CORPORATE ENFORCEMENT PROTOCOL

Committee: Corporate Governance and Finance Committee

Date: 6 November 2014

Author: Sarah Steed, Solicitor

[P117]

1.0 ISSUE

1.1 Review of the Council's Corporate Enforcement Protocol so that any enforcement decisions are consistent, balanced, fair, transparent and proportionate.

2.0 RECOMMENDATION(S)

2.1 To approve the Corporate Enforcement Protocol attached as Appendix A.

3.0 BACKGROUND/OPTIONS

- 3.1 The purpose of the Corporate Enforcement Protocol (the Protocol) is to set out the guiding principles by which legislation will be enforced by the Council to protect public health, safety, amenity and the environment within East Cambridgeshire.
- 3.2 The Council has specific enforcement policies covering Environmental / food / licensing, smoking, planning and Regulatory Investigatory Powers Act (RIPA) policy. This document does not seek to duplicate these specific policies but to provide an overarching enforcement framework that follows the principles of:
 - the Central and Local Government Concordat on Good Enforcement,
 - the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 and
 - the Regulators Code April 2014.
- 3.3 The Council needs to be able to demonstrate that it has acted reasonably when deciding upon the most appropriate course of action in response to an alleged or actual breach of legislation or policies.
- 3.4 The Protocol sets out a number of key principles that the Council will seek to follow before and during the carrying out of enforcement activity and lists the types of enforcement options available following a breach of legislation.
- 3.5 The Protocol may also be referred to by those unhappy with any enforcement decisions taken by the Council and who wish to check whether the authority has correctly applied its own procedures.
- 3.6 It is important that the Protocol does not overly restrict the Council's ability to be able to take enforcement action and it is also important for the Council to

be able to demonstrate that it has taken the correct factors into account and has acted fairly, rationally and within its powers when making enforcement decisions.

- 3.7 The Protocol was last reviewed and updated in 2011.
- 3.8 The Protocol will be made available to the public via the Council's website and during correspondence with those that are or who may be the focus of enforcement activity by the Council. Alternative formats of the Protocol will be made available as required or on request.
- 3.9 If the Protocol is approved by the Corporate Finance and Governance Committee an updated link from the Council's website will be distributed to officers who engage in enforcement activity. Officers will be expected to work within the framework set out in the Protocol and managers will be responsible for ensuring that this happens.

4.0 <u>ARGUMENTS/CONCLUSIONS</u>

- 4.1 The main areas where the Protocol has been updated are as follows:
- 4.1.1 Part A Paragraph 1.6 includes additional protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010
- 4.1.2 Part A Paragraph 3.2 and 3.3 refer to the Regulators Code April 2014, this was produced by the Better Regulation Office to provide a flexible principles based framework for regulatory delivery that supports and enables regulators to design their service and enforcement policies in a manner that best suits the needs of businesses and other regulated entities.
- 4.1.3 Part B Paragraph 3.10 refers to updated guidance issued by the Ministry of Justice and the Director of Public Prosecutions on cautions
- 4.1.4 Part B Paragraph 3.22 was updated following the revised and updated community safety provisions contained within Anti-social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014.
- 4.2 The Legal Services Member Champion and Officers who undertake enforcement action for and on behalf of the District Council were consulted as part of the revision exercise.

5.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS/EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 There are no costs associated with this report. The Council will seek to recover the full costs including the investigation costs as well as legal costs of taking Court proceedings from the Defendant. Repayment of disbursements (i.e.: expert witnesses, Counsel or search fees) will always be sought in addition to any Council legal / officer fees. Legal / officer costs which are not subject to fixed rates will be charged at allotted Council hourly rates

- 5.2 An Equality Impact Assessment (INRA) has been completed.
- 5.3 There should be no unlawful discrimination as the Protocol is designed to make sure that everyone is aware of the basis on which the Council will investigate alleged offences and take enforcement action.
- 5.4 A protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010 will have no bearing on decisions to take enforcement action.
- 5.5 The fact that the Protocol is provided in the first instance in English could be a potential negative barrier however this can be mitigated by the provision of the Protocol in an alternative format as and when required
- 6.0 APPENDICES
- 6.1 Appendix A Corporate Enforcement Protocol
- 6.2 Appendix B Equality Impact Assessment

,	•	
Background Documents	<u>Location</u>	Contact Officer
1.Code for Crown	The Grange,	
Prosecutors	Ely	Sarah Steed
http://www.cps.gov.uk/publ	•	Solicitor
ications/code for crown p		(01353) 665555
rosecutors/introduction.htm		
1		
2.Regulators Code:		
https://www.gov.uk/govern		
ment/uploads/system/uplo		
ads/attachment data/file/3		
00126/14-705-regulators-		
code.pdf		
3.Anti-social Behaviour		
Crime and Policing Act		
2014		
4.MoJ: Simple Cautions for		
Adult Offenders 14		
November 2013		
5.Guidance to Police		
Officers And Crown		
Prosecutors issued		
By the Director of Public		
Prosecutions under s37A		
of PACE Act 7 th Edition		
April 2013		



APPENDIX A

East Cambridgeshire District Council

Corporate Enforcement Protocol



Contents

- A Introduction and General Principles of Enforcement
- 1. Purpose of the Protocol
- 2. Enforcement Concordat
- 3. Principles of Good Regulation
- 4. Other versions of this protocol
- 5. Complaints procedure
- 6. Publication, consultation and revision

B. Enforcement action, tools and remedies

- 1. Definition of enforcement action
- 2. Informal action
- 3. Formal action
 - 3.5 Statutory Notices
 - 3.8 Simple Cautions
 - 3.12 Prosecution
 - 3.13 Civil Proceedings
- 4. Costs

C. Conclusion & references

- 1. Conclusion
- 2. Appendices: A References
 - **B** Public Interest Matrix



A. INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ENFORCEMENT

1. Purpose of the Protocol

- 1.1 The purpose of this protocol is to set out the Corporate guiding principles by which legislation will be enforced by the Council to protect public health, safety, amenity and the environment within East Cambridgeshire.
- 1.2 The Council has specific enforcement policies covering Environmental/ Food/ Licensing and Smoking (listed at the back of this document) and Regulatory Investigatory Powers Act ("RIPA") Policy.
- 1.3 This document does not seek to duplicate these specific policies, but to provide an over-arching enforcement framework that follows the principles of the Central and Local Government Concordat on Good Enforcement (1) the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 (2), the Regulators Code (3) and the Crime and Disorder Act 1998(4).
- 1.4 Enforcement plays an important role in enabling the Council to achieve:
 - A safe and healthy environment;
 - A well planned place to live which encourages vibrant communities; and
 - A prosperous place to live and work.

All individuals, businesses and employees are stakeholders under the protocol and will benefit from a consistent and fair approach, which tries to ensure that the above principles are met.

- 1.5 This protocol sets out the agreed basis for all enforcement proceedings to be carried out by the Council. The Council covers many areas where enforcement action may be considered, for example, car parking penalty enforcement, planning, food safety prosecutions, and civil proceedings (recovery of debts owed to the Council).
- 1.6 The Council recognises that any enforcement action is a serious step and will consider alternatives; generally the Council will try informal action, before considering formal action (defined below). In doing so, each case will be considered on its own facts and merits and the Council will have due regard to all relevant legislation; and in particular to the Human Rights Act 1998 and RIPA and any other relevant Codes that cover enforcement/ and Prosecution. Furthermore, the Council will ensure that:
 - the ethnic or national origin, gender, religion or belief or political views, disability, marriage, civil partnership, pregnancy or maternity, age sexual orientation or gender identity of a Defendant or witness have no bearing on decisions on enforcement;



- no improper/un-due pressure from any source inside or outside the Council affect those decisions; and
- all relevant information is given to the Defendant and to the Court as appropriate (as part of the informal action and/ or in accordance with any relevant civil or criminal requirements).
- 1.7 It should be noted that the Anglia Revenues Partnership (ARP) has provided a shared delivery of services for Council Tax, NNDR, Benefits and fraud protection and investigation between Council's in Cambridgeshire and Suffolk of which East Cambridgeshire District Council is a partner. ARP has delegated authority on behalf of East Cambridgeshire District Council to undertake specific investigations, as set out in legislation, including sanction prosecutions, in relation to alleged offences and to undertake Council Tax and NNDR recovery processes, as provided for within the ARP Enforcement Policies [listed in Appendix A].

2. Enforcement Concordat

- 2.1 East Cambridgeshire District Council adopted the Enforcement Concordat, which set out the principles of good enforcement. These include:
 - Standards the setting and publishing of clear standards which set out the level of performance expected;
 - Openness information and advice provided in plain language on the rules that we apply;
 - Helpfulness working with businesses to advise and assist with compliance in an efficient and prompt manner;
 - Complaints about service details of complaints procedure which are easily accessible;
 - Proportionality ensuring action required is proportionate to the risk;
 and
 - Consistency to carry out duties in a fair equitable and consistent manner.

3. Principles of Good Regulation

- 3.1 In carrying out enforcement activities the Council will have regard to the principles set out in the Legislative Regulatory Reform Act 2006, and ensure that these activities are:
 - Transparent;
 - Accountable:
 - Proportionate;
 - Consistent; and
 - Targeted at cases in which action is needed.



3.2 The Regulators Code was published by the Better Regulation Delivery Office (BRDO) in April 2014 and provides a 'flexible principles based framework for regulatory delivery that supports and enables regulators to design their service and enforcement policies in a manner that best suits the needs of businesses and other regulated entities'.

3.3 The Council will therefore:

- Support those they regulate to comply and grow by choosing proportionate approaches to those they regulate based on relevant factors i.e.: business size and capacity and intervening only where there is a clear case for protection;
- Provide simple and straightforward ways to engage with those they regulate and hear their view – Consider the impacts on businesses before changing policies
- Base regulatory activity on risk use a comprehensive risk assessment that concentrates resources in the areas that need them the most:
- Share information about compliance and risk follow the principle "collect once and use many times" and agree secure mechanisms to share information with other regulators to minimise duplication
- Provide clear information, guidance and advice to those they regulate to help them meet their responsibilities to comply;
- Ensure the approach to regulatory activities is transparent publish clear service standards which set out what those they regulate should expect from them; including their approach to checks on compliance¹ and details of the risk assessment framework used to target those checks and protocols for their conduct.

4. Other versions of this Protocol

4.1 If you would like a copy of this protocol to be provided in an alternative format (i.e.: large type, Braille or audio tape) or translated, please contact the Council's main reception on 01353 665555.

5. Complaints

- 5.1 If you are unhappy with the outcome of enforcement proceedings taken against you by the Council, you must seek immediate independent legal advice.
- 5.2 If your concern is with the way in which the Council has handled your case, then the Council has a formal complaints procedure, which can be used. Details of this are available from the Council's reception area or on its website: http://www.eastcambs.gov.uk

¹ including inspections, audit monitoring and sampling visits and test purchases



6. Publication, consultation and revision

6.1 Before being finalised, the Council will undertake internal consultation with interested parties. Feedback will be considered and where appropriate included in subsequent revisions to the Protocol. The Protocol will then be made available on the Council website and will be reviewed every 2 years or whenever there are changes to relevant legislation or centrally issued guidance. The review will take account of changes in the law, operational experience and feedback from officers.

B. ENFORCEMENT ACTION, TOOLS AND REMEDIES

1. Definition of Enforcement Action

- 1.1 The Council recognises that equal duties are owed to both the complainant and the alleged contravener and seeks to resolve matters of concern as equitably as possible. Decisions on enforcement action will depend on the information received and any subsequent investigation that is undertaken (as well as the more detailed criteria set out below).
- 1.2 When a complaint or information is received, Officers will undertake some preliminary enquiries (unless the action is likely to be fixed penalty in nature for example car parking, dog fouling or smoking), and decide what action to take, in consultation with other Council Officers.
- 1.3 Enforcement action may be either informal or formal, and all actions will be carried out in accordance with the principles set out in this Protocol.
- 1.4 A file, or papers / instructions may be prepared (dependent on the nature of the case and whether civil or criminal in nature) with reference to relevant guidance issued below. The Investigating Officer will inform the complainant about the investigation or enforcement outcome once it has been concluded. In appropriate cases, periodical updates should be supplied.

2. Informal action

2.1 The vast majority of cases will be resolved informally through negotiation, discussion or advice - which is generally the Council's preferred method, providing the breach is a first occurrence, does not result in a serious risk to public health, safety, amenity or the environment and the Officer is confident that informal action will be effective.



- 2.2 Informal Action can be one or more of the following:
 - Verbal advice;
 - Verbal request for action;
 - Written request for action; and/ or
 - Written warning of formal action, if contraventions are not corrected.
- 2.3 In dealing with members of the public, Officers will clearly distinguish between those matters that are contraventions of the law and those that are simply recommendations reflecting good practice.
- 2.4 Recipients of informal action will be given the opportunity to discuss the requirements with the Investigating Officer and agree an appropriate programme of work and timetable for completion where appropriate. Regular contact with the Investigating Officer, as the works progress, will be encouraged.

3. Formal action

- 3.1 Where informal action has not been successful, or where there is a risk to public health, safety, amenity or the environment, or there has been a deliberate contravention, then formal action will be taken. These are described in more detail below.
- 3.2 Formal Action consists of one or more of the following:
 - The service of statutory notices and orders;
 - The issue of simple cautions;
 - Prosecution:
 - Application for injunction or in more serious cases, an application for a Criminal Behaviour Order (CBO) which replaces the ASBO.
 - Debt recovery work or possession action
 - Housing or Council Tax Administrative Penalties
 - Council Tax and NNDR Recovery Processes
 - Revocation or non-renewal of licenses, approvals or registrations
 - The issue of fixed penalty notices
 - Use of other enforcement powers by Officers authorised by specific legislation (e.g. detention of food, sampling of food or substances, seizure of equipment or food, prohibition of processes or activities).
- 3.3 When coming to the decision as to whether to take informal or formal action and type, Officers will consider the following criteria:-
 - The seriousness of the offence;
 - The consequences of non-compliance in terms of risk to people, property, the community or the environment;
 - The individual's or company's past history in terms of compliance;
 - Confidence in management, level of awareness of statutory responsibilities and willingness to prevent a recurrence;
 - The likely effectiveness of the various alternative enforcement options;



- The public interest and public expectation and the importance of the case in setting a precedent;
- The application of any national or local guidance to the matter in question;
- Information received following liaison with other external enforcing agencies;
- Relevant case law and guidance;
- The likelihood of the offender being able to establish a defence;
- The reliability of witnesses.
- 3.4 The most efficient and effective action will be taken to achieve the desired compliance with the law. The decision will be taken in an objective and fair way in accordance with the principles set out in this document. There will be a graduated approach to enforcement, commencing with informal action but followed by an appropriate level of formal action where compliance is not achieved, proportionate to the seriousness of the offence.

Statutory Notices and Orders:

- 3.5 Statutory Notices are legal documents normally requiring the recipient to carry out work or to cease specified activities, to ensure compliance with legislation. They are issued by relevant Council Officers with delegated authority. Only Officers who have attained a sufficient level of competence will be given the delegated authority to take formal action.
- 3.6 A wide variety of Notices/Orders are provided in the legislation enforced by the Council and specific procedures are employed when these are issued. Where applicable, these may be set out in Service policies. Time limits specified in Notices must be realistic and wherever possible requirements set out in Notices will be discussed and agreed with the intended recipient/s, prior to issue. Representations from the recipient requesting a reasonable time limit for compliance will be considered. Confirmation of details of the person responsible will be sought by reference to relevant registration documents where available or through the use of Requisitions for Information or Company Searches, where identity cannot be positively established through other means.
- 3.7 Failure to comply with a Statutory Notice will be referred in the first instance to the next senior officer/ or manager with delegated powers to discuss and determine whether a prosecution should be initiated. As indicated below, after that, the relevant section or department will refer the case to Legal Services for advice.

Simple Cautions:



- 3.8 A 'Simple Caution' replaces what used to be known as a formal caution and is used to deal quickly and simply with those who commit low level crime and first time offenders. It aims to divert offenders away from Court, and to reduce the likelihood that they will offend again and is a formal mechanism that can be offered as an alternative to prosecution by the Council. The caution is offered in writing and if accepted will be administered at a formal interview. If it is not accepted then a prosecution will usually be undertaken. A Simple Caution is not a criminal conviction, but a record will be kept and it may be used in Court as evidence of bad character, as part of an injunction or Criminal Behaviour Order application, or when making relevant representations to the Court on sentencing for any subsequent prosecution. offender must be made aware of this and be given the opportunity to seek their own independent legal advice before agreeing to accept a Simple Caution.
- 3.9 The Council will only issue a Simple Caution if:
 - there is sufficient evidence to provide a realistic prospect of conviction if the offender were to be prosecuted;
 - the offender is 18 years of age or over;
 - the offender admits they committed the crime and has not raised a defence;
 - the offender agrees to be given a caution if the offender does not accept the caution, then a prosecution will generally be undertaken.
- 3.10 When considering whether to offer a Simple Caution, the following factors will be considered:
 - The offence is low level and/or a first time offence.
 - Any Court sentence is likely to be minimal;
 - The loss to public funds is small;
 - Any alternative penalty appropriate to the alleged offence is considered unsuitable;
 - Whether the offender has any unspent previous convictions, cautions or administrative penalties.

Further information regarding Simple Cautions is available in the following publications:-

- Ministry of Justice: Simple Cautions for Adult Offenders 14 November 2013;
- Guidance to Police Officers and Crown Prosecutors issued by the Director of Public Prosecutions under Section 37A of PACE Act 7th Edition April 2013;
- 3.11 There are no rigid rules about the particular situations in which cautions should be used this is at the discretion of Investigating Officers/ their



line managers, having discussed the appropriateness with Legal Services.

Records of Cautions will be retained for up to 5 years.

Prosecution:

- 3.12 If Officers are considering a prosecution, then they should seek legal advice early on in the preparation of the Prosecution file and Legal Services will review the case file in accordance with the Code for Crown Prosecutors and Guidance issued thereunder, and will provide timely advice within the current published guidelines.
- 3.13 The file will then be finalised by the Investigating Officer having been authorised through the appropriate line manager and Service Lead using the Corporate Prosecution template, and forwarded to Legal Services, within agreed timescales.

These are not less than one month before the expiry of any relevant time limit, or in other cases within a reasonable time period of the known offence – namely within 1 year. Prosecution must be authorised by the relevant Assistant Director or Service Lead and the decision on the action to be taken, if any, will be taken by the Principal Solicitor in consultation with the investigating department.

The decision to prosecute will be made in accordance with the Code for Crown Prosecutors produced by the Crown Prosecution Service, which may be found by following the attached link http://www.cps.gov.uk/publications/code for crown prosecutors/codetest.htm 1 and the Director of Public Prosecutions' Guidance on Charging issued thereunder.

Both stages of the 'Full Code Test' as set out in the Code for Crown prosecutors will be applied:

- The evidential test; and
- The public interest test.

If the case does not pass the evidential test, it must not proceed, no matter how important or serious it may appear to be. If the case passes the evidential test, the next decision is whether a prosecution is needed in the public interest, or whether a warning or Simple Caution would be more appropriate.

3.14 Legal Services will only proceed with a prosecution when the case passes both the evidential and the public interest tests.



3.15 The evidential test:

- The instructing Officers and Legal Services must be satisfied there is enough usable evidence to provide a realistic prospect of conviction against any Defendant in the case.
- They must consider what the defence case may be and how it is likely to affect the prosecution case.
- This means they must believe that a Court is likely to find the Defendant guilty of the alleged offence at the criminal standard of proof, namely beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Legal Services and the instructing department will also need to consider:
 - (i) whether all the evidence can be used in Court, for example hearsay evidence, and
 - (ii) whether the evidence is reliable can an admission be used? whether there is there any suggestion of ulterior motive on the behalf of the witness? and whether the identity of the Defendant is clear.
- When assessing the case for prosecution, Legal Services cannot simply ignore evidence which is unreliable, cannot be used in Court or which does not assist the prosecution case so therefore they must look closely at all evidence, including that more likely to assist the defence case, when deciding there is a realistic prospect of conviction.
- The instructing Officers and Legal Services will also look at any material that has been obtained or created during the investigation under the provisions of the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 ("CPIA") and will expect instructing Officers to ensure that relevant Schedules and Certificates (and where necessary documents) are provided to consider as part of that process. These will be records/ or documents that have to be listed because they may harm the prosecution case, or assist the defence.

3.16 The Public Interest test:

The public interest must be considered in every case where there is enough evidence to provide a realistic prospect of conviction. Legal Services must balance all of the factors for and against prosecution carefully and fairly. Public interest factors can affect the decision to prosecute because of the seriousness of the offence or the circumstances of the offender.

Some factors may increase the need to prosecute but others may suggest another course of action would be more appropriate. The more serious the offence the more likely it is that a prosecution would be



needed in the public interest, so a prosecution is more likely to be warranted in the following instances:

- a conviction is likely to result in a significant sentence;
- the Defendant was in a position of authority or trust;
- the evidence shows that the Defendant organised the offence there is evidence that the offence was pre-meditated;
- the victim of the offence was vulnerable, had been put in considerable fear or suffered personal attack, damage or disturbance;
- the offence was motivated by any form of discrimination against the victims' ethnic or national origin, gender, religion or belief or political views, disability, marriage, civil partnership, pregnancy or maternity, age sexual orientation or gender identity;
- there is a marked difference between the actual or mental ages of the Defendant and the victim;
- if there is any element of corruption;
- the Defendant's previous convictions or cautions are relevant to the present offence;
- the Defendant has alleged to have committed the offence while under an order of the Court;
- there are grounds for believing that the offence is likely to be continued or repeated; and/ or
- the offence, although not serious in itself, is widespread in the area where it was committed.

3.17 Some common public interest factors **against** prosecution are that:

- the Court is likely to impose a very small or nominal penalty;
- the offence was committed as a result of a genuine mistake or misunderstanding (but balance against this the seriousness of the offence);
- the loss or harm can be described as minor and was as a result of a single incident, particularly if it was caused by a mis-judgement;
- there has been a long delay between the offence taking place and the date of the trial unless the offence is serious, the delay has been caused in part by the Defendant, the offence has only recently come to light, or the complexity of the offence has meant that there has been a long investigation;
- a prosecution is likely to have a very bad effect on the victim's physical or mental health, always bearing in mind the seriousness of the offence;
- the Defendant is elderly or is or was at the time of the offence suffering from significant mental or physical ill health and there is a real possibility that the offence may not be repeated; and/ or
- the Defendant has put right the loss or harm that was caused.



- 3.18 In terms of which Court, the types of prosecutions undertaken by Councils are usually "Summary" offences or "Either way" offences and the Council will follow the current Guidance for Magistrates in making representations on the suitable Court to deal with the offences.
- 3.19 Legal Services will only recommend a Crown Court trial to the Magistrates if they are satisfied that the guidelines require them to do so.

Civil Proceedings:

3.20 Civil proceedings cover a variety of actions including applications for injunctions, or Criminal Behaviour Orders, debt recovery or possession actions, housing or Council Tax enforcement proceedings. In deciding to begin civil proceedings the Council will consider the evidence available and the Council will then consider the range of enforcement options that are available to it in each case.

3.21 The Evidential Test:

Legal Services must be satisfied there is enough usable evidence for the case to succeed, on the civil standard of proof, namely on the balance of probabilities.

Once Legal Services are satisfied that there is sufficient evidence for a case to proceed, they will then consider the range of options available taking into account:

- the possibility of the matter to being remedied without further action;
- the likelihood of the Defendant having the means to meet the claim, e.g. a debtor having the means to pay;
- the length of time between the events giving rise to the case and the decision to take legal action;
- the nature of the Defendant (their age, health, etc); and
- the consequences of the matter for the Council (e.g. the size of the debt).

Having considered the above having decided to proceed with some form of formal action, the Council will consider what civil remedy to use.

3.22 Anti-social Behaviour is a broad term used to describe the day to day incidents of crime, nuisance and disorder that makes people's lives a misery from litter and vandalism, to public drunkenness or aggressive dogs, to noisy or abusive neighbours. The new powers contained within the Anti-social Crime and Policing Act 2014 allow the police, councils and social landlords and others to deal with problems quickly whilst working together where appropriate to ensure the best results for the victim.



- 3.23 The new powers available to the District Council include:
 - Community Protection Notices (CPN) to curb environmental related anti-social behaviour
 - Public Space Protection Orders (PSPO) to prohibit nuisance behaviour in public spaces
 - Closure Notices / Orders to close premises and open spaces likely to be subject to anti-social behaviour for a specified period
 - Civil Injunctions to restrain anti-social behaviour and positive requirements compelling individuals to address the underlying causes of their behaviour
 - Criminal Behaviour Orders (CBO) available by application of the prosecutor on conviction of an offence, which can contain prohibition and mandatory requirements to prevent the individual from engaging in further anti-social behaviour
- 3.23 The East Cambridgeshire Community Safety Partnership holds a monthly Anti Social Behaviour Case Work Group. This includes representation from the District Council, Social Services, Youth Offending Services, and the Probation Service amongst others and is lead by Cambridgeshire Constabulary. The Multi Agency Group reviews cases of perpetrators and victims and determines a suitable intervention for a given case. Acceptable Behaviour Contracts (ABC's), Guardian Awareness Programme (GAP's) are some of the tools taken forward by the Constabulary after Partnership endorsement. The Council will contribute to joint partnership working in determining a suitable case intervention, but will not directly lead on issuing ABC/GAP/CBO / Closure Orders, which are lead by the Constabulary.
- 3.24 Housing or Council Tax Administrative other Penalties: As indicated above, enforcement and administration action is now dealt with by ARP and will be undertaken in accordance with their agreed policies / protocols and procedures. Please see section A paragraph 1.5 above.

4. Costs

- 4.1 The costs of undertaking proceedings can be substantial and therefore the Council will usually seek to recover the full costs including the investigation costs as well as legal costs of taking Court proceedings, from the Defendant. Repayment of disbursements (i.e.: expert witnesses, Counsel or search fees) will always be sought in addition to any Council legal/ officer fees.
- 4.2 Legal / Officers' costs, which are not subject to fixed rates, will be charged at allotted Council hourly rates.

C. Conclusion / References



Conclusion

- 1.1 This Code is designed to make sure everybody is aware of the basis on which the Council will investigate alleged offences and take enforcement action. The Prosecution section of this Protocol is based on the Code for Crown Prosecutors adopted by the Crown Prosecution Service (© Crown Copyright) whose kind permission to do so is appreciated and acknowledged.
- 1.2. The section relating to the Regulators Code is taken from Department for Business and Innovation & Skills (*BIS*) Regulators Code April 2014 Crown Copyright 2014.



2. Appendix A: References [NB this may be updated when Policies change and the Protocol will not require further approval]

Central and Local Government Concordat on Good Enforcement – Cabinet Office March 1998

Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006

BIS (Better Regulation Delivery Office): Regulators Code April 2014

Crime and Disorder Act 1998,

Human Rights Act 1998

European Convention on Human Rights: Council of Europe (1950)

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 and Code of Practice

Criminal Proceedings and Investigations Act 1996

Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act ("RIPA") 2000 (& CORPORATE POLICY FOR THE USE OF COVERT SURVEILLANCE AND COVERT HUMAN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES (CHIS))

Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014

Code for Crown Prosecutors produced by the Crown Prosecution Service (Edition: January 2013)

Ministry of Justice: Simple Cautioning of Adult Offenders 14 November 2013

East Cambridgeshire District Council Enforcement Protocol 2005 (reviewed and updated by this document)

East Cambridgeshire District Council Health and Safety Policy

East Cambridgeshire District Council Food Safety Policy

East Cambridgeshire District Council Smoking Policy

East Cambridgeshire District Council Development Services Enforcement Leaflet

East Cambridgeshire District Council Development Services - Enforcement - what action can be taken (ECDC's website)

East Cambridgeshire District Council Building Control - How Building Regulations are enforced (ECDC's website)

Anglia Revenues Partnership Counter Fraud Strategy and Policy 30 October 2012



Appendix B: PUBLIC INTEREST MATRIX <u>Deciding whether to prosecute or offer a formal caution</u>

The decision to prosecute or offer a formal caution should be made using the following table as a guide:

CRITERION	PROSECUTE	OFFER CAUTION
Was the offence a genuine	No	Yes
mistake/misunderstanding?		
Is the offence serious and	Yes	No
will likely result in		
significant sentence?		
A nominal penalty will	No	Yes
follow		
Is the offence stale?	No	Yes
Is the offender old or	No	Yes
infirm?		
Is the offender ill or	No	Yes
suffering from stress		
Was there violence in the	Yes	No
offence or investigation		
Has the offender a	Yes	No
previous history of		
offending?		
Was the offender a	Yes	No
ringleader?	V.	NI.
Was the offence	Yes	No
premeditated?		
Is the offender willing to	No	Yes
prevent a recurrence of the		
problem?	Ves	No
Was the victim vulnerable?	Yes	No
Was the offence motivated	Yes	No
by discrimination e.g.		
racial, sex, religion, political	Voo	No
The offence is widespread	Yes	No
in the area	Voc	No
Is the case likely to	Yes	No
establish a legal precedent?		
Has the offender offered a	No	Yes
reasonable explanation?	INU	163
The offender has put right	No	Yes
any loss	INU	। ७३
Was the offence committed	Yes	No
whilst Defendant under	100	
order of Court		
Stadi di Oddit		



The defendant's previous convictions are relevant to offence	Yes	No
The offender was in a position of authority or trust	Yes	No
The offence was committed against a public servant	Yes	No
The offence which caused little harm was a misjudgement	No	Yes
TOTALS		

Ring yes or no in one column and add up the totals. If the factors are equal then weight each answer either 1 or 2 and total the number in each column. The decision will be influenced by the final totals in each column.

Recommendation of Investigating Officer

	 Formal Caution/Prosecution
	Signed Date:
	Agree/Disagree (Line Manager)
	Signed Date:
De	cision of Assistant Director / Service Lead
	Signed Date:

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) FORM

APPENDIX B

Name of Policy: | Corporate Enforcement Protocol

Lead Officer (responsible for Sarah Steed

assessment):

Department: Legal Services

Others Involved in the Assessment (i.e. peer review, external challenge):

Peer – other staff within Legal, Enforcement Officers (or officers with enforcement role within the Council).

Enforcement Officers at ARP September 2014

Date EIA Completed:

What is an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

As part of any effective policy development process, it is important to consider any potential risks to those who will_be affected by the policy's aims or by its implementation. The Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) process helps us to assess the implications of our decisions on the whole community, to eliminate discrimination, tackle inequality, develop a better understanding of the community we serve, target resources efficiently, and adhere to the transparency and accountability element of the Public Sector Equality Duty.

The word 'policy', in this context, includes the different things that the Council does. It includes any policy, procedure or practice - both in employment and service delivery. It also includes proposals for restructuring, redundancies and changes to service provision.

(a) What is the policy trying to achieve? i.e. What is the aim/purpose of the policy? Is it affected by external drivers for change? What outcomes do we want to achieve from the policy? How will the policy be put into practice?

The purpose of the Corporate Enforcement Protocol is to set out the corporate guiding principles by which legislation will be enforced by the Council in order to protect public health, safety, amenity and the environment within East Cambridgeshire. The Protocol will be reviewed every 2-3 years and as and when there are new statutory requirements.

The Council has specific Departmental enforcement policies, which are set out in Appendix A of the Protocol. This document does not seek to duplicate these specific policies but to provide an overarching enforcement framework that complies with Central Government and enforcement agencies guidance and other statutory provisions and requirements.

(b) Who are its main beneficiaries? i.e. who will be affected by the policy?

The Protocol sets out the overarching agreed basis for all enforcement proceedings and will therefore provide a guide to the Council and any Officers involved in taking enforcement action. The Protocol should benefit individuals, businesses and officers as a consistent and fair approach should be applied in each individual case.

Adherence to the Protocol means that as and when Officers consider such action appropriate, they have to undertake a thorough consideration of the evidence and public interest in pursuing enforcement action.

(c) Is the EIA informed by any information or background data (quantitative or qualitative)? i.e. consultations, complaints, applications received, allocations/take-up, satisfaction rates, performance indicators, access audits, census data, benchmarking, workforce profile etc.

Consultation will be carried out internally and the Protocol will be sent to Departments/ Officers that deal with enforcement work including ARP who carry out the Council's Council Tax and benefit investigation and enforcement. The majority of the content of the policy is based upon legislation and Government guidance.

A public consultation exercise on the Code for Crown Prosecutors issued by the Director of Public Prosecutions by the Crown Prosecution Service in February 2010.

(d) Does this policy have the potential to cause a positive or negative impact on different groups in the community, on the grounds of any of the protected characteristics? (please tick all that apply)

Ethnicity	Χ	Age	Χ
Gender	Χ	Religion and Belief	Χ
Disability	Х	Sexual Orientation	
Gender Reassignment		Marriage & Civil Partnership	
Pregnancy & Maternity		Caring Responsibilities	

Please explain any impact identified: i.e. What do you already know about equality impact or need? Is there any evidence that there is a higher or lower take-up by particular groups? Have there been any demographic changes or trends locally? Are there any barriers to accessing the policy or service?

The protocol has a positive impact as it sets out that any decision to investigate or undertake enforcement action is based on the evidence available and following a decision that public interest test is satisfied to undertake enforcement action. The Council will ensure that any identified protected characteristic as highlighted above will have no bearing on decisions regarding enforcement.

A further positive impact of the protocol is that it sets out clear and transparent stages that Officers of the Council will follow when conducting enforcement action. This highlights that the Council will in the first instance adopt an informal approach and will try to work with the individuals in order to resolve the issue for example providing advice and assistance before considering more formal action, which is to be assessed on a case by case basis.

A potential negative barrier / adverse impact identified is that the Corporate Enforcement Protocol is available on the internet in English, although the Protocol can be provided in alternative formats or languages upon request.

- (e) Does the policy have a differential impact on different groups?
- (f) Is the impact adverse (i.e. less favourable)?
- (g) Does it have the potential to disadvantage or discriminate unfairly against any of the groups in a way that is unlawful?

NO
NO
NO

(h) How have you engaged stakeholders in gathering evidence or testing the policy proposals? Who was involved, how and when where they engaged? Does the evidence show potential for differential impact? How will you mitigate any negative impacts? Where there is the potential for an adverse impact that cannot be addressed immediately, these should be highlighted in your recommendations and objectives at the end of the EIA.

The Council's Corporate Enforcement Protocol uses the principles laid out in the Central and Local Government Concordat on Good Enforcement, Legislative Regulatory Reform Act 2006 and the Regulators Code 2014. The decision to undertake enforcement action is undertaken in accordance with the Code for Crown Prosecutors issued by the Director of Public Prosecutions. The majority of the content of the policy is based upon legislation and Government guidance and there is therefore limited scope for local interpretation.

- * The Consultation Register is available to assist staff in consulting with the Council's stakeholders.
- (i) Summarise the findings of your research and/or consultation (please use a separate sheet if necessary).

The Corporate Enforcement Protocol provides an enforcement framework that follows the principles of the Central and Local Government Concordat on Good Enforcement (1) the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 (2) Regulators Code April 2014

The Protocol is based and governed by Legislation, Central Government guidance and the Code for Crown Prosecutors issued by the Director of Public Prosecutions, which is a widely accepted tool for considering formal action. There is therefore limited scope for local interpretation, however having a Protocol which sets out the process that the Council will undertake when considering enforcement action will ensure a more consistent approach.

(j) What are the risks associated with the policy in relation to differential impact and unmet needs/requirements? i.e. reputation, financial, breach of legislation, service exclusion, lack of resources, lack of cooperation, insufficient budget etc.

Reputation

As a Local Authority, the Council must comply with all legislation requirements relevant to Enforcement proceedings including (but not limited to): the Enforcement Concordat, Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006, Regulators Code 2014. As such the Council must ensure that its Officers carry out their duties and responsibilities in accordance with the Corporate Enforcement Protocol and also ensure that the Protocol is available to all in a form which individuals can access and understand.

Financial

Financial implications associated with this policy include the cost of the provision of the Protocol in an alternative format and the cost of enforcement action proceedings.

Breach of Legislation

There is a risk that if Officers do not comply with the Corporate Enforcement Protocol that not only are they not acting within their own delegated authority but they could be acting outside of the law.

Lack of resources

Delays in copies of the Corporate Enforcement Protocol being provided in alternative formats.

Lack of co-operation

There could be problems if Officers do not comply with the Corporate Enforcement Protocol as detailed above they could be acting outside of their delegated authority or outside of the law which could interfere with the ability to be able to commence / proceed with or success of enforcement proceedings.

Insufficient Budget

This could result in the lack of resource to be able to undertake enforcement action.

(k) Use the information gathered in the earlier stages of your EIA to make a judgement on whether there is the potential for the policy to result in unlawful discrimination or a less favourable impact on any group in the community, and what changes (if any) need to be made to the policy.

Option 1:	No major change - the evidence shows that the policy is robust and no potential for discrimination.	х
Option 2:	Adjust the policy - to remove barriers or to better promote equality.	
Option 3:	Continue the policy - despite potential for adverse impact or missed opportunity to promote equality, provided you have satisfied yourself that it does not unlawfully discriminate.	
Option 4:	Stop and remove the policy – if the policy shows adverse effects that cannot be justified.	

(I) Where you have identified the potential for adverse impact, what action can be taken to remove or mitigate against the potential for the policy to unlawfully discriminate or impact less favourably on one or more communities in a way that cannot be justified? Include key activities that are likely to have the greatest impact (max. 6). Identified actions should be specified in detail for the first year but there may be further longer term actions which need to be considered. To ensure that your actions are more than just a list of good intentions, include for each: the person responsible for its completion, a timescale for completion, any cost implications and how these will be addressed. It is essential that you incorporate these actions into your service plans.

There should be no unlawful discrimination as the Protocol is designed to make sure that everyone is aware of the basis on which the Council will investigate alleged offences and take enforcement action. The fact that the Enforcement Protocol is provided in the first instance in English could be a potential negative barrier however this can be mitigated by the provision of the Protocol in an alternative format when required.

This completed EIA will need to be countersigned by your Head of Service. Please forward completed and signed forms to the Principal HR Officer.

All completed EIAs will need to scrutinised and verified by the Council's Equal Opportunities Working Group (EOWG) and published on the Council's Intranet to demonstrate to local people that the Council is actively engaged in tackling potential discrimination and improving its practices in relation to equalities. Please be aware that may be asked to attend a half-an-hour session to summarise the findings of the EIA to the Scrutiny and Verification panel.

Signatures:			
	Sarah Steed		17.10.14
Completing Officer:		Date:	
	Amanda Apcar		17.10.14
Principal Solicitor:		Date:	