EAST CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

COUNTY WILDLIFE SITES SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

Consultation Statement 25 May 2010

This Consultation Statement has been prepared in accordance with Regulations 16, 17 and 18(4)(b) of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (as amended).

The following is a list of those consulted in connection with the County Wildlife Sites Supplementary Planning Document.

- 1. The Environment Agency
- 2. Natural England
- 3. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
- 4. The Wildlife Trust
- 5. South Cambridgeshire District Council
- 6. Fenland District Council
- 7. Forest Heath District Council
- 8. Huntingdon District Council
- 9. Cambridge City Council
- 10. Cambridgeshire County Council
- 11. Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Biological Records Centre

The consultation period lasted for four weeks, from 10th February to 10th March 2010. A total of five responses were received. A summary of the consultation responses and action taken is listed below.

No.	Respondent's	Summary of response	Comments on the	Action	
	name		response		
1.1	Cambridgeshire	The CPBRC wanted to make the Council	Comments noted. The	- Amend paragraph 3.1.8 as follows:	
	and	aware that there will be some changes to	Council will include the		
	Peterborough	CWSs in East Cambridgeshire from March	changes as of March 2010.	3.1.8. In the period 2006-2009, no new	
	Biological	2010. These are –		sites have been selected, no	
	Records Centre		In relation to point number 4,	sites have been lost to	
	(CPBRC)	 Ely Beet Pits and Roswell Pits and 	the Council were aware that	development or no sites have	
		Adjacent Areas CWSs are to be	the figure used for the area	had their boundaries amended.	
	Philip Rickets	deleted as the areas they cover are	was the area within the East	As it stands, the total number of	
		now part of Ely Pits and Meadows	Cambridgeshire boundary. It	County Wildlife Sites in the East	
		SSSIs	was decided to use this	Cambridgeshire District is 81	
			figure after correspondence	covering an area of 1593.94 ha.	
		2) Some of the area covered by River	with the Wildlife Trust in	This area excludes the river	
		Great Ouse CWS in this location is	December 2009. It also has	sites (Great Ouse, Little Ouse,	
		to be reduced for the same reason.	been pointed out in	Lark and Cam).	
			paragraph 3.1.8 that this		
		A new CWS 'The Bury Meadow' is	area includes the river sites.	 Include new paragraphs 3.1.9 to 	
		to be designated, this is near	The figure for the area of	3.1.11 as follows:	
		Witcham.	CWS within East		
				3.1.9. On the 12 th Feb 2009 Ely Pits	
		4) The CPBRC also pointed out that	2010 (1537.18ha) will now be	and Meadows was designated	
		the total area of sites is 1601.52ha –	used.	as a Site of Special Scientific	
		the figure in the Draft CWS SPD		Interest. The designation of Ely	
		(1593.94 ha) is for the area within		Pits and Meadows SSSI ensures	
		the East Cambridgeshire boundary		that the nationally important	
		(some sites cross the boundary).		wildlife and geology of the area	
		The CPBRC have also asked the		will be fully recognised and taken	
		Council to note that river CWS's are		into account in the future	
		not considered to have an area for		management and uses of the	
		this analysis and so were not		site. Ely Beet Pits CWS and	
		included in the area calculations.		Roswell Pits and Adjacent Areas	
		From March 2010 the new areas will		CWS have been deleted from	
		be 1544.76ha in total and		the CWS Register as of March	

No.	Respondent's name	Summary of response	Comments on the response	Action
		1537.18ha within East Cambs. From March 2010 there will be 80 sites.	•	2010 as the areas they cover are now part of the Ely Pits and Meadows SSSI. Some of the area covered by River Great Ouse CWS has been reduced for the same reason.
			3	5.1.10. As of March 2010, a new CWS, 'The Bury Meadow' is to be designated. The site qualifies as it supports more than 0.05 ha of NVC community MG5 (unimproved neutral grassland) and has 8 or more neutral grassland indicator species present in frequent numbers. The site is one of a series of meadows adjacent to the Bury Road ancient drove way between Witcham and Wardy Hill.
			3	 As it stands the total number of CWS in East Cambridgeshire is 80 covering an area of 1537.18ha. This area excludes the river sites (Great Ouse, Little Ouse, Lark and Cam), they are not considered to have an area for this analysis and so were not included in the area calculations. Remove reference to Ely Beet Pits CWS and Roswell Pits and Adjacent Areas CWS from the site register

No.	Respondent's name	Summary of response	Comments on the response	Action	
					area om the citation CWS ber and of page 7 81 80
1.2	Wildlife Trust	 The Wildlife Trust had seen the CPBRC 	Comments are noted		1593.94 1537.18
1.2	Sian Williams	 The Wildlife Trust had seen the CPBRC comments and suggest the Council add in the three changes from 2010 that they suggested. They suggest some changes to the dates of last survey (in the site register table). Having checked again they suggest changing the dates of Combers Wood and Pickmore Wood to 2008, and Little Chitlings Wood and Pauline's Swamp to 2009. They also suggest removing the last survey date and leaving a blank for the river sites – River Lark, River Great Ouse, River Little Ouse, and River Cam. As stated in the text (section 2.2.2), these weren't 		 Amend dates in the site reg table as suggested Amend first sentence of paragraph 1.3.2 to read a follows: 'The <i>East Cambrid District County Wildlife Regis</i> completed a full re- survey of the 78 County Wildlife Site (CWS) located in the District Cambridgeshire,' Add sentence to the end of paragraph 1.3.2 to read as 'The only sites not surveye the four main river sites, Th Lark and associated habita 	as Igeshire ister 2005 of 74 out tes ct of East follows: ed were he River

No.	Respondent's name	Summary of response	Comments on the response	Action
		 surveyed in 2005, and it's a bit difficult to give them a last survey date, since they are large sites and tend to be surveyed in sections. 3) The wording of paragraph 1.3.2 should be changed slightly to acknowledge that the river sites were not included in the survey. 		Little River Ouse, the River Cam and the River Great Ouse. The criteria for such river sites were in the process of being reviewed.'
1.3	Environment Agency Adam Ireland	The Environment Agency support the Draft County Wildlife Sites SPD in general terms because 'it will help contribute to protecting and enhancing biodiversity in East Cambridgeshire and to meeting PPS9 and East Cambridgeshire District Council's duties under the NERC Act 2006.' The Environment Agency would like to see the CWS Register reviewed in the future in line with Cambridgeshire and Perterborough CWS selection guidelines and hope that the river sites will be included in future reviews. They also point out that the Roswell Pits and Ely Beet Pits County Wildlife Sites are now incorporated within Ely Pits and Meadow SSSI and may be de-selected as County Wildlife Sites at some point in the future.	It is recognised that regular reviews will be useful and the Council receives an annual update of the CWS register from the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Wildlife Trust. It is noted that Roswell Pits and Ely Beet Pits can be deselected.	See response to 1.1 above
1.4	Cambridgeshire County Council	 Para 1.3.1 of this document explains that PPS 9 requires that local plan policies should be based on up to 	 The Draft CWS Register SPD contains the most up to date information 	 No change required. Add text to the end of Paragraph

No.	Respondent's name	Summary of response	Comments on the response	Action
	Stewart Patience	 date information on the environmental characteristics of their areas. As the original survey information is now 5 years old there is a need for the District Council to clarify whether there is more recent information, which could be used for this purpose including any surveys which may have been undertaken more recently by the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Wildlife Trust. 2) It is suggested that there is a need to clarify how the information presented in the SPD is intended to be used by developers and the District Council. 'For example is it the District Councils intention that this information will be used to inform the preparation of planning applications and that it will be considered by Development Control Officers in the determination of relevant applications?' 3) In relation to paragraph 1.3.6 it should be made clear that there is not a requirement to consider future revisions to the County Wildlife Site Criteria as part of the planning application process. However this issue would need to be considered when reviewing the County Wildlife Sites Supplementary Planning Document. 	 available form the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Wildlife Trust. The Council receives an annual update from the Wildlife Trust and any changes that have taken place since 2005 have been incorporated. 2) Comments are noted. 3) No change is necessary. The County Wildlife Sites Selection Criteria will not be used as part of the planning application process but in the selection and review of County Wildlife Sites. 	 1.3.1 to read as follows: 'The ECDC Draft County Wildlife Sites SPD provides detailed background information, which supports Policy CS6 and Policy EN6 of the East Cambridgeshire District Council Core Strategy DPD, and ensures that these policies are based on up to date information about the environmental characteristics of the area, including relevant biodiversity and geological sources. Development proposals on County Wildlife Sites will be assessed against Policy CS6 and Policy EN6.' 3) No change required.

No.	Respondent's name	Summary of response	Comments on the response	Action
1.5	Natural England	Paragraph 1.3.3 should state "Nature Conservancy Council (succeeded by English Nature, <u>now Natural England</u>)".	Comments are noted	Amend Paragraph 1.3.3 to incorporate suggested change.