimated number of jobs	1500																			
Current public transport services	A Monday to Saturday regular bus service to Ely and Cambridge and a limited service (Thursday only) to Prickwillow and Black Horse Drove. Rail, services to Cambridge Ely King's Lynn and London King's Cross (as at June 2012)																			
PM/LIT/2	234	Housing	<p>[fourth paragraph]</p> <p>'.....It is estimated there could be capacity for perhaps 897 817 dwellings between 2012 2013 and 2031.....'</p>	To provide updated information from the housing trajectory 2014.	No	ECDC														
PM/LIT/3	234	Housing	<p>[sixth paragraph]</p> <p>'The town has further capacity to expand to the south – but it is considered vital that the identified Local Plan housing allocations come forward first, to support the Vision for the town. Potential 'phase 2' housing areas to the south are shown indicatively in the Littleport Masterplan. These areas are referenced in Policy GROWTH 4 as broad locations where housing could come forward in the later part of the Plan period, and shown indicatively in the diagram in Chapter 3. It should be noted that these are not precise or accurate allocations, and further investigative work on site deliverability and suitability will be required in the future, prior to allocation in a development plan. The next review of the Local Plan will provide an opportunity to undertake further investigative work relating to delivery and site boundaries. Given the significant amount of housing supply in Littleport (from windfall sites and Local Plan allocations) The phase 2 areas are not anticipated to be required until the period beyond 2031. However, this situation will be monitored as part of the annual review process – and a partial or full review of the Local Plan instigated if necessary.'</p>	To reflect proposed changes to housing provision and delivery, as outlined in the Memorandum of Cooperation.	No	ECDC														
PM/LIT/4	234	Housing	<p>[revised housing supply table]</p> <p>'Estimated housing supply in Littleport 2012 2011 -31, from specific sites and windfall supply'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Housing sources</th> <th>Number of dwellings</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Completions 2011-13</td> <td>103</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outstanding planning permissions</td> <td>596 549</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Potential large future sites</td> <td>209 209</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Housing sources	Number of dwellings	Completions 2011-13	103	Outstanding planning permissions	596 549	Potential large future sites	209 209	To provide updated information from the housing trajectory 2014.	No	ECDC						
Housing sources	Number of dwellings																			
Completions 2011-13	103																			
Outstanding planning permissions	596 549																			
Potential large future sites	209 209																			

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change						
			<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Estimated windfall on large small sites</td> <td>92 59</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Housing allocations</td> <td>550</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL ESTIMATED SUPPLY</td> <td>1447 1,470</td> </tr> </table>	Estimated windfall on large small sites	92 59	Housing allocations	550	TOTAL ESTIMATED SUPPLY	1447 1,470			
Estimated windfall on large small sites	92 59											
Housing allocations	550											
TOTAL ESTIMATED SUPPLY	1447 1,470											
PM/LIT/5	234	Supporting text to Policy LIT 1	[second para.] 'The site is identified in the Littleport Masterplan as a potential area for housing and employment development. It is estimated that there is potential; for up to approximately 250 dwellings and approximately up to 7 hectares of employment development subject to demand and economic viability of the overall scheme (as demonstrated through a financial viability assessment). '	To highlight that market demand and a financial viability assessment will need to be taken into account.	No	Cheffins on behalf of Mr David Watson						
PM/LIT/6	234	Supporting text to Policy LIT 1	[third para.] '.....Part of the issue is that whilst there may be potential to provide vehicular access to residential dwellings from Woodfen Road, employment uses will need to be accessed from either the A10 (via a new roundabout) or A10 roundabout (via a new arm). or Wisbech Road. The suitability, cost....'	To reflect updated comments from the Highways Authority officer.	No	Cambs County Council						
PM/LIT/7	235	Policy LIT 1	[1 st and 2 nd bullets] '17 hectares of land is allocated for a mixed use development incorporating: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 7 hectares of employment development (B1/B2/B8 uses) • Up to a Approximately 250 dwellings 	To clarify the Council's position on the mix of uses on the site.	No	Cheffins on behalf of Mr David Watson						
PM/LIT/8	235	Policy LIT 1	[5 th bullet] 'Provide a minimum of 1.6 hectares of public open space on site, including land for provision of a play area This provision should have regard to the need to provide links into the countryside and should form part of the wider green network in Littleport '.	To reflect the importance of encouraging strategic green infrastructure and networks in the Littleport area, given the low levels of provision.	No	Natural England						
PM/LIT/9	235	Policy LIT 1	[7 th bullet] 'Demonstrate through a Transport Assessment that the site can be safely accessed by vehicles. This may involve provision of two separate access points with the housing part only accessed via Woodfen Road, and the employment part accessed either via the A10, or a new roundabout arm or directly off Wisbech Road (to be determined through the....'	To reflect updated comments from the Highways Authority officer.	No	Cambs County Council						
PM/LIT/10	235-236	Policy LIT 1	[page 235, last bullet] Undertake a project level Habitats Regulation Assessment screening. Where this identifies a likely significant effect, applicants will be required to submit sufficient information, in consultation with Natural England, for a project level Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken by the District Council under the Habitats Regulation Assessment process to ensure there will be no adverse effect on European sites impacts on biodiversity and geological sites of importance as referred to in Policy ENV 7.	New advice from Natural England	No	ECDC/Natural England						
PM/LIT/11	237	Policy LIT 2	[5 th bullet] Undertake a project level Habitats Regulation Assessment screening. Where this identifies a likely significant effect, applicants will be required to submit sufficient information, in consultation with Natural England, for a project level Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken by the District Council under the Habitats Regulation Assessment process to ensure there will be no adverse effect on European sites impacts on biodiversity and	New advice from Natural England	No	ECDC/Natural England						

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			geological sites of importance as referred to in Policy ENV 7.			
PM/LIT/12	237	Policy LIT 2	[third bullet] 'Provide a minimum of 2 hectares of public open space on site, including land for provision of a play area This provision should have regard to the need to provide links into the countryside and should form part of the wider green network in Littleport '.	As above.	No	Natural England
PM/LIT/13	245	Title of Policy LIT 6	'Secondary and primary School allocation – land west of Camel Road.'	To reflect updated County Council education requirements.	No	Cambs County Council.
PM/LIT/14	244-245	Supporting text to Policy LIT 6	[first para.] 'Cambridgeshire County Council has identified a need for a new secondary school in Littleport to provide additional secondary places within the Ely/Littleport area. The expectation is that the secondary school will be opened in September 2016. An Area Special School will also be required as part of this site, to cater for children age 2 to 19 years. The County Council has also identified a need for an additional primary school (with a pre-school facility) in Littleport, which is expected to be open by 2019/20....' [second para.] 'Land west of Camel Road is currently occupied by agricultural land, Littleport Leisure Centre, playing fields and public open space. The exact location of the primary and secondary school, within an area of search to the west of Camel Road will need to be the subject of further investigation work by the County Council. As part of which the following issues will require further consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed primary and secondary schools should be located within close proximity to the existing built framework of Littleport subject to any physical constraints and the need to protect residential amenity. This is to ensure that these educational facilities can be easily accessed by foot and cycle from other parts of Littleport and to reduce the visual impact on the countryside. • The proposed schools and associated vehicular access should be located on a suitable site which limits the potential disruption or loss of the existing playing fields and public open space to the west of Camel Road. This is to ensure the continued public use and enjoyment of the playing fields and open space (owned by Littleport Parish Council and Littleport Leisure Trust) which are important community facilities within Littleport. The County Council may also be expected to off set the loss of any playing fields or open space, and demonstrate that alternative provision is made in accordance with Policy COM 3. ' Technical work undertaken by the County Council indicates that the most sustainable and deliverable option for the location of the school is agricultural land to the south-west of the Leisure Centre building, as identified on the Littleport map. This location should limit potential disruption or loss of the existing playing fields and public open space, and be easily accessed by foot and cycle from the town. Vehicular access will need to be gained from Camel Road, and potentially require a small area of playing fields/open space to be utilised. The County Council will be expected to off-set the loss of any playing fields or open space, and demonstrate that alternative provision is made '	To reflect the County Council's latest technical work on the site.	No	Cambs County Council

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			<p>in accordance with Policy COM 3. ‘</p> <p>[third para.] ‘The precise boundaries of the school site have yet to be determined. The provision of the required schools would normally require approximately 8.5ha of land. However, the County Council should also will need to explore whether it would be practical to share the current Leisure Centre facilities for school purposes. As well as reducing land take, as sharing facilities may also assist their long-term financial viability and security for community use. ‘</p> <p>[fifth para.] ‘The County Council will be expected to demonstrate, via a Transport Assessment, that the site can be safely accessed and that any impact on the highway network can be adequately mitigated. Vehicular access (for cars and buses, plus pedestrians and cyclists) is likely to be will be provided via off-Camel Road, although options may be explored via Wisbech Road...’</p>			
PM/LIT/15	245	Policy LIT 6	<p>[first para] ‘A broad area An area of search to the west of Camel Road has been identified for a new primary (with a pre-school facility), and secondary and area special school and associated playing fields, buildings and car parking (which will require approximately 8.5 hectares of land). This would normally require approximately up to 8.5 hectares of land (but may be less if facilities are shared with the adjoining Leisure Centre).’</p> <p>[second bullet] ‘Demonstrate through a Transport Assessment that safe vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access can be provided into the site, (likely to be via Camel Road) (with the main vehicular access point from Camel Road).’</p>	To reflect the County Council's latest technical work on the site and updated educational requirements.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/LIT/16	243	Infrastructure section	<p>[Table] [5th row] ‘2 new pre-school facilities – NK One on land west of Camel Road – Cambs County Council – TBC One in 2019/20 – One to be facilitated as part of the new primary school on land west of Camel Road (see Policy LIT 6).’</p> <p>[additional row] ‘New Area Special School – Land west of Camel Road – Cambs County Council – 2016 – See Policy LIT 6 below . Included in the County Council’s Capital Programme.’</p>	To reflect the County Council's updated educational requirements.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/LIT/17	246	Map 8.25	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

Lode and Long Meadow

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/LOD/1	247	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Lode and Long Meadow</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁰⁰</td> <td>890 913</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁰</td> <td>390</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>43 14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁰¹</td> <td>12 6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁰²</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular daily bus services to Newmarket , Burwell and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at November 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>100 Population for Lode parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Lode parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>101 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate</p>	Lode and Long Meadow		Population ¹⁰⁰	890 913	Dwellings ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁰	390	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	43 14	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁰¹	12 6	Employment ¹⁰²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular daily bus services to Newmarket , Burwell and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at November 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Lode and Long Meadow																				
Population ¹⁰⁰	890 913																			
Dwellings ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁰	390																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	43 14																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁰¹	12 6																			
Employment ¹⁰²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular daily bus services to Newmarket , Burwell and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at November 2012)																			
PM/LOD/2		Infrastructure and community facilities section	<p>[first row in the table] 'More cycle routes – From Lode to both Quy and Waterbeach, facilitated by creation of the Waterbeach Link.</p> <p>[second row in the table] 'Footpaths – To continue the development and maintenance of the network. Including creation of the Waterbeach Link.'</p>	To highlight an important infrastructure project located in the parish.	No	National Trust														
PM/LOD/3	251	Map 8.27	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Mepal

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/MEP/1	252	Description	[para 3] 'Facilities in the village include a shop and post office, church, chapel , public hall....'	To highlight the full range of facilities in the village.	No	Mepal Parish Council														
PM/MEP/2	252	Key statistics	[revised table/footnotes] <table border="1" data-bbox="517 376 1391 603"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Mepal</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁰³</td> <td>900 982</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰³</td> <td>420</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>122</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁰⁴</td> <td>16 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁰⁵</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular services to Ely and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>103 Population for Mepal parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Mepal parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>104 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Mepal		Population ¹⁰³	900 982	Dwellings ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰³	420	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	122	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁰⁴	16 22	Employment ¹⁰⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular services to Ely and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Mepal																				
Population ¹⁰³	900 982																			
Dwellings ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰³	420																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	122																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁰⁴	16 22																			
Employment ¹⁰⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular services to Ely and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Newmarket Fringe

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/NEW/1	255	Key statistics	[revised table/footnote] <table border="1" data-bbox="528 986 1424 1219"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Newmarket Fringe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁰⁶</td> <td>1,940 (total population of Newmarket 18,039)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ¹⁰⁸⁻¹⁰⁶</td> <td>448</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>95 93</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁰⁷</td> <td>43 38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁰⁸</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Daily service to Newmarket (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>107 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Newmarket Fringe		Population ¹⁰⁶	1,940 (total population of Newmarket 18,039)	Dwellings ¹⁰⁸⁻¹⁰⁶	448	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	95 93	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁰⁷	43 38	Employment ¹⁰⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Daily service to Newmarket (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Newmarket Fringe																				
Population ¹⁰⁶	1,940 (total population of Newmarket 18,039)																			
Dwellings ¹⁰⁸⁻¹⁰⁶	448																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	95 93																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁰⁷	43 38																			
Employment ¹⁰⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Daily service to Newmarket (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Prickwillow

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/PRK/1	259	Description	[para. 3] 'Facilities include a village hall with play area, church and Hiams Sports and Social Club. Many residents travel to nearby Ely for their goods and services....'	To reflect a drafting error.	No	Max Pocock														
PM/PRK/2	259	Key statistics	[revised table/footnote] <table border="1" data-bbox="548 375 1527 555"> <tr> <td>Prickwillow</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁰⁹</td> <td>480</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{109 110}</td> <td>192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>20 21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁰</td> <td>33 33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹¹¹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>1 weekly service to Ely</td> </tr> </table> 110 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014 . Note this is likely to be an underestimate	Prickwillow		Population ¹⁰⁹	480	Dwellings ^{109 110}	192	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	20 21	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁰	33 33	Employment ¹¹¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	1 weekly service to Ely	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Prickwillow																				
Population ¹⁰⁹	480																			
Dwellings ^{109 110}	192																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	20 21																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁰	33 33																			
Employment ¹¹¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	1 weekly service to Ely																			
PM/PRK/3	260	Housing section	[new final paragraph] 'It should be noted that Prickwillow is located in an area of flood risk, and therefore all development proposals will need to satisfy the requirements of the NPPF, and demonstrate through Flood Risk Assessments that risks can be adequately mitigated. A Flood Risk Assessment for the proposed allocation site at Putney Hill Road has been approved by the Environment Agency (dated June 2012).'	To provide clearer information on flood risk in Prickwillow.	No	Luke Tozer and ECDC														
PM/PRK/4	260	Supporting text to Policy PRK 1	[second paragraph] '...will be supported in principle, subject to Policies EMP2, EMP3 and EMP4, and satisfactory resolution of flood risk (see Housing section above).'	To provide clearer information on flood risk in Prickwillow.	No	Luke Tozer														
PM/PRK/5	260	Policy PRK 1	[new bullet] 'Demonstrate that the site can be suitable accessed by pedestrians, and provide any necessary improvements to the local footpath network'	To correct error in omitting requirements identified by the Highways Authority.	No	Mike Wilson and ECDC														
PM/PRK/6	262	Map 8.30	[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached map at the end of the document.	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Pymoor

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/PYM/1	263	Description	[third para.] 'Pymoor has a limited range of facilities - there is a village hall/ sport and social club , a Sport and Social Club , recreation playing field and a play area.'	To provide clearer information on local facilities.	No	Deborah Jupp														
PM/PYM/2	263	Key statistics	[revised table/footnote] <table border="1" data-bbox="524 373 1397 603"> <tr> <td>Pymoor</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹¹²</td> <td>390</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ¹¹⁴112</td> <td>169</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹³</td> <td>17 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹¹⁴</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Limited bus service to Ely (Monday-Friday only) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>113 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Pymoor		Population ¹¹²	390	Dwellings ¹¹⁴ 112	169	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	7	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹³	17 12	Employment ¹¹⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Limited bus service to Ely (Monday-Friday only) (as at 2012)	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.	No	ECDC
Pymoor																				
Population ¹¹²	390																			
Dwellings ¹¹⁴ 112	169																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	7																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹³	17 12																			
Employment ¹¹⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Limited bus service to Ely (Monday-Friday only) (as at 2012)																			
PM/PYM/3	266	Map 8.31	[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached map at the end of the document.	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Queen Adelaide

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/QAD/1	267	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Queen Adelaide</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹¹⁵</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{117 115}</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>8- 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁵</td> <td>9- 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹¹⁷</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>115 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012–March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p> <p>117 To be updated with forthcoming Census results</p>	Queen Adelaide		Population ¹¹⁵	270	Dwellings ^{117 115}	108	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	8- 4	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁵	9- 4	Employment ¹¹⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To include footnote 117 which was omitted in error.</p>	No	ECDC
Queen Adelaide																				
Population ¹¹⁵	270																			
Dwellings ^{117 115}	108																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	8- 4																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁵	9- 4																			
Employment ¹¹⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Daily service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)																			
PM/QAD/2	269	Map 8.32	<p>[Development envelope to be modified to exclude small area of agricultural land] See attached map at end of the document.</p>	To correct drafting error.	No	Jeff Scolting														
PM/QAD/3	269	Map 8.32	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Reach

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/REA/1	270	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Reach</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹¹⁷</td> <td>360 358</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{117 119}</td> <td>140</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁸</td> <td>8 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹¹⁹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular bus service to Newmarket and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>117 Population for Reach parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Reach parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>118 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate</p>	Reach		Population ¹¹⁷	360 358	Dwellings ^{117 119}	140	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	8	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁸	8 7	Employment ¹¹⁹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular bus service to Newmarket and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Reach																				
Population ¹¹⁷	360 358																			
Dwellings ^{117 119}	140																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	8																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹¹⁸	8 7																			
Employment ¹¹⁹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular bus service to Newmarket and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Snailwell

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/SNW/1	274	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Snailwell</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹²⁰</td> <td>230 186</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ¹²²⁻¹²⁰</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>9 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹²¹</td> <td>9 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹²²</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>1 bus service a day to Newmarket (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>120 Population for Snailwell parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Snailwell parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>121 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Snailwell		Population ¹²⁰	230 186	Dwellings ¹²²⁻¹²⁰	90	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	9 10	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹²¹	9 10	Employment ¹²²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	1 bus service a day to Newmarket (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Snailwell																				
Population ¹²⁰	230 186																			
Dwellings ¹²²⁻¹²⁰	90																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	9 10																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹²¹	9 10																			
Employment ¹²²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	1 bus service a day to Newmarket (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Soham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/SOH/1	278	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Soham</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹²⁴</td> <td>10,050</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{126- 124}</td> <td>4181</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>989- 1107</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹²⁵</td> <td>1655- 1524</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹²⁶</td> <td>3500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Bus service 6 days a week Ely (Monday-Saturday) to Ely and Newmarket/ Cambridge. Also served by Dial-a ride</td> </tr> </table> <p>125 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this does not include housing supply on 'broad locations' on the edge of the town. It also is likely to be an under estimate as it excludes potential supply from rural exception sites.'</p>	Soham		Population ¹²⁴	10,050	Dwellings ^{126- 124}	4181	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	989- 1107	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹²⁵	1655- 1524	Employment ¹²⁶	3500	Existing public transport services	Bus service 6 days a week Ely (Monday-Saturday) to Ely and Newmarket/ Cambridge. Also served by Dial-a ride	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Soham																				
Population ¹²⁴	10,050																			
Dwellings ^{126- 124}	4181																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	989- 1107																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹²⁵	1655- 1524																			
Employment ¹²⁶	3500																			
Existing public transport services	Bus service 6 days a week Ely (Monday-Saturday) to Ely and Newmarket/ Cambridge. Also served by Dial-a ride																			
PM/SOH/2	279	Housing section	<p>[third para.] '.....It is estimated there could be capacity for perhaps 553 414 dwellings between 2012 2013 and 2031.....'</p>	To update using data from the housing trajectory 2014.	No	ECDC														
PM/SOH/3	280	Housing section	<p>[table of housing supply]</p> <p>'Estimated housing supply in Soham 2012 2011-31, from specific sites and windfall supply'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Housing sources</th> <th>Number of dwellings</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Completions 2011-13</td> <td>260</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outstanding planning permissions</td> <td>382 256</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Potential large future sites</td> <td>26 44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated windfall on large sites</td> <td>145 114</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Housing allocations</td> <td>1102 1,110</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL ESTIMATED SUPPLY</td> <td>1,655 1,784</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Housing sources	Number of dwellings	Completions 2011-13	260	Outstanding planning permissions	382 256	Potential large future sites	26 44	Estimated windfall on large sites	145 114	Housing allocations	1102 1,110	TOTAL ESTIMATED SUPPLY	1,655 1,784	To update using data from the latest housing trajectory (March 2014).	No	ECDC
Housing sources	Number of dwellings																			
Completions 2011-13	260																			
Outstanding planning permissions	382 256																			
Potential large future sites	26 44																			
Estimated windfall on large sites	145 114																			
Housing allocations	1102 1,110																			
TOTAL ESTIMATED SUPPLY	1,655 1,784																			
PM/SOH/4	280	Housing section	<p>[fifth paragraph]</p> <p>'The town has further capacity to expand to the north and south – but it is considered vital that the identified Local Plan housing allocations come forward first, to support the Vision for the town. Potential 'phase 2' housing areas to the north and south are shown indicatively in the Soham Masterplan Vision. These areas are referenced in Policy GROWTH 4 as broad locations where housing could come forward in the later part of the Plan period, and are shown indicatively in the diagram in Chapter 3. It should be noted that these are not precise or accurate allocations, and further investigative work on site deliverability and suitability will be required in the future, prior to allocation in a development plan. The next review of the Local Plan will provide an opportunity to undertake further investigative work relating to delivery and site boundaries. Given the significant amount of housing supply in Soham (from windfall sites and Local Plan allocations) The phase 2 areas are not anticipated to be required until the period beyond 2031. However, this situation will be monitored as part of the annual review process – and a partial or full review of the Local Plan instigated if necessary.'</p>	To reflect proposed changes to housing provision and delivery, as outlined in the Memorandum of Cooperation.	No	ECDC														
PM/SOH/5	284	Supporting	[third paragraph]	Alter the number of	No	Soham														

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
		text to Policy SOH 3	'....In addition, a significant area of new Common land/open space is provided, plus land for the re-location of the existing allotments within the site. The allotments are located at the only feasible point of access from the town, and therefore their partial re-location is a critical part of the development scheme. In accordance with Policy COM 3, the District Council would expect to see the re-provision of an equivalent area of allotments on the Eastern Gateway site, plus the provision of a water supply, parking areas, and adequate security measures (e.g. fencing/gates). As statutory allotments, the re-location will also need to accord with relevant statutory requirements. Viability and design work undertaken as part of the Conceptual Masterplan indicates that the re-provided allotments will need to be located elsewhere in the site, rather than immediately adjacent to the remaining ones. This is because having the allotments in one place would restrict the amount of housing development that could be created at an early stage to fund the new access road – and the large scale of a single allotment site at the heart of the Eastern Gateway would limit the flexibility of the Masterplan framework and the ability to achieve a good standard of design and layout. However, it is acknowledged that the Conceptual Masterplan will need to be updated as part of the planning application process. This will provide an opportunity to revisit in more detail the extent of the impact on viability, and the impact on the quality of the design and layout of the scheme. If the scheme involves provision of allotments in one location, the applicant will be expected to demonstrate that this would not have an unacceptable impact on viability, or on the design and layout of the overall scheme. It is estimated there is capacity in the Eastern Gateway area for up to approximately 600 dwellings.'	allotment sites to reflect the fact that there is a need for some flexibility, prior to the Masterplan being revised and updated. To provide clearer reference to re-location requirements, required as part of the regulations.		Allotment Society
PM/SOH/6	285	Supporting text to Policy SOH 3	[sixth paragraph] 'Although a housing-led scheme, the Eastern Gateway is notable for the significant amount of community uses and open space that will be provided. The allotments will need to be re-provided with enhanced facilities for allotment holders, whilst the development will provide vital land for the expansion of the primary school and the medical centre, and provision of a new pre-school facility.'	To update the County Council's latest infrastructure requirements.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/SOH/7	286	Policy SOH 3	[first part, 5 th bullet] 'Approximately 0.8 1.1 hectares for an extension of the Weatheralls Primary School site and provision of a new pre-school facility.'	To reflect the County Council's revised infrastructure requirements.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/SOH/8	287	Policy SOH 3	[second paragraph] 'The Masterplan will need to be updated and submitted as part of any outline planning application for the Eastern Gateway development and approved by the District Council. These may or may not include the garden centre site. The revised masterplan will need to build on and reflect the key principles and elements in the Conceptual Masterplan. It may or may not include the Garden centre site, but will need to have regard to it.'	To provide clarification on the status of the Garden Centre site.	No	Bidwells (on behalf of Scotsdales)
PM/SOH/9	287	Policy SOH 3	[third paragraph, third bullet] 'Provide alternative land of an equivalent size within the site to facilitate the re-location of the existing allotments. The allotment land should be provided in multiple locations, unless updated Masterplanning work indicates that provision in one location would not have an unacceptable impact on overall scheme viability or on the design and layout quality of the overall scheme. The re-provided allotments should be accessible by car, foot and cycle, and include the provision of a water supply, parking and adequate security	Alter the number of allotment sites to reflect the fact that there is a need for some flexibility, prior to the Masterplan being revised and updated.	No	Soham Allotment Society

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			measures.'	To provide clearer reference to re-location requirements, required as part of the regulations.		
PM/SOH/10	288	Supporting text to Policy SOH 4	<p>[first paragraph] 'Since then, the area has been identified in the Soham Vision Masterplan as a potential site for future new employment development – including a small neighbourhood centre with a small local convenience store. However, in October 2013, the Council resolved to approve planning permission in principle (subject to conditions and S.106 being agreed) for a supermarket and a pub on part of the site (ref. No. 12/00247/OUM).'</p> <p>[second paragraph] 'The area is identified in the Local Plan as employment-led development, incorporating a local convenience store to serve the northern residential part of Soham. However, if the proposal referred to above is implemented, this will supercede the allocation on part of the site. The other part of the site without planning permission will remain allocated for employment uses, as set out in the policy below. The site is bounded to the north.....'</p> <p>[fifth paragraph] 'The District Council will require the preparation of a Masterplan for the whole site, prior to approval of a to inform development proposals. The Masterplan will establish.....'</p>	To reflect the recent planning permission approval (in principle) for development on part of the allocation site.	No	ECDC
PM/SOH/11	290	Supporting text to Policy SOH 5	<p>[new paragraph at end] 'The site lies within the Safeguarding Area for the Soham Waste Water Treatment Works. As part of the planning application process, an odour assessment will need to be carried out, to demonstrate risks can be adequately mitigated.'</p>	To clarify the required process, relating to site's location in the safeguarding area of the WWTW. Proposed wording agreed with Anglian Water.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/SOH/12	290	Policy SOH 5	<p>[new bullet] 'Demonstrate that odour risk relating to the nearby Waste Water Treatment Works can be adequately mitigated.'</p>	To clarify the required process, relating to site's location in the safeguarding area of the WWTW. Proposed wording agreed with Anglian Water.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/SOH/13	291	Supporting text to Policy SOH 6	<p>[final paragraph] '....It will demonstrate how the site will deliver the key aims of Policy SOH 6, and ensure a high standard of comprehensive planning. Whilst the boundaries of the site are identified on the Soham map, it is recognised that the precise boundaries may alter as a result of further technical work carried out as part of the preparation of the Masterplan.'</p>	To clarify that the allocation boundaries will be determined by the development plan.	No	ECDC
PM/SOH/14	300	Infrastructure section	<p>[Table] [5th row] 'Two new pre-school facilities – NK One to be provided as part of the extension of Weatheralls school – County Council – TBC - To be included in the County Council's</p>	To reflect the County Council's updated education requirements.	No	Cambs County Council.

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
			Capital Programme. See Policy SOH 3 in relation to the Weatheralls school site.'			
PM/SOH/15	303	Map 8.35	[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.] See attached map at the end of the document.	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC

Stetchworth

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/STE/1	304	Key statistics	[revised table/footnotes] <table border="1" data-bbox="560 622 1473 874"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Stetchworth</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹³⁰</td> <td>760- 704</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{132 130}</td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³¹</td> <td>10- 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹³²</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>There are a number of bus services that link the village to Newmarket and Cambridge 6 days a week.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> 130 Population for Stetchworth parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Stetchworth parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group. 131 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014 . Note this is likely to be an underestimate.... 132 To be updated with forthcoming Census results	Stetchworth		Population ¹³⁰	760- 704	Dwellings ^{132 130}	300	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	15	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³¹	10- 12	Employment ¹³²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	There are a number of bus services that link the village to Newmarket and Cambridge 6 days a week.	To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census. To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row. To include footnote 132 which was omitted in error.	No	ECDC
Stetchworth																				
Population ¹³⁰	760- 704																			
Dwellings ^{132 130}	300																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	15																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³¹	10- 12																			
Employment ¹³²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	There are a number of bus services that link the village to Newmarket and Cambridge 6 days a week.																			

Stretham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/STR/1	304	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Stretham</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹³³</td> <td>1720 1831</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{135- 133}</td> <td>780</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>87 90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³⁴</td> <td>105 91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹³⁵</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular service to Ely and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>133 Population for Stretham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Stretham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>134 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Stretham		Population ¹³³	1720 1831	Dwellings ^{135- 133}	780	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	87 90	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³⁴	105 91	Employment ¹³⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular service to Ely and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Stretham																				
Population ¹³³	1720 1831																			
Dwellings ^{135- 133}	780																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	87 90																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³⁴	105 91																			
Employment ¹³⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular service to Ely and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Stuntney

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/STU/1	304	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Stuntney</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹³⁶</td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings (est) ^{136 136}</td> <td>138</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³⁷</td> <td>5 6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹³⁸</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular bus services to Ely , Newmarket and Cambridge 6 days a week</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>137 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate....</p>	Stuntney		Population ¹³⁶	300	Dwellings (est) ^{136 136}	138	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	5	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³⁷	5 6	Employment ¹³⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular bus services to Ely , Newmarket and Cambridge 6 days a week	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To include footnote 138 which was omitted in error.</p>	No	ECDC
Stuntney																				
Population ¹³⁶	300																			
Dwellings (est) ^{136 136}	138																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	5																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹³⁷	5 6																			
Employment ¹³⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular bus services to Ely , Newmarket and Cambridge 6 days a week																			

Sutton

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/SUT/1	315	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Sutton</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹³⁹</td> <td>3590- 3592</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{140 139}</td> <td>1522</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>336- 342</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁰</td> <td>198- 180</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁴¹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>A regular bus service to Ely & Cambridge (Monday-Saturday)</td> </tr> </table> <p>139 Population for Sutton parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Sutton parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>140 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate as it excludes potential supply from rural exception windfall sites</p>	Sutton		Population ¹³⁹	3590- 3592	Dwellings ^{140 139}	1522	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	336- 342	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁰	198- 180	Employment ¹⁴¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	A regular bus service to Ely & Cambridge (Monday-Saturday)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To include part of footnote 140 which was omitted in error.</p>	No	ECDC
Sutton																				
Population ¹³⁹	3590- 3592																			
Dwellings ^{140 139}	1522																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	336- 342																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁰	198- 180																			
Employment ¹⁴¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	A regular bus service to Ely & Cambridge (Monday-Saturday)																			
PM/SUT/2	316	Supporting text to Policy SUT 1	<p>[second para] '...The site is shown on the map below. It should be noted that the boundary of the site could be subject to slight alteration (potentially providing some flexibility), if an applicant can demonstrate through further investigative work that an alternative boundary would be more appropriate and deliver a higher quality scheme of 50 dwellings. In connection with this. The applicant will also be expected to consider.....'</p>	To remove reference to flexibility in the development envelope boundary.	No	ECDC														
PM/SUT/3	320	Map 8.39	Update Conservation Area following publication of the Sutton Conservation Appraisal SPD. See attached map at end of the document.	To update Conservation Area following publication of the Sutton Conservation Appraisal SPD.	No	ECDC														
PM/SUT/4	320	Map 8.39	<p>[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Swaffham Bulbeck

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/SWB/1	321	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Swaffham Bulbeck</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁴²</td> <td>840 826</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ¹⁴⁴ ¹⁴²</td> <td>400</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴³</td> <td>0 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁴⁴</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular services to Newmarket and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>142 Population for Swaffham Bulbeck parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Swaffham Bulbeck parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>143 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Swaffham Bulbeck		Population ¹⁴²	840 826	Dwellings ¹⁴⁴ ¹⁴²	400	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	33	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴³	0 7	Employment ¹⁴⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular services to Newmarket and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Swaffham Bulbeck																				
Population ¹⁴²	840 826																			
Dwellings ¹⁴⁴ ¹⁴²	400																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	33																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴³	0 7																			
Employment ¹⁴⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular services to Newmarket and Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			
PM/SWB/2	322	Infrastructure table	'Provision of a community/village hall – Provide Village Hall at the pavilion. '	To correct drafting error.	No	Swaffham Bulbeck Parish Council														
PM/SWB/3	323	Map 8.40	<p>[Proposed minor modifications to Development Envelope following re-assessment of village]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To reflect up to date assessment of boundary.	No	ECDC														

Swaffham Prior

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/SWP/1	325	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Swaffham Prior</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁴⁵</td> <td>740- 841</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{147- 146}</td> <td>320</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>11 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁶</td> <td>27</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁴⁷</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular service to Newmarket and Cambridge (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>145 Population for Swaffham Prior parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Swaffham Prior parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>146 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Swaffham Prior		Population ¹⁴⁵	740- 841	Dwellings ^{147- 146}	320	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	11 12	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁶	27	Employment ¹⁴⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular service to Newmarket and Cambridge (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Swaffham Prior																				
Population ¹⁴⁵	740- 841																			
Dwellings ^{147- 146}	320																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	11 12																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁶	27																			
Employment ¹⁴⁷	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular service to Newmarket and Cambridge (as at 2012)																			
PM/SWP/2	326	Policy SWP 1	'1 hectare of land is allocated for residential development for approximately- up to 20 dwellings '	To provide consistency with wording used during the consultation process.	No	Alastair Everitt														
PM/SWP/3	326	Policy SWP 1	'Provide appropriate evidence of the archaeological potential and significance of the site prior to the submission of a planning application; and...'	To correct a drafting error.	No	ECDC														

Upware

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/UPW/1	304	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Upware</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁴⁸</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{149- 148}</td> <td>38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁹</td> <td>2 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁵⁰</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>1 bus route to Ely that runs every Thursday morning</td> </tr> </table> <p>149 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p> <p>150 To be updated with forthcoming Census results</p>	Upware		Population ¹⁴⁸	100	Dwellings ^{149- 148}	38	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	1	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁹	2 1	Employment ¹⁵⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	1 bus route to Ely that runs every Thursday morning	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p> <p>To include footnote 150 which was omitted in error.</p>	No	ECDC
Upware																				
Population ¹⁴⁸	100																			
Dwellings ^{149- 148}	38																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	1																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁴⁹	2 1																			
Employment ¹⁵⁰	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	1 bus route to Ely that runs every Thursday morning																			

Wardy Hill

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WAR/1	333	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Wardy Hill</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁵¹</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings 153 151</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵²</td> <td>9- 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁵³</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Service to Ely (Thursday only) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>152 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Wardy Hill		Population ¹⁵¹	130	Dwellings 153 151	52	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	6	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵²	9- 5	Employment ¹⁵³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Service to Ely (Thursday only) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Wardy Hill																				
Population ¹⁵¹	130																			
Dwellings 153 151	52																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	6																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵²	9- 5																			
Employment ¹⁵³	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Service to Ely (Thursday only) (as at June 2012)																			

Wentworth

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WEN/1	336	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Wentworth</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁵⁴</td> <td>270- 200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings 156 ¹⁵⁴</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵⁵</td> <td>9- 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁵⁶</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>None</td> </tr> </table> <p>154 Population for Wentworth parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Wentworth parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>155 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Wentworth		Population ¹⁵⁴	270- 200	Dwellings 156 ¹⁵⁴	108	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	8	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵⁵	9- 11	Employment ¹⁵⁶	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	None	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Wentworth																				
Population ¹⁵⁴	270- 200																			
Dwellings 156 ¹⁵⁴	108																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	8																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵⁵	9- 11																			
Employment ¹⁵⁶	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	None																			
PM/WEN/2	339	Map 8.44	<p>[Change round the allocation numbers – WEN 1 should read WEN 2, and WEN 2 should read WEN 1]</p> <p>See attached map at end of the document.</p>	To correct map drafting error.	No	ECDC														

Westley Waterless

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WWA/1	336	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Westley Waterless</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁵⁷</td> <td>170- 132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{159- 157}</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>6- 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵⁸</td> <td>9- 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁵⁹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Limited services to Dullingham and Newmarket (Monday to Saturday) (as at June 20 12)</td> </tr> </table> <p>157 Population for Westley Waterless parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Westley Waterless parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>158 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Westley Waterless		Population ¹⁵⁷	170- 132	Dwellings ^{159- 157}	60	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	6- 2	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵⁸	9- 1	Employment ¹⁵⁹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Limited services to Dullingham and Newmarket (Monday to Saturday) (as at June 20 12)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Westley Waterless																				
Population ¹⁵⁷	170- 132																			
Dwellings ^{159- 157}	60																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	6- 2																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁵⁸	9- 1																			
Employment ¹⁵⁹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Limited services to Dullingham and Newmarket (Monday to Saturday) (as at June 20 12)																			
PM/WWA/2	342	Map 8.45	<p>[Proposed minor modification to Development Envelope following review of employment areas and proposed employment allocations.]</p> <p>See attached map at the end of the document.</p>	To include employment areas and proposed employment allocations within development envelopes where there is a clear relationship to the main built up form of settlements.	<u>Yes</u>	ECDC														

Wicken

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WIC/1	343	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Wicken</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁶⁰</td> <td>800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ⁴⁶²⁻¹⁶⁰</td> <td>360</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 42 13 (net)</td> <td>22 25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 ¹⁵⁸ 2013 – 31</td> <td>27 26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁶²</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>1 weekly service to Soham, Barway, Stuntney and Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </table> <p>161 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012- March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Wicken		Population ¹⁶⁰	800	Dwellings ⁴⁶²⁻¹⁶⁰	360	New dwellings built 2001- 42 13 (net)	22 25	Estimated new dwellings 2012 ¹⁵⁸ 2013 – 31	27 26	Employment ¹⁶²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	1 weekly service to Soham, Barway, Stuntney and Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Wicken																				
Population ¹⁶⁰	800																			
Dwellings ⁴⁶²⁻¹⁶⁰	360																			
New dwellings built 2001- 42 13 (net)	22 25																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 ¹⁵⁸ 2013 – 31	27 26																			
Employment ¹⁶²	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	1 weekly service to Soham, Barway, Stuntney and Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)																			
PM/WIC/2	346	Map 8.46	<p>[Proposed minor modification to site WIC 1 (land north-west of The Crescent) to include land adjacent to site up to field boundary and remove strip of land from rear of site]</p> <p>See attached map at end of the document.</p>	To correct map drafting error, and make the proposed boundary more reasonable and deliverable.	No	Wendy Gammon														

Wilburton

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WIL/1	347	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Wilburton</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁶³</td> <td>1320 1348</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{165- 163}</td> <td>550</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>78 87</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁶⁴</td> <td>40 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁶⁵</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport services</td> <td>Regular services to Cambridge Haddenham, Sutton, Chatteris service to Ely (Monday-Saturday)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>163 Population for Wilburton parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Wilburton parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>164 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Wilburton		Population ¹⁶³	1320 1348	Dwellings ^{165- 163}	550	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	78 87	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁶⁴	40 20	Employment ¹⁶⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport services	Regular services to Cambridge Haddenham, Sutton, Chatteris service to Ely (Monday-Saturday)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Wilburton																				
Population ¹⁶³	1320 1348																			
Dwellings ^{165- 163}	550																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	78 87																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁶⁴	40 20																			
Employment ¹⁶⁵	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport services	Regular services to Cambridge Haddenham, Sutton, Chatteris service to Ely (Monday-Saturday)																			

Witcham

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WIT/1	350	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Witcham</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁶⁶</td> <td>460 429</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{167- 166}</td> <td>190</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁶⁷</td> <td>19 17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁶⁸</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport</td> <td>Regular service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>166 Population for Witcham parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Witcham parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>167 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Witcham		Population ¹⁶⁶	460 429	Dwellings ^{167- 166}	190	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	17	Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁶⁷	19 17	Employment ¹⁶⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport	Regular service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Witcham																				
Population ¹⁶⁶	460 429																			
Dwellings ^{167- 166}	190																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	17																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012- 2013 – 31 ¹⁶⁷	19 17																			
Employment ¹⁶⁸	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport	Regular service to Ely (Monday-Saturday) (as at 2012)																			
PM/WIT/2	351	Housing section	<p>[third paragraph] 'Outside the development envelope, housing will not normally be permitted – unless there are exceptional circumstances, such as essential dwellings for rural workers, or affordable housing. Housing schemes outside the development envelope will be assessed against Policy GROWTH 2 and other Local Plan policies as appropriate. However it should be noted that Witcham Parish Council does not support development coming forward outside the development envelope (as at May 2013).'</p>	To reflect the Parish Council's current position.	No	Anna Bailey (ECDC Councillor) and Witcham Parish Council														

Witchford

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WTF/1	354	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnotes]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Witchford</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁶⁹</td> <td>2330- 2385</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{171 169}</td> <td>990</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>84- 89</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁷⁰</td> <td>61- 39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁷¹</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport</td> <td>Regular services to Ely & Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>169 Population for Witchford parish – 2011 Census. and Dwellings for Witchford parish (mid-2010). Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group.</p> <p>170 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Witchford		Population ¹⁶⁹	2330- 2385	Dwellings ^{171 169}	990	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	84- 89	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁷⁰	61- 39	Employment ¹⁷¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport	Regular services to Ely & Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnotes with the latest housing completions and projections figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory, and population estimates from the 2011 census.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Witchford																				
Population ¹⁶⁹	2330- 2385																			
Dwellings ^{171 169}	990																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	84- 89																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁷⁰	61- 39																			
Employment ¹⁷¹	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport	Regular services to Ely & Cambridge (Monday-Saturday) (as at June 2012)																			

Woodditton and Saxon Street

PM ref.	Page no.	Policy/ paragraph	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change														
PM/WOD/1	358	Key statistics	<p>[revised table/footnote]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Woodditton and Saxon Street</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Population ¹⁷²</td> <td>1770 (Saxon Street 320; Ditton Green 270; Little Ditton 60)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dwellings ^{174 172}</td> <td>810</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁷³</td> <td>9- 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment ¹⁷⁴</td> <td>To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing public transport</td> <td>Limited services to Newmarket (Monday-Friday excl. Wed) (as at 2012)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>173 East Cambridgeshire Housing Trajectory 2012 March 2014. Note this is likely to be an underestimate.....</p>	Woodditton and Saxon Street		Population ¹⁷²	1770 (Saxon Street 320; Ditton Green 270; Little Ditton 60)	Dwellings ^{174 172}	810	New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	26	Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁷³	9- 2	Employment ¹⁷⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data	Existing public transport	Limited services to Newmarket (Monday-Friday excl. Wed) (as at 2012)	<p>To update Key Statistics table and corresponding footnote with the latest figures from the 2014 Housing Trajectory.</p> <p>To amend incorrect footnote numbering in the 'dwellings' row.</p>	No	ECDC
Woodditton and Saxon Street																				
Population ¹⁷²	1770 (Saxon Street 320; Ditton Green 270; Little Ditton 60)																			
Dwellings ^{174 172}	810																			
New dwellings built 2001- 12 13 (net)	26																			
Estimated new dwellings 2012 2013 – 31 ¹⁷³	9- 2																			
Employment ¹⁷⁴	To be confirmed following publication of 2011 Census data																			
Existing public transport	Limited services to Newmarket (Monday-Friday excl. Wed) (as at 2012)																			

Appendix 2: Designated wildlife/historical sites

PM ref.	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/A/1	[Table of Sites of International, National and Local Importance for Nature Conversation] [Ramsar sites – first row, insert reference to 8.46 Wicken Fen]	To correct omission.	No	National Trust

Appendix 3: Glossary

PM ref.	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/A/2	'CLT - A community land trust is a non-profit community-led corporation that develops and stewards affordable housing, community gardens, civic buildings, commercial spaces and other community assets on behalf of to meet the needs of a community in perpetuity. '	To clarify the description of a CLT.	No	Foundation East
PM/A/3	[new entry] 'Exemplar development – To be 'exemplar' means to create a development model which people will want to copy or imitate elsewhere. The District Council defines exemplar development for North Ely as that which incorporates all aspects of best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • developing a variety of attractive, spacious homes which incorporate the latest green technologies to make sustainable living easy and appealing, • safeguarding and enhancing the natural biodiversity of the site and reflecting the current development pattern of central Ely that brings a countryside feel into urban areas through ample provision of green space, sports facilities, and a country park, • providing locally based jobs to reduce the need for out commuting, • incorporating measures to positively promote walking, cycling and bus use over the use of cars, with good connections by these modes to key local destinations. • providing schools, a community centre, local shops, a business hub and other meeting spaces at the heart of the development to help foster the development of a genuine local community. • providing a benchmark in high quality development, delivering design excellence in all elements, with distinctive, innovative formats of modern homes with high living space standards, generous levels of amenity space, and family friendly streets and spaces. • reflecting the traditional development patterns and features of Ely with its people focused design, layout and walkability, but with a contemporary interpretation. <p>All development should be of exceptional good quality to make North Ely a destination of choice for prospective residents and businesses.'</p>	To provide clarification, particularly in respect of Policy ELY 1.	No	Smiths Gore (on behalf of the Church Commissioners)

Appendix 4: District Proposals Map

PM ref.	Proposed change	Reason for change	SA revision?	Source of change
PM/A/4	[Re-label the Ouse Washes with its own designation (SPA, SSSI and RAMSAR)]	To provide clarification on the status and make the map clearer.	No	Cambs County Council
PM/A/5	[Inclusion of map/diagram showing location of strategic green infrastructure within the District] [Deletion of Ely Country Park as a symbol on the Proposals Map]	To provide important strategic information. For consistency.	No	National Trust