

Queen Adelaide

A Vision for Queen Adelaide

Have your say on the future of Queen Adelaide

- **What do you want to change or improve?**
- **What do you want to protect?**
- **What facilities would you like to see?**

What do you think? We want your views on how Queen Adelaide should change in the future. What sort of place would you like Queen Adelaide to be? What are your priorities for the village and the wider Parish? Your views will help us to form a long-term vision for Queen Adelaide which sets out how it should develop over the next 20 years.

What will the Vision do? The Vision will be used to guide decisions on future development and planning applications. It will also help ensure that key infrastructure and facilities are provided and improved. The Vision will be included in the statutory development plan for East Cambridgeshire - known as the 'Core Strategy'.

How do I comment? Please let us have your views by answering the questions below. Comments can be made from **27 June-18 July 2011** and should be posted to: Forward Planning, East Cambridgeshire District Council, The Grange, Nutholt Lane, Ely, CB7 4EE. Our website contains background documents, and questionnaires on other settlements (which you are welcome to fill out): www.eastcambs.gov.uk/local-development-framework/consultation-villagetown-visions. There will be a further opportunity to make comments on the draft Vision, which we hope to publish in early 2012. If you have any questions please call the Forward Planning team on 01353 665555.

Any information that you will provide will be used for the purpose of the Core Strategy, and processed in accordance with the Data Protection Act.

1. Please provide your details:

Name:	<input type="text"/>
Company:	<input type="text"/>
Email address:	<input type="text"/>
Address 1:	<input type="text"/>
Address 2:	<input type="text"/>
Town/City:	<input type="text"/>
Postcode:	<input type="text"/>
Phone Number:	<input type="text"/>

2. Please select whether you would like your details to be added to the Core Strategy consultation database, in order to be notified of key stages of production:

- Yes No

3. Please indicate the main reason for your interest in the development of Queen Adelaide:

- Local resident of Queen Adelaide Developer or local landowner
- Local business owner Potential investor
- Employee, working in Queen Adelaide Professional interest
- Other (please specify)

4. How would you like to see the village change or improve in the future? Please list your top 3 priorities below:

Priority 1

Priority 2

Priority 3

Housing

5. Do you think there should be housing growth on the edge of Queen Adelaide? Please choose one of the following options:

- No
- Yes - small scale housing growth (up to 10 houses) for a mix of private and affordable housing (e.g. like provided by Sanctuary Hereward)
- Yes - medium scale housing growth (up to 20 houses) for a mix of private and affordable housing
- Yes - large scale housing growth (more than 20 houses) for a mix of private and affordable housing
- Yes - small/medium scale housing growth for affordable housing only

Other (please provide details)

6. Housing development will continue to come forward on small sites within the village. However, schemes are currently limited to a maximum of 2 dwellings (taking account of the size of the village and local facilities).

Do you think this approach should continue?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

If you selected no, what alternative approach would you like to see?

Employment

7. Would you like to see more opportunities for small businesses in the village? (e.g. offices, industrial units, workshops).

- Yes
- No

Development envelope

8. The development envelope marks the built up area of the village where development is normally allowed to take place. The area outside the development envelope is protected as open countryside.

Which of the following approaches would you prefer?

- Keep the development envelope
- Replace development envelopes with a policy that assesses each application on its merits

Local infrastructure and facilities

9. The District Council can secure funds from developers to spend on improving local infrastructure and facilities. If new development comes forward in the village, what benefits would you like to see?

Please select your top 3 priorities from the list:

	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3
Improvements to play areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
New play areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improvements to sports grounds/open space	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
New sports ground/open space	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
More school places	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improvements to pedestrian/cycle routes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
New community/village hall	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you selected other, please provide details

10. For the priorities that you have selected in Question 9 above, can you provide more detail on what these improvements should be, and where they should be located?

11. Please use this space to make any other general comments:

Monitoring Information (Optional)

It would be useful if you could please provide the following information about yourself:

12. Sex

Male

Female

13. Status

- Employee
- Self-employed
- Unemployed
- Homemaker
- Student
- Retired

14. Age

- 0-16 years
- 17-24
- 25-39
- 40-49
- 50-59
- 60-74
- 75-84
- 85+

15. Ethnic origin

- White British
- White Irish
- Other white background
- Gypsy / Traveller
- Mixed ethnicity
- Chinese
- Indian
- Pakistani
- Other Asian background
- African
- Caribbean
- Other black background
- Other ethnic group

16. Disability

Under the Disability Discrimination Act a person has a disability if he/she 'has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long-term effect on his/her ability to carry out normal day to day activities.' Do you consider yourself to have a disability?

- Yes
- No

Thank you for your help.

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. East Cambridgeshire District Council Licence Number 100023279.

Key


 Development Envelope

Ely


East Cambridgeshire
District Council



Not to scale




Queen Adelaide Village Vision Questionnaire Results

Key facts:

Consultation period:	27 th June – 18 th July 2011
Total responses:	10
Number of dwellings in village (est):	92
Response rate:	11%

Summary of Results

Q3. Please indicate your main interest in the development of Queen Adelaide




A total of 10 people responded to this question with all respondents stating that their main interest in the development of Queen Adelaide was as a local resident.

Q4. How would you like to see the village change or improve in the future? Please list your top 3 priorities below:

A total of 19 suggestions were made on how the village could improve in the future:


- Measures to reduce speed of traffic (4 comments)
- Small businesses/shops (3 comments)
- Public transport (2 comments)
- Housing development (2 comments)
- Improve river area
- Existing factory could be used to full capacity
- Lighting between Thistle Corner and Ely
- Improve/new village hall
- Leisure facilities/pub
- Road junction improvements at river bridge
- Reinstatement of felled mature trees

Q5. Do you think there should be housing growth on the edge of Queen Adelaide? Please choose one of the following options:



A total of 9 people responded to this question, with 1 person choosing not to answer. The majority of respondents (c.67%) thought that housing growth should be allowed on the edge of the village, with most expressing preference for small scale growth up to 10 dwellings (a mix of general and affordable housing).

Q6. Housing development will continue to come forward on small sites within the development envelope of the village. However, schemes are currently limited to a maximum of 2 dwellings. Do you think this approach should continue?




A total of 10 people responded to this question. Of the 10 respondents, 70.0% supported retention of the current policy to restrict housing schemes within the

development envelope to a maximum of 2 dwellings whilst 30.0% of respondents disagreed with the current approach.


In response to asking for suggestions for alternative approaches, the following comments were received: consider each application on its merits, amend the development envelope to allow for more housing and a new village hall.

Q7. Would you like to see more opportunities for small businesses in the village (e.g. offices, industrial units, workshops)?



A total of 10 people responded to this question. The majority of respondents (60%) stated they were not supportive of new employment development coming forward in the village.


Q8. The development envelope marks the built up area of the village where development is normally allowed to take place. The area outside the development envelope is protected as open countryside. Which of the following approaches would you prefer?



A total of 9 people responded to this question, with 1 person choosing not to answer. Responses were fairly evenly split, with a slight majority of respondents (c.56%)

stated that the development envelope should be replaced with a policy that assesses each application on its merits.

Q9. The District Council can secure funds from developers to spend on improving local infrastructure and facilities. If new development comes forward in the village, what benefits would you like to see? Please select your top 3 priorities from the list:



A total of 7 people responded to this question, with 3 people choosing not to answer. Overall, the need for a new community/village hall scored most highly (6 people), followed by improvements to pedestrian/cycle routes (5 people).

Q10. For the priorities you have selected in Question 9 above, can you provide more detail on what these improvements should be and where they should be located?

A total of 6 people responded to this question, which raised infrastructure issues at the following locations:

- River is an ideal area for improvement
- Need to extend cycle routes around river area
- Ely Road is a dangerous pedestrian and cycle route

Other non-site specific comments noted the need for: children's play facilities, improvements to the village hall and need for improved broadband.

Q11. Please use this space to make any other comments:

A total of 5 people provided a response to this question making the following comments: need to reassess/relax the development envelope boundaries, need for public transport, need to reduce speeding through the village, problems with light and noise pollution from nearby commercial uses, village should grow by 'the odd house only', need for more facilities – e.g. play areas, shop, pub, small businesses etc.