

You have confirmed that East Cambridgeshire District Council have migrated to M365 and the FromSA SKU was used which will have enabled the Council to obtain discounts on M365 subscriptions. Your Response suggests that Microsoft have given you this discount without you having to do anything to render your previously used Microsoft perpetual licences unsellable. East Cambridgeshire District Council should be able, at any time, to access the necessary information to know what software licences it currently holds, as a matter of basic audit standards. Second, the contractual mechanism by which East Cambridgeshire District Council would have. (various potential quotes commonly used) 'relinquish, trade up, bought back, transferred, given up, voided, upgraded, transitioned, levied up' any rights to its licences would have necessarily been something its representatives would have signed.

We, therefore, ask for the following information regarding your FOIA Response:

1. What version of Microsoft Office perpetual licences were being used by the council prior to the initial migration to M365?
2. Were the councils Previously used Microsoft Office perpetual licences purchased in an Enterprise Subscription Agreement or were they owned outright by the Council? - If they were owned outright by the Council and the Council has not relinquished these licences then they should therefore still be owned by the Council. We do know that use of the FromSA Sku would mean that your perpetual licences most likely were relinquished when you migrated to M365 in order to obtain the available M365 discounts.
3. What clause, contractual mechanism or pricing sheet showed how and why East Cambridgeshire District Council used the FromSA SKU when initially signing up to M365?
4. If the Microsoft Office Perpetual Licences that were used prior to migration were owned outright by the council what versions and quantities are therefore still owned? If they are no longer owned what has happened to them?