**ECDC Community Transport Grant Scheme Scoring Criteria**

# Scoring Criteria

Each application will be scored using the following marking scheme:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Score  | Classification  |
| 5  | Meets the aims exactly as specified  |
| 4  | Meets the aims specified to a good level - but not exactly  |
| 3  | Meets the aims specified in most aspects – but fails in some  |
| 2  | Fails in most aspects, but qualifies in some  |
| 1  | Significantly fails to meet the aims specified  |
| 0  | Completely fails to meet the aims specified  |

* Does the application improve accessibly to work, education, and essential public services and for social purposes, and look to address the problems of social isolation? Score 1-5 (5 highest) depending on services provided/accessed and scope to increase this.
* What geographical area do you cover? Score 1-5 (5 highest) depending on proportion of the district covered.
* Does the application demonstrate there is a need for the project and does it include research to support this? Score 1-5, (5 highest) higher scores awarded where need is highest and is justified by evidence.
* Does the project deliver measurable benefits? Score 1-5, (5 highest) higher scores awarded where additionality/growth will be delivered.
* How many individuals/organisations will benefit from the grant? Score 1-5 (5 highest) depending on number of beneficiaries.
* Is there a plan for how the scheme will be supported post-funding? Score 1-5 (5 highest) higher points awarded to those who said generating income, thereby improving sustainability.