V1 (Ctte Reports Jan 2020 onwards)

ECDC Carbon Impact Assessment:

Once complete, this CIA should be sent to Richard Kay (<u>richard.kay@eastcambs.gov.uk</u>) for review prior to including a summary of this CIA within your committee report.

Please provide a brief description of the policy/decision including the proposed outcomes?

To consider the implementation and exploration of initiatives to address on-street parking enforcement issues in East Cambridgeshire.

Members are recommended to:

- (i) Note the findings of options explored seeking to address matters relating to car parking enforcement
- (ii) Instruct Officers to engage with the Chief Constable and, if agreed by him, implement car parking enforcement under S38 of the Police and Crime Act 2017.
- (iii) Approve the implementation of CSAS in East Cambridgeshire and provide support to the Police as outlined at 4.6, subject to agreement by the Chief Constable, under S38 of the Police and Crime Act 2017, for ECDC to implement car parking enforcement.

Now consider whether any of the following aspects will be affected. Many are likely to be ticked 'neutral':

Aspect	Likely climate effect:			Commentary
	+ve	-ve	neutr al	
The council's energy consumption via buildings (electricity, gas, oil). Tick +ve if consumption will reduce.			V	
The council's energy consumption via travel (eg petrol). Tick +ve if consumption will reduce.			V	
The councils water usage (especially hot water). Tick +ve if consumption will reduce.			√	
Creation of renewable energy. Tick +ve if it increases renewable energy production. Tick – ve if renewable energy is lost.			V	
Carbon offsetting – will the proposal offset carbon emissions such as through tree planting. Tick +ve if yes.			V	

Reducing carbon emissions through			V				
amending ongoing activities not covered							
above eg management							
of land, such as peat soils,							
in a way which reduces							
carbon dioxide emissions.							
Tick +ve if yes.							
If the project involves the			V				
creation or acquisition of a							
building, has the energy							
rating been considered?							
Are / will measures be included to make the							
building energy efficient,							
beyond basic building							
regulation or other legal							
requirements? Tick +ve if							
yes.							
Embodied energy - does			$\sqrt{}$				
your project/proposal							
include construction of							
buildings or other significant infrastructure? If							
no, then tick neutral. If yes,							
have genuine efforts been							
made to minimise the							
embodied energy* in the							
materials being used for							
that construction, and the source of such materials?							
If so, tick +ve.							
in se, mere ve.							
What information is available to help the carbon impacts identified above to be quantified?							
(e.g. this might be a estimation of energy consumption provided by a constructor, an estimate of distance							
travelled to a new site etc.)							
Can any negative outcomes be justified as appropriate or necessary?							
N/A							
Are any remedial or mitigation actions required?							
No							
Once implemented, will you monitor the actual impact of any +ve or –ve outcomes? Yes / No. If so, how?							
N/A							

Overall summary to be included in your covering report (i.e. what you put in this box should be replicated in your committee report, and therefore should provide the overall summary of the carbon impact, in language suitable for being placed in the public domain).

There are no positive or negative carbon impact implications arising.

Assessment completed by	Jo Brooks
(name and position)	Director, Operations
Date CIA completed	11.01.2021
Approved by Richard Kay	

^{*}Embodied energy is the energy used (and therefore carbon dioxide or other greenhouse gases emitted) during the manufacture, transport and construction of building materials. So for example, if you are specifying concrete on a project then carbon dioxide (or equivalent) will have been emitted making that concrete. Different materials have high and low levels of embodied energy, with low being good. Not only can different materials have different embodied energy values, but the same material can also have differing embodied energy values depending on where it was sourced and transported. For example, stone sourced from China would have a far greater embodied energy within it than the same stone sourced locally, due to the carbon dioxide emitted during transportation. By way of examples, using stainless steel will likely have over 10 times more embodied energy within it, per kg, than timber.